RATIFICATION OF THE MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD AND THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION, DIVISION OF OIL & GAS

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The Department of Conservation, Division of Oil & Gas (CDOG) has the statutory responsibility to prevent, as far as possible, damage to underground and surface waters suitable for irrigation or domestic purposes resulting from the drilling, operation, maintenance, or abandonment of wells (Public Resources Code Section 3106 and 3714.
- 2. The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and the nine California Regional Water Quality Control Boards (collectively SWRCB) have statutory responsibility to protect the waters of the State and to preserve present and anticipated beneficial uses of those waters (Water Code, Division 7, Chapters 1 through 7).
- 3. The CDOG and SWRCB have worked for years in cooperation with one another to meet their statutory obligations.
- 4. Written procedures would provide a coordinated approach towards issuing single permits satisfying the statutory obligations of both the CDOG and SWRCB.

# THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That the State Board does hereby ratify the Memorandum of Agreement signed by the Director of the Department of Conservation, the State Oil & Gas Supervisor, and the Chairwoman of the State Water Resources Control Board.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on September 16, 1982.

CONFIRMING AND SUPPLEMENTING PRIOR DELEGATION

Promote an efficient water rights

delegate.

#### WHEREAS:

- In order to promote an efficient water rights regulatory program, the Board should delegate routine water rights matters to the Chief of the Division of Water Rights.
- The previous delegation to the Chief of the Division of Water Rights should be supplemented.
- Previous delegations of authority to the Chief of the Division of Water Rights have been revoked. Among the delegations revoked was an authorization that the Chief of the Division of Water Rights be custodian of all records, documents and files lodged with the Division of Water Rights and, when necessary, to certify that copies of such records, documents or files are true.
- Frequent requests are made for copies of records, documents and files lodged with the Division of Water Rights that are certified as true and correct. On occasion the Chief of the Division is not present at the Division's office.
- The Chief of the Division of Water Rights or his designee should be delegated as the custodian of all records, documents and files lodged with the Division of Water Rights with authority to certify that copies of the same are true.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- That the State Board authorizes the Chief, Division of Water Rights (or his designee), to:
  - Prepare (or direct preparation of) and sign the following:
    - All correspondence pertaining to the engineering and technical (1) functions of the Division.
    - Orders revoking or cancelling an application, permit or license to appropriate water:
      - (a) when requested by the applicant, permittee or licensee, as the case may be;
      - when the application is premature as specified in Water Code Section 1527.5;
      - when the application is defective or incomplete and has not been perfected within the time allowed for that purpose and no request for extension of time is filed;
      - when fees have not been paid within the time required by law:

- (e) when the applicant fails to file an affidavit of posting or publication of notice as required by law;
- (f) after notice of revocation pursuant to Water Code Sections 1410 and 1675 has been sent to the permittee or licensee and no request for hearing has been received; and
- (g) after proceedings conducted pursuant to Water Code Sections 1345-1348 and 1704.1-1704.4 when no party has requested a hearing.
- (3) Notices of application to appropriate water.
- (4) Certificates verifying stockpond water rights and correspondence required to administer properly and expeditiously the stockpond water right program.
- B. Approve stipulations of parties to Proceedings in Lieu of Hearing.
- C. Grant time to applicants within which to amend and complete incomplete applications to appropriate water, upon receipt from the applicant of a statement and request as required by Section 693 of the Board's rules. Such time shall be granted only when good cause is shown. Requests for more than a total of one year to complete applications shall not be granted without workshop or 5-day review by the Board.
- D. For good cause, extend time for filing protests and answers thereto:
  - (1) Provided for all applications except for hydropower projects requiring a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission license not more than a total of one year shall be granted without workshop or 5-day review by the Board, and
  - (2) Provided for applications for hydropower projects requiring a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission license not more than a total of 39 months shall be granted without workshop or 5-day review by the Board.
- E. Approve petitions to correct the description of the point of diversion, place of use, or purpose of use, or name of source when there is to be no physical change in works already constructed or no change in the intent of applicant to locate proposed works or use water, and no one could have been misled by the original description.
- F. Sign licenses where the terms and conditions have been accepted by the permittee and approve necessary extensions of time and corrections in point of diversion, purpose of use, place of use, or name of source so that the permit may conform to the license being issued.
- G. Prepare, sign, and cause to be published notices of pendency of adjudication proceedings pursuant to Water Code Section 2526.
- H. Prepare and sign notices to file proofs in adjudication proceedings pursuant to Part 3, Division 2 of the Water Code.
- Extend the time in which proofs may be filed in adjudication proceedings pursuant to Part 3, Division 2 of the Water Code.

- J. Prepare and sign notices setting time and place for filing objections to the report and inspection of proofs and evidence pursuant to Water Code Sections 2604 and 2625.
- K. Issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and production of evidence before the Board.
- L. Issue separate permits or licenses to replace an existing permit or license when the place of use has been divided into two or more ownerships. Revoke the existing permit or license provided no objection is received from any of the owners.
- M. Prepare, sign, and file Notices of Exemption and environmental documents under CEQA with the Secretary for Resources, the State Clearinghouse, and other agencies, as appropriate, for all projects carried out or approved by the State Board in connection with the administration of the water rights program. He shall exercise such authority in conformity with regulations of the Secretary for Resources and the State Board.
- N. Prepare, sign and file certifications that the State Board has reviewed and considered environmental documents prepared by other public agencies and Environmental Impact Reports prepared by the State Board in connection with the administration of the water rights program.
- P. The authority delegated herein to sign and file the documents identified in paragraphs M and N shall be exercised after conclusion of the public availability and comment period when such period is required.
- Q. That authority delegated in Paragraphs M and N to file Notices of Determination, Negative Declarations, and Initial Studies with the Secretary for Resources shall be exercised only after each Board Member has received a copy of the Negative Declaration and Initial Study, when there are no unresolved comments, and when no Board member objects.
- R. Issue permits and change orders for categorically exempt projects where no protests are outstanding, or where no objections were received to proposed Board action resulting from investigation conducted under Water Code Sections 1345-1348 or 1704.1-1704.4.
- S. Approve permit extensions for up to a total of ten years' time if requirements of the Water Code, California Administrative Code, and the Board's guidelines for considering petitions for extentions of time are met, there are no competing projects that would be adversely affected, and there are no outstanding protests.
- T. Sign other permit extensions if no Board member objects after review as a workshop.
- U. (1) Issues change orders on non-categorically exempt projects for which no hearing was held and on which there are no outstanding protests, or on which no objections were received to proposed Board action resulting from investigation conducted under Water Code Section 1704.1-1704.4.

- (2) Issue permits on non-categorically exempt projects for which no hearing was held on which no protests are outstanding, or on which no objections were received to proposed Board action resulting from investigation conducted under Water Code Sections 1345-1348.
- (3) Approve temporary changes on projects where no detrimental effects are indicated.
- (4) Announce draft reports of referee in accordance with Water Code Sections 2013, 2014, and 2015.
- (5) Circulate reports and preliminary orders of determination related to statutory adjudications in accordance with Water Code Sections 2604.

Authority for (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) above shall be exercised only after each Board member has received a copy of the document and only if no Board member objects within five working days.

- V. Issue permits, change orders, and extension orders after Board Decision or Order.
- W. Execute agreements with landowners for trial distribution of water in the Napa Valley.
- X. Appoint a watermaster to supervise trial distribution of water in the Napa Valley.
- Y. Object to temporary changes when the staff analysis determines that the change would be detrimental.
- 2. In exercising the authority delegated to him by this resolution, the Division Chief (or his designee) is directed, without restricting the authority specified, to bring the following matters to the attention of the Board at workshop or by the 5-day review procedure.
  - (a) Permits and change orders for large projects as defined in Water Code Section 1310, whether or not they are protested;
  - (b) Matters of a unique or unusual nature;
  - (c) Matters which appear to depart from the policies of the Board;
  - (d) Matters involving significant policy questions:
  - (e) Highly controversial matters;
  - (f) Matters which involve a substantial risk of litigation;
  - (g) Any matter which a Board member requests to be brought to the Board's attention; and
  - (h) Any matter, which, in the judgment of the Division Chief, should be brought to the attention of the Board.

- 3. The Chief of the Division of Water Rights or his designee shall be the custodian of all records, documents and files (without limitation) lodged with the Division of Water Rights and shall have the authority to certify that copies of the same are true.
- 4. Resolution No. 82-24 is hereby revoked.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on September 16, 1982.

Clint Whitney
Executive Director

AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE A STANDARD AGREEMENT WITH PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR MONITORING AT DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT AND TO AMEND AND AUGMENT INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT NO. 2-053-225-0 WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The State Board is funding a program operated by the Department of Fish and Game, known as the State Mussel Watch, to monitor toxic pollutants along California's coast.
- 2. The State Mussel Watch has demonstrated the ability over the past five years to effectively monitor toxic pollutants along California's coast.
- 3. The Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board has requested the assistance of the State Board's State Mussel Watch to monitor the discharge of once through cooling water from the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.
- 4. NPDES Permit No. CA0003751, Regional Board Order No. 82-24, as amended by Order No. 82-54, for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Diablo Canyon Power Plant requires discharger selfmonitoring using in-situ bioassay, e.g., "Mussel Watch", techniques.
- 5. The discharger, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, is willing to utilize and compensate for the monitoring services provided by the State Mussel Watch.
- 6. The State Board currently has nine petitions pending for review of Regional Board Order No. 82-24, as amended. Several of the petitions seek a prohibition against discharge of wastes from the Diablo Canyon Plant by Pacific Gas & Electric Company. The State Board, in authorizing the execution of a standard agreement with Pacific Gas & Electric Company for monitoring at the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, takes no position on the merits of the pending petitions. If the petitioners are successful in prohibiting the discharge of wastes from the plant, the State Board will take immediate steps to terminate its contractual agreement with Pacific Gas & Electric Company and to modify the interagency agreement with the Department of Fish and Game.

## THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED.

- 1. That the State Board authorizes the Executive Director to negotiate and execute a standard agreement with Pacific Gas and Electric Company for monitoring at Diable Canyon Nuclear Power Plant for an amount not to exceed \$70,000 and to amend and augment Interagency Agreement No. 2-053-225-0 with the Department of Fish and Game for an amount not to exceed \$65,000.
- 2. That in authorizing the execution of such standard agreement with Pacific Gas and Electric Company and modification of the interagency agreement with the Department of Fish and Game, the State Board takes no position on the merits of the petitions pending before the State Board for review of Regional Board Order No. 82-24, as amended.
- 3. That, if the petitioners are successful in prohibiting the discharge of wastes from the Diablo Canyon Plant, the State Board will take immediate steps to terminate its agreement with Pacific Gas and Electric Company and to modify the interagency agreement with the Department of Fish and Game.

## CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board on September 16, 1982.

Clint Whitney

# STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD RESOLUTION NO. 82-43 ADOPTING THE SECTION 106 GRANT WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAMS AND

SECTION 205(g) CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT ASSISTANCE GRANT STATE FISCAL YEAR 1982-83 FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1983

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The State Water Resources Control Board is applying to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, for a Water Pollution Program Grant and a Construction Management Assistance Program Grant for Federal Fiscal Year 1982.
- 2. The Section 106 Grant for Water Pollution Control Programs assists the State Board in financing the implementation and maintenance of adequate measures for prevention and abatement of water pollution, pollution control studies, surveillance and enforcement, technical assistance, certification and operator training, data management; and
- 3. The Section 205(g) Grant finances the administration costs of California's Clean Water Construction Grant Program;
- 4. The responsibility for managing the Construction Grants Program has been delegated to the State Water Resources Control Board through the Agreement in Principle; and
- 5. In order to receive Federal funds, the State Board will retain the existing agreement or revise and sign the Agreement in Principle between EPA and the State Board.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That the State Board approves the Grant Application for the Sections 106 and 205(g) Programs for Fiscal Year 1983;
- 2. That the State Board authorizes the Executive Director to sign on behalf of the Board the Grant Applications and accept the grant awards; and
- 3. That the Executive Director of the State Board and the Executive Officers of the Regional Water Quality Control Boards are directed to implement and maintain the water pollution control programs funded by the Fiscal Year 1983 Grant.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on October 6, 1982.

#### THERFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

#### That the State Board:

- 1. Approves the "Fall River Water Quality Monitoring Survey" report, directs the Executive Director to transmit the report to EPA for their review and approval, and authorizes payment of the Fall River Resources Conservation District final invoice,
- 2. Requests that the Central Valley Regional Board submit two progress reports detailing the progress made to implement the report recommendations. The reports should specifically discuss the progress made in each of the areas listed in Exhibit A attached. The first progress report is due June 19, 1983. The second report will be due approximately 6 months later.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on September 16, 1982.

APPROVING CENTRAL VALLEY REGION'S PHASE II 208 FALL RIVER WATER QUALITY PROBLEM ASSESSMENT REPORT

### WHEREAS:

- 1. The State Water Resources Control Board is responsible for the Statewide Section 208 planning program in accordance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act,
- 2. The Board approved a workplan of the Central Valley Regional Board for Water Quality Problem Assessment studies in the Fall River Watershed,
- 3. The studies were to include:
  - watershed surveys to determine existing and potential activities which could impair water quality,
  - o develop programs to correct identified problems and reduce impacts of potential problems,
- 4. Central Valley Regional Board assisted by Fall River Resources Conservation District, under contractual agreement, prepared a report entitled "Fall River Water Quality Monitoring Survey",
- 5. This report identifies water quality problems and recommends several actions to correct or reduce impacts of these problems on Fall River Water Quality,
- The State Board has reviewed the report and finds that Fall River Resources Conservation District has completed its contract objectives and that the Central Valley Regional Board should take immediate steps to implement the recommended actions identified in the report.

CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR THE CENTRAL COAST BASIN CONCERNING WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR THE SAN LORENZO RIVER AND UPDATING SOME GENERAL OBJECTIVES WHICH APPLY TO ALL INLAND AND SURFACE WATERS

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region, (Regional Board) adopted the "Water Quality Control Plan, Central Coastal Basin" (basin plan) on March 14, 1975.
- Division 7 of the California Water Code mandates that basin plans shall be periodically reviewed and may be revised.
- The Regional Board staff prepared a report entitled "A Review of Water Quality Standards for the San Lorenzo and Salinas Rivers".
- 4. The aforesaid report identifies beneficial uses and water quality objectives for the two rivers and cites some general water quality objectives for all inland surface waters in the Central Coast region where references and data have been updated.
- Based on the findings of the aforesaid report, the Regional Board has determined that Chapter 4, Water Quality Objectives, of the basin plan requires revision.
- 6. The Regional Board, after a public hearing, adopted Resolution No. 82-07, Consideration of Amendments to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Central Coast Basin Concerning Water Quality Standards for the San Lorenzo River, Santa Cruz County, on July 9, 1982.
- 7. On July 21, 1982, the Regional Board submitted a request for State Board consideration of approval for the above-titled basin plan amendment in accordance with Section 13245 of the California Water Code.
- 8. The basin plan amendment updates certain general water quality objectives to reflect revisions and recodification of the California Administrative Code, revises Table 4-8 of Chapter 4, Water Quality Objectives, to present specific water quality objectives in terms of mean values rather than median values, and revises allowable chloride and sodium concentrations to reflect current water quality conditions of the San Lorenzo River.
- 9. The basin plan amendment proposes a new specific water quality objective for nitrate of .25 mg/l because of a documented problem of algal growth in the San Lorenzo River.
- 10. The report entitled "A Review of Water Quality Standards for the San Lorenzo and Salinas Rivers" is the basis for a number of the aforementioned revisions.

- A review of the record finds reasonable justification of the basin plan amendment with the following two exceptions:
  - The Regional Board replicated an error in Title 22, Chapter 15, Article 4, Section 64435, Table 3, of the California Administrative Code. The concentration for endrin listed in Title 22 is 0.002 mg/l; the federal standard is 0.0002 mg/l.
  - b. The Regional Board mis-cited the proper section in Title 22 concerning radioactivity. Sections 64441 and 64442 should be cited instead of Section 64435. The inclusion of uranium and radon as a contributor to alpha particle activity is not consistent with Title 22, Chapter 15, Table 5.
- The basin plan amendment, with these two exceptions, is consistent with 12. Section 13000 of the California Water Code which mandates that waters of the State shall be regulated to attain the highest quality water which is reasonable.

# THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The following pages of the Central Coastal Basin Plan be revised and amended as shown in Attachment A to Regional Board Resolution No. 82-07:

- 1. Page 4-2, Thermal Plan and Ocean Plan
- Page 4-4, Table 4-1, Selected Comparisons of Existing Surface Water Quality with Water Quality Planning Criteria.
- 3. Pages 4-8 and 4-9, Pesticides (excluding limiting concentration for endrin).
- 4. Page 4-9, Chemical Constituents, including Table 4-4, Inorganic, Organic, and Fluoride Concentrations not to be Exceeded in Domestic or Municipal Supply.
- Page 4-13, Water Quality Objectives for Specific Inland Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries.
- Page 4-14, Table 4-8, Median Surface Water Quality Objectives.

That the following pages of said basin plan as described in Attachment A to Regional Board Resolution No. 82-07 not be revised and those portions of Resolution No. 82-07 be returned to the Regional Board for reconsideration:

- Pages 4-8 and 4-9, Pesticides--the limiting concentration for endrin.
- 2. Page 4-9, Radioactivity.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on October 21, 1982.

Clint Whitney

APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO TULARE LAKE BASIN WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN (5D) DELETING THE PROHIBITION OF SEPTIC TANK SYSTEMS WITHIN THE THREE RIVERS AREA

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. On July 25, 1975, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, (Regional Board) adopted a Water Quality Control Plan for the Tulare Lake Basin (basin plan). This basin plan was approved by the State Board on August 21, 1975 in Resolution No. 75-80.
- 2. The Regional Board adopted an amendment to the basin plan which established a prohibition of septic tank systems within the Three Rivers area by Regional Board Order No. 76-88 on March 26, 1976. This amendment was approved by the State Board on May 20, 1976 in Resolution No. 76-52.
- 3. The discharge of wastes from leaching or percolation systems was prohibited after January 1, 1980 by Regional Board Resolution No. 76-88.
- 4. The final compliance date of January 1, 1980 was not met. The Regional Board adopted Order No. 80-095 on June 26, 1980 requiring the property owners within the Three Rivers Community Services District (TRCSD) to cease and desist discharging wastes in violation of Regional Board Order No. 76-88.
- 5. The State Board reviewed Regional Board Order No. 80-095 pursuant to a petition for review filed by TRCSD. The State Board subsequently adopted Order No. W.Q. 81-3 which states, in part, "While the requirements of Sections 13280-13284 do not apply to Basin Plan prohibitions adopted before January 1, 1978, we direct the Regional Board to review the Basin Plan prohibition for the Three Rivers area in accord with those sections. Order No. 80-095 should remain in effect at least until such review is completed."
- 6. The Regional Board staff indicated that they reviewed a representative number of special design systems installed since the prohibition went into effect and concluded that adequate protection of water quality and beneficial uses and prevention of nuisances, pollution, and contamination can be attained by appropriate design, location, sizing, operation, construction, and maintenance of individual disposal systems in lieu of prohibition of discharges for such systems.
- 7. TRCSD has formed an On-Site Wastewater Disposal Zone (OSWDZ) in accord with Health and Safety Code Sections 6950-6981, adopted ordinances concerning wastewater disposal, and has provided assurance to the Board that they have initiated a billing program, started an inspection program, adopted procedures to ensure new systems conform to TRCSD standards, and incorporated within the ordinances the authority to correct existing and future disposal problems.

8. The Regional Board, on July 23, 1982, adopted a basin plan amendment (Resolution No. 82-087) deleting the Three Rivers septic tank prohibition.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The State Water Resources Control Board approves the amendment to the Tulare Lake Basin Plan deleting the prohibition of septic tank systems in the Three Rivers area with the following recommendations:

- 1. The Regional Board, in cooperation with TRCSD, should develop a self-monitoring program for surface and ground water quality in the OSWDZ to be implemented by TRCSD.
- 2. The Regional Board should request an annual report be prepared and submitted by TRCSD documenting the activities of its on-site wastewater management program. The report should include, but not be limited to, the following information: (a) both tabular and geographical summaries of the monitoring data obtained; and (b) a comprehensive discussion of the compliance record and corrective actions taken or planned that may be needed to bring existing or new systems into full compliance with requirements of the Regional Board and TRCSD.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on October 21, 1982.

Clint Whitney Executive Director

# STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

### RESOLUTION NO. 82-46

# SUPPORTING ADOPTION OF THE LAKE TAHAOE ACQUISITIONS BOND ISSUE

#### WHEREAS:

- Lake Tahoe is an outstanding state and national resource. Only two other lakes in the world rival Lake Tahoe's extraordinary clarity and deep blue color;
- 2. State and federal law require that the outstanding water quality of Lake Tahoe be preserved;
- 3. The quality of Lake Tahoe is not being maintained. Erosion problems, caused by development in the Lake Tahoe Basin, are adding sediment and nutrients to the Lake, where they stimulate the growth of algae. Over the past twenty years, algal growth rates have doubled;
- 4. Strict controls on development are in force to maintain the water quality of Lake Tahoe. These controls make construction impossible on many of the vacant lots and parcels in the Lake Tahoe Basin:
- 5. A land purchase program is essential to making controls on development in the Lake Tahoe Basin both fair and effective;
- The Lake Tahoe Acquisitions Bond Issue provides \$85 million for purchase of environmentally sensitive lands in the Lake Tahoe Basin; now

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

The State Water Resources Control Board endorses the Lake Tahoe Acquisitions Bond Issue (Proposition 4) on the November 1982 ballot and urges California voters to pass the measure.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on October 21, 1982.

Clint Whitney Executive Director

### APPROVING THE PHASE III 208 CONTRACTS FOR BUTTE COUNTY AND TULARE LAKE WESTSIDE GROUNDWATER STUDY IN THE CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The State Board and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved workplans for the County Studies and Tulare Lake Groundwater Study elements of the PhaseII 208 Non Designated Area Program, and
- 2. The workplans provide for portions of the work to be completed under contract and
- 3. Contractors have been selected and work statements prepared.
- 4. Funds for these contracts are available from Phase II 208 funds and budgeted Clean Water Bond Funds.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That the State Board approves execution of contracts between Butte County and the State Water Resources Control Board and between the joint ventures of Bean and Logan and the State Water Resources Control Board.
- 2. That the State Board approves the use of \$20,000 of presently budgeted Clean Water Bond Funds for matching purposes.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Board held on October 21, 1982.

Clint Whitney Street Executive Director

APPROVING THE FINAL REPORT, HUMBOLDT BAY NONPOINT SOURCE STUDY PROJECT; BACTERIAL STUDY OF NONPOINT SOURCE BACTERIAL CONTAMINATION, AND CIRCULATION AND FLUSHING OF HUMBOLDT BAY

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The State Water Resources Control Board is responsible for conducting Section 208 planning in accordance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972 and subsequent amendments, and
- 2. The North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board's Phase II 208 Workplan calls for Development of Waste Management Practices for Non-Point Source Discharges in Humboldt Bay, and
- 3. The State Board entered into a contract on behalf of the Regional Board with the firms of Anatec Laboratories, Inc. and Ramlit Associates for the purpose of developing and conducting bacteriological and circulation and flushing studies of Humboldt Bay, and
- 4. Anatec Laboratories, Inc. and Ramlit Associates submitted a Final Report, Humboldt Bay Nonpoint Source Study Project; Planning Study of Nonpoint Source Bacterial Contamination, and Circulation and Flushing of Humboldt Bay.
- 5. The North Coast Regional Board adopted Resolution No. 82-7 approving the Final Report, and found it to be a complete and comprehensive study of the subject, and
- 6. The State Board has reviewed the Final Report and finds that it fulfills the contract objectives, and
- 7. The North Coast Regional Board will use information contained in this report to develop waste management practices for non-point source discharges in Humboldt Bay and amend its Basin Plan.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

#### That the State Board:

- 1. Accepts the Final Report, Humboldt Bay Nonpoint Source Study.

  Project; Planning Study of Nonpoint Source Bacterial Contamination, and Circulation and Flushing of Humboldt Bay, submitted by Anatec Laboratories, Inc. and Ramlit Associates as completion of their contract with the State Board, and
- 2. Authorizes payment of the final invoice, and
- 3. Directs the Executive Director to transmit a copy of the Final Report to EPA for their review and approval.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on October 21, 1982.

Clint Whitney

# CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the San Francisco Bay Region was approved by the State Board on April 17, 1975.
- 2. Division 7 of the California Water Code states that Basin Plans shall be periodically reviewed and may be revised.
- 3. The Regional Board prepared amendments to the Basin Plan which were considered at public hearings on March 1, April 28, and July 21, 1982.
- 4. The amendments revise beneficial uses and water quality objectives, update Regional Board policies and implementation plans, and revise the State and Regional Board surveillance and monitoring program for the region.
- 5. The Basin Plan amendments satisfy the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.
- 6. A section of Chapter 4 of the Basin Plan identified as "Recommendations for Actions by the State Board" recommends State Board support of Bay studies, water allocation policies, water reclamation and conservation policy, actions for development of toxic material water quality objectives, and development of pollution control cost/benefit guidelines.
- A section of Chapter 5 of the Basin Plan, entitled "Plans and Policies", does not reference the State Board policy document entitled <u>Policy and</u> Action Plan for Water <u>Reclamation in California</u>, adopted January 6, 1977.
- 8. The Basin Plan contains guidelines for the regulation of erosion and sedimentation which recognize the responsibility of local governments for erosion and sedimentation control. The Regional Board has urged local governments to adopt adequate erosion and sedimentation control ordinances. If such ordinances are not adopted, the Regional Board can enforce erosion control guidelines to achieve water quality objectives through the issuance of individual waste discharge requirements within the boundaries of local jurisdictions which do not have effective erosion and sedimentation control programs.
- 9. The Basin Plan contains effluent limitations to help achieve water quality objectives. The Regional Board will consider changes to the effluent limitations, including waivers to the secondary treatment requirement for marine discharges, to the extent that such changes are consistent with Federal and State law.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That the State Board approves the July 21, 1982 amendments to the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay Basin with the following understandings:
  - a. The language of the guidelines for erosion and sediment control shall not be construed as a mandatory requirement that local governments adopt erosion control ordinances. However, the Regional Board may take all steps within its authority to control erosion and sedimentation within the Region, including the issuance of individual waste discharge requirements for construction projects and other developments.
  - b. Footnote (a) to Table 4-3 of the Basin Plan Amendments (preceding page 4-9) should refer to page 4-1 for the discussion of effluent limit modifications, rather than to page 4-3.
- 2. That the State Board notes with approval the recommendation that local governments within the San Francisco Bay Region adopt and implement enforceable erosion and sedimentation control programs based upon the model ordinances presented in the Manual of Standards for Erosion and Sediment Control Measures developed under an Areawide Waste Treatment Management grant from the Environmental Protection Agency.
- 3. That the State Board notes the recommendations for State Board action contained in the Basin Plan and reserves to itself the decision to act on the recommendations.
- 4. That the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board is requested to amend the Basin Plan to include the State Board's <u>Policy and Action Plan</u> for Reclamation in California at the earliest opportunity.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on October 21, 1982.

Clint Whitney T Executive Director

### APPROVING THE AQUATIC HABITAT PROGRAM PLAN FOR ASSESSING THE EFFECTS OF POLLUTANTS IN THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY-DELTA ESTUARY

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. A comprehensive program is needed to assess the effects of pollutants from point and nonpoint sources on the beneficial uses of water in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary.
- 2. The information from the program is essential for establishing and revising water quality standards and policies for the protection of beneficial uses in the Estuary.
- 3. The State Board and the Environmental Protection Agency approved in December 1980 a Workplan for the Aquatic Habitat Program to develop and implement needed long-term studies in the Estuary.
- 4. The State Board formed a Policy Task Force made up of local discharger groups, State and Federal water and fishery agencies to advise the State Board during the course of this program.
- 5. The Policy Task Force recommended and the State Board has approved the Bylaws of a nonprofit independent organization titled the "San Francisco Bay-Delta Aquatic Habitat Institute" to coordinate pollutant related studies in the Estuary.
- 6. The Policy Task Force has reviewed the revised draft Plan for Assessing the Effects of Pollutants in the Estuary.
- 7. On September 20, 1982, the Policy Task Force recommended that the State Board approve and implement the revised Plan for Assessing the Effects of Pollutants in the Estuary.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That the State Board approves the Aquatic Habitat Program's "Plan for Assessing the Effects of Pollutants in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary," as a statement of (a) the goals, objectives and tasks necessary to fully implement this program and (b) the State Board's resource commitment to this program, subject to the refinement of the program and the availability of funds.
- 2. That the State Board transfers project management of the Local Effects Element of this program to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Region (Regional Board), and recommends that the Regional Board implement this program in cooperation with local dischargers and other agencies as called for in the plan approved by the State Board.

3. That the State Board recommends that the Regional Board take all necessary steps to form the Aquatic Habitat Institute to assist in the implementation of the plan approved by the State Board.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on October 21, 1982.

Clint Whitney EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

#### APPROVAL OF THE PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

#### WHEREAS:

- The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reserved approximately 1. \$3.6 million in federal 205(j) grant funds for California to conduct water quality management planning.
- A Program Implementation Plan describing the State 205(j) planning process 2. must be submitted to EPA before EPA will make a grant offer to the States.
- The Board received public testimony on the Draft Program Implementation 3. Plan on October 27, 1982.
- The Draft Program Implementation Plan has been revised to incorporate 4. appropriate comments.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That the State Water Resources Control Board approve the Program Implementation 1. Plan, Water Quality Management Planning (Section 205(j)) of the Federal Clean Water Act and authorize the Executive Director to submit the Plan to EPA.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on November 4, 1982.

Clint Whitney

# RATIFYING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S ACCEPTANCE OF A CLEAN LAKES GRANT INCREASE FOR LAKE TAHOE

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The Lake Tahoe Basin Water Quality Plan requires construction of remedial erosion control projects.
- 2. The State Board has previously accepted \$2,069,223 in Clean Lakes grant funding for Lake Tahoe to help pay for these erosion control projects.
- 3. On August 16, 1982, the State Board submitted a grant amendment request for additional Clean Lakes funding.
- 4. The Environmental Protection Agency responded by offering the State Board a Clean Lakes grant increase of \$202,061. The Executive Director accepted the offer on October 8, 1982.
- 5. The State Board has authorized the Executive Director to negotiate and execute contracts with local governments and districts in the Lake Tahoe Basin, with other State agencies and the University of California to perform the work funded under the Clean Lakes grant (State Board Resolution No. 81-37).

#### THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That the State Board ratifies the Executive Director's October 8, 1982 acceptance of the Lake Tahoe Clean Lakes grant increase offered by the Environmental Protection Agency.
- 2. That the delegation authorizing the Executive Director to negotiate and execute contracts to perform the work funded under the Clean Lakes grant includes authorization to negotiate and execute contracts funded by the grant increase.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on November 18, 1982.

Clint Whitney Executive Director

CERTIFICATION OF THE PHASE II 208
"UPPER PUTAH CREEK WATERSHED WATER
QUALITY PROBLEM ASSESSMENT REPORT"

#### WHEREAS:

- The State Water Resources Control Board is responsible for the Statewide Section 208 Areawide Waste Treatment Management Planning Program in accordance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.
- The Board approved a workplan of the Central Valley Regional Board for Water Quality Problem Assessment studies in the Upper Putah Creek Watershed.
- 3. The studies were to include:
  - o watershed surveys to determine existing and potential activities which could impair water quality.
  - o develop programs to correct identified problems and reduce impacts of potential problems.
- 4. The Central Valley Regional Board prepared a report entitled "Upper Putah Creek Water Quality Problem Assessment Report".
- 5. This report identifies water quality problems and recommends several actions to correct or reduce impacts of these problems on Upper Putah Creek water quality.
- 6. The State Board has reviewed the report and finds that the Central Valley Regional Board completed its workplan objectives and that they should take immediate steps to implement the recommended actions identified in the report.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

### That the State Board:

- Certifies the "Upper Putah Creek Watershed Water Quality Problem Assessment Report" and the best management practices identified in the "Conclusions and Control Strategies" of the report as part of the Areawide Waste Treatment Management Plan for the nondesignated 208 area of the State
- 2. Requests that the Regional Board submit two progress reports containing the information contained in Exhibit A to the State Board. The first report to be due by July 31, 1983 and the second one due approximately 6 months later.
- 3. Directs staff to transmit the report to EPA for approval as completing the Water Quality Assessment Project of the Central Valley Region Phase II 208 program.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on November 18, 1982.

Clint Whitney

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The State Water Resources Control Board is responsible for the Statewide Section 208 Areawide Waste Treatment Management planning program in accordance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.
- 2. The Board approved a workplan for the Central Valley Water Quality Control Board for County Studies.
- 3. As part of the County Studies element, the Board executed a contract for \$50,000 with SACOG to study hazardous waste generation, collection and disposal in the SACOG region.
- 4. SACOG did not complete the small generator inventory required as part of the contract, and
- 5. As a result, the BMPs contained in the Final Report did not reflect the total hazardous waste collection and disposal needs of the SACOG region.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

## That the State Board:

- 1. Approves the SACOG report: "Hazardous Waste in the SACOG Region" as partial completion of the contract requirements.
- 2. Authorizes payment of a Final invoice of \$7,673 with the total amount paid under this contract not to exceed \$31,500 and
- 3. Directs staff to transmit the report to EPA for consideration and approval.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on November 18, 1982.

Clint Whitney Executive Director

# CERTIFICATION OF THE FINAL REPORTS, VENTURA COUNTY AGRICULTURAL RETURN WATER STUDY, AND VENTURA COUNTY ABANDONED WATER WELLS STUDY

#### WHEREAS:

- I. The State Water Resources Control Board is responsible for conducting Section 208 planning in accordance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972 and subsequent amendments, and
- 2. The Ventura County Board of Supervisors is the designated 208 agency for Ventura County, and
- 3. All 208 projects are required to develop Best Management Practices and to identify implementing agencies, and
- 4. The Ventura County 208 Workplan calls for development of technical solutions and implementation methods for the proper destruction of abandoned water wells in Ventura County, and
- 5. The Ventura County Board of Supervisors, acting in its capacity as the designated 208 agency for Ventura County, approved the two Final Reports, Ventura County Agricultural Return Water Study, and Ventura County Abandoned Water Wells Study in July 1982 and submitted them to the State Board in August 1982, and
- 6. The State Board has reviewed the <u>Ventura County Abandoned Water Wells Study</u> and finds that it fulfills the 208 Workplan objectives and criteria for certification.
- 7. The State Board has reviewed the Ventura County Agricultural Return Water Study and finds that it lacks a plan for implementing the study's recommended solutions.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

#### That the State Board:

- 1. Certifies the Final Report Ventura County Abandoned Water Wells Study submitted by the Ventura County Board of Supervisors as completion of their Phase IV 208 Workplan, and
- 2. Certifies the Final Report, Ventura County Agricultural Return Water Study with the condition that a plan of implementation be developed and submitted within 90 days, by the County which would include the following items:
  - A. Identification of the local agency or agencies which will carry out the implementation recommendations contained in the study.
  - B. Specify a timetable for carrying out the implementation recommendations contained in the study.
  - C. Identify the source or sources of funding for carrying out the implementation recommendations contained in the study, and

3. Directs the Executive Director to transmit a copy of each Final Report to EPA for its review and approval.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on November 18, 1982.

Clint Whitney

REVOKED by SWRCB

Peopletin ho. 83-73

Pate 9/15/83

APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO LOAN CONTRACT NO. Q7-002-50 BETWEEN THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD AND THE SOUTH TAHOE PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

#### Whereas:

- 1. The Board on February 17, 1977, approved a loan of \$1.7 million to the South Tahoe Public Utility District (Agency) from the State Water Quality Control Fund to provide funds for the rehabilitation of the Luther Pass pipeline, pumping stations and appurtenances. The loan was to be repaid within 25 years or lump sum payment upon receipt of any funds recovered through litigation.
- 2. The Agency has requested the loan contract be amended as follows:

(a) Allow the Agency to continue to make annual repayments of principal and interest in accordance with the present repayment schedule, and

(b) Upon completion of the construction of the Treatment Plant Improvement Project (Improvement Project), scheduled in Priority Class A on the 1983 Grant Priority List, revise the repayment schedule to provide for repayment by the Agency of all principal and interest within five (5) annual installment periods subsequent to completion of the Improvement Project.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That the State Board hereby authorizes the Executive Director to execute an amendment to Contract No. Q7-002-50, subject to approval of the Director of Finance, on behalf of the State Board as follows:

- 1. The maximum amount of the loan shall be reduced to the amount of \$1,310,900.
- 2. Until completion of construction of the Improvement Project, the repayment of principal and interest shall continue in accordance with the present repayment schedule adjusted, however, to reflect the net state interest costs on sale of general obligation bonds for the year in which the current amendment is executed. Upon completion of construction of the Improvement Project, the Agency shall pay all principal and interest due in five equal, annual installments, with the first installment due one year after completion of construction or October 1, 1985, whichever first occurs.
- 3. The Agency shall agree to expeditiously complete construction of the Improvement Project.
- 4. The Agency shall agree to use all litigation proceeds solely for the remainder of any litigation costs, continuing costs related to the Luther Pass pipeline, and the Improvement Project.
- 5. Within 30 days after execution of the amendment, the Agency shall supply a full accounting of all loan funds received by the Agency.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on November 18, 1982

Clint Whitney Executive Director

RATIFYING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S ACCEPTANCE OF A SECTION 104(9) GRANT FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR A SPECIAL OUTREACH OPERATOR TRAINING PROGRAM

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. Effective operator training is critically needed at small publicly owned treatment works.
- 2. The State Board submitted an application for Section 104(g) grant funding for on-site, over-the-shoulder operation and management training.
- 3. The Environmental Protection Agency has offered the State Board a 104(g) grant for \$60,000. The offer has been accepted by the Executive Director.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

 That the State Board ratifies the Executive Director's acceptance of the Section 104(g) operator training grant from the Environmental Protection Agency.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on November 18, 1982.

Clint Whitney

AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT OR INTERGOVERNMENTAL PERSONNEL ACT AGREEMENTS WITH THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

#### WHEREAS:

- The U. S. Army Corps of Engineers desires the State Water Resources Control Board's Division of Water Quality to perform Project Closeouts and Claims Review for the Clean Water Grant Program;
- 2. The services are needed for approximately ten months, ending September 30, 1983;
- The services will require up to 19 people at a cost of approximately \$850,000;
- The Division of Water Quality has the staff and capability to provide these services.

# THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That the Board authorize the Executive Director to negotiate and execute a contract or Intergovernmental Personnel Act Agreements with the Corps of Engineers to provide Division of Water Quality staff through September 30, 1983, at a cost not to exceed \$850,000.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on November 18, 1982.

Clint Whitney Executive Director

### POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCB) REPORT

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. In February 1982, the State Board approved the Industrial Chemicals Workplan to study the potential impacts of industrial chemicals on beneficial uses.
- 2. One of the elements in this workplan was development of criteria to select industrial chemicals for highest priority consideration.
- Eleven criteria were developed and included:
  - a. Acute and chronic toxicity to human and aquatic life,
  - Carcinogenicity, mutagenicity and teratogenicity (reproductive effects),
  - c. Bioaccumulation potential,
  - d. Persistence,
  - e. Volume of use in California,
  - f. Public concern about perceived hazards,
  - g. Detection in state monitoring programs,
  - h. Detection in remote areas worldwide,
  - i. Fish and wildlife kills,
  - j. Potential for contamination of surface and ground waters, and
  - k. Actions by other state and federal agencies, e.g., inclusion of a particular chemical in EPA's priority pollutant list (Clean Water Act), or revision of EPA's regulations pertaining to particular industrial chemicals (Toxic Substances Control Act).
- 4. On the basis of these criteria, six industrial chemicals, including PCBs, were selected for study.
- 5. The State Board has prepared a comprehensive report on PCBs which addresses the previously described criteria.
- 6. The report incorporates peer review comments from scientists recognized in the fields of PCB chemistry and toxicology, as well as technical and regulatory comments from state and federal agencies and the utility industry.
- 7. In view of the findings of the report, the State Board has developed several recommendations for mitigating PCB's impacts on beneficial uses of water.

  These recommendations involve several federal and state agencies.

# THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That the State Board approve the PCB Report.
- 2. That the State Board direct staff to develop:
  - a. a statewide policy for PCBs that would prohibit the discharge of PCBs to water from controllable sources, and
  - b. a statewide PCB control program for implementation by the State Board and Regional Boards that includes:
    - Guidelines for spill and abandoned waste clean-up.
    - Minimum requirements for fish and shellfish consumption; and
    - Response levels that trigger source identification and feasible control measures.
- That specific recommendations in the report be transmitted to other affected state and federal agencies for their consideration.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on November 18, 1982.

### STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

Resolution No. 82-60

ADOPTION OF FINDING OF EMERGENCY AND EMERGENCY REGULATIONS IMPLEMENTING AB 2440

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. On September 27, 1982, AB 2440 was enacted as an Urgency Statute, taking effect upon that date which requires the Board to act within one year on applications which relate to small hydroelectric facilities.
- 2. AB 2440 requires submission of an instream beneficial use assessment by applicants for permits to appropriate water which propose, as a primary purpose, the development of small hydroelectric energy facilities at an existing dam, canal, or conduit, up to and including 30 megawatts, or the development of any other hydroelectric facility with a generating capacity of five megawatts or less.
- AB 2440 provides for an application fee to cover the reasonable costs of the Board and the Department of Fish and Game.
- 4. Regulations are needed immediately to implement AB 2440.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That the Board adopts the attached Finding of Emergency and Emergency Regulations.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board on November 18, 1982.

### SAN MARCOS INTERCEPTOR FUNDING

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board has requested early grant funding for the proposed \$10 million San Marcos Interceptor;
- One portion of this project will replace an interceptor which is close to failure and the other portion will replace capacity currently being provided by Buena Sanitation District under an expired lease;
- 3. The proposed project will solve serious existing and threatened public health and water pollution problems; and
- 4. The proposed project meets the requirements under Section 3621 of the California Administrative Code for placement in Priority Class A.

### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The Board hereby approves the placement of the San Marcos Interceptor project in Priority Class A on the FY 1983 Priority List for funding in FY 1983.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on December 16, 1982.

Clint Whitney

# STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD Resolution No. 82-62

AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO NEGOTIATE CONDITIONS FOR ACCEPTANCE OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE CLEAN LAKES GRANT FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR LAKE MERRITT, ALAMEDA COUNTY, AND TO ENTER INTO A LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE CITY OF OAKLAND AS THE LOCAL IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

#### WHEREAS:

- The State Board has published and submitted to EPA for approval a feasibility study report recommending a restoration plan for Lake Merritt.
- 2. The proposed local implementing agency is the City of Oakland. The City has requested that the Board apply for an extension to the existing grant and for a change to Phase II status so that local expenditures for lake restoration will be eligible for potential federal matching funds.
- 3. The State Board has applied for an extension of two years to the existing Phase I grant and change to Phase II status, without commitment of state or federal funds.
- 4. The comment process under the federal office of Management and Budget Circular A-95 is proceeding as required.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That the State Board authorize the Executive Director to negotiate conditions for acceptance, and to accept, an amendment to the Clean Lakes Grant from the Environmental Protection Agency for the Lake Merritt restoration project.

That the State Board authorize the Executive Director to enter into a letter of understanding with the City of Oakland as the local implementing agency recognizing that expenditures by the City for restoration of Lake Merritt will constitute the local match for federal funds, if available.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on December 16, 1982.

Clint Whitney Executive Director

(We thetale

APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR THE CENTRAL COASTAL BASIN (BASIN PLAN) POLICY ON WASTE DISCHARGES FROM MUSHROOM FARM OPERATIONS

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region, (Regional Board) adopted the basin plan on March 14, 1975.
- 2. The Regional Board has determined the basin plan required further revision and amendment.
- 3. The Regional Board staff completed a study entitled "Special Investigation--Mushroom Farms" (Mushroom Farm Study).
- 4. The Mushroom Farm Study identifies existing and potential water quality problems resulting from improper management of mushroom farm waste discharges and recommends guidelines to control water quality problems.
- 5. On March 19, 1982, after due public notice, the Regional Board received evidence and considered all factors concerning the proposed revisions and amendments to the basin plan.
- 6. The Regional Board, on July 9, 1982, adopted a basin plan amendment (Order No. 82-04) establishing policy on waste discharges from mushroom farm operations.
- 7. On August 11, 1982, the Regional Board submitted a request for State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) consideration for approval of the above titled basin plan amendment in accordance with Section 13245 of the California Water Code.
- 8. A review of the record shows that a basin plan amendment is justified.

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That the State Board approves the amendment to the basin plan contained in Regional Board Resolution No. 82-04.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on December 16, 1982.

Clint Whitney
Executive Director



CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR THE CENTRAL COASTAL BASIN CONCERNING WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR THE SALINAS RIVER

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region, (Regional Board) adopted the Water Quality Control Plan, Central Coastal Basin (basin plan) on March 14, 1975.
- 2. Division 7 of the California Water Code mandates that basin plans shall be periodically reviewed and may be revised.
- 3. In 1981, the Regional Board prepared and published a report entitled "A Review of Water Quality Standards for the San Lorenzo and Salinas Rivers".
- 4. The aforesaid report identifies beneficial uses and water quality objectives for the two rivers and cites some general water quality objectives for all inland surface waters in the Central Coast region where references and data have been updated.
- 5. Based on the findings of the aforesaid report, the Regional Board has determined that Chapter 2, Present and Potential Beneficial Uses, and Chapter 4, Water Quality Objectives, of the basin plan require revision.
- 6. The Regional Board after a public hearing adopted Resolution No. 82-08, Consideration of Amendments to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Salinas River, on July 9, 1982.
- 7. On July 21, 1982, the Regional Board submitted a request for State Board consideration of approval for the above-titled basin plan amendment in accordance with Section 13245 of the California Water Code.
- 8. The basin plan amendment revises beneficial use designations and adds two footnotes to Table 2-1, Existing and Anticipated Uses of Inland Surface Waters.
- The basin plan amendment also revises the water quality objective for sulfate in the reach of the Salinas River above Spreckels to 125 mg/l.
- 10. The aforementioned 1981 Water Quality Standards Report is the basis for a number of these revisions.
- 11. A review of the record finds that the Regional Board agenda item attached to Resolution No. 82-08 provides reasonable discussion and justification for the remaining revisions.

12. The basin plan amendment is consistent with all federal and state statutes including State Board Water Quality Control Plans.

### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That pages 2-4 and 4-14 of the basin plan be revised and amended as shown on Attachment A of Regional Board Resolution No. 82-08.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on December 16, 1982.

Clint Whitney
Executive Director

APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN, CENTRAL COASTAL BASIN, POLICY FOR MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT

#### WHEREAS:

- 1. The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region, (Regional Board) adopted the Water Quality Control Plan, Central Coastal Basin, (basin plan) on March 14, 1975.
- 2. The Regional Board has determined the basin plan requires further revision and amendment.
- 3. Proposed revisions and amendments apply to Chapter 5, Recommended Plan, of said basin plan.
- 4. The State Board approved Part I of the basin plan on March 20, 1975, with the understanding that the stipulated control actions set forth in Chapter 5 are to be implemented, but that identified actions set forth in Chapter 5 other than control actions are recommendations to be taken under consideration by the State Board, Regional Board, and other appropriate agencies.
- 5. The Regional Board, on July 9, 1982, adopted Resolution No. 82-06 delineating revisions and amendments to pages 5-1 through 5-27 of the basin plan.
- 6. The amendments contained in Regional Board Resolution No. 82-06 pertain to identified actions other than control actions and are, therefore, recommendations to be taken under consideration by the State Board, Regional Board, and other appropriate agencies.
- 7. Regional Board staff has prepared documents and followed appropriate procedures to satisfy the environmental documentation requirements of both the California Environmental Quality Act, under Public Resources Code Section 21080.5 (Functional Equivalent), and Federal Clean Water Act of 1977 (PL 92-500 and PL 95-217).

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That the State Water Resources Control Board approves the amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Central Coastal Basin contained in Regional Board Resolution No. 82-06 acknowledging that the recommended plans specify stringent discharge requirements for municipal dischargers. Timing of implementation may be dependent upon evaluations of the effectiveness of each project in solving documented water quality problems.

#### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on December 16, 1982.

Clint Whitney Executive Director