MCWRA Salinas River Stream Maintenance Program Update

Salinas River Management Public Workshop

Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board

Salinas, CA March 6, 2014





Where We Have Been

- In the old days things were easier...
 - Less Requirements to get work done...
- State and Federal Regulations
 - State: Porter Cologne Water Quality Control Act –
 1969
 - Federal: Clean Water Act 1972; '77 and '87 amendments
 - Federal Endangered Species Act 1973
 - Red-Legged Frog, threatened 1996
 - Steelhead, threatened 1997
 - Salinas River designated as critical Steelhead habitat 2005



Where We Have Been (cont.)

- Other changes that have occurred...
 - MCFC&WCD became MCWRA by Agency Act 1991
 - Inserted BOD
 - Dual Governance
 - Do not receive any County General Fund monies
 - Authority over County water
 - Responsibility where funded
 - Proposition 218 1997



Where We Have Been (cont.)

- Pre 2008 Work done / allowed...
 - USACE / State
 - Cleared lower Salinas River 1952
 - Repaired private levees 1982 / 1983
 - Issuance of Emergency Permit 1995



Where We Have Been (cont.)

- Work has been done locally...
 - Public / Private efforts
 - Salinas River Channel Coalition formed: 1995
 - MCWRA held Master Regional General Permit: 1997 2001, work performed by landowners
 - MCWRA held Regional General Permit: 2003-2008, work performed by landowners
 - MND for new RGP met with opposition: 2009



MCWRA Approach

- Historical process needs to change
- MCWRA has to shift strategy
 - Recognized it could not 'go it alone'
- Two Phase approach:
 - Short-term to deal with immediate need
 - Long-term for holistic stream management approach



What is at Stake?

- Transportation throughout County
- Wastewater Treatment Facilities / Other City infrastructure
- \$4 billion annual agricultural production value
- Food Safety
- Jobs



Short-Term Approach

- Stream Maintenance Program (SMP)
 - Short-term project (5-10 years)
 - Address frequent flooding events (up to 10-year flow)
 - Vegetation and sediment removal to increase carrying capacity of river
 - Examining 92 miles of river for flooding



Where We Are

- MCWRA began EIR preparations for new program: 2010
 - Final EIR completed in 2013
 - Certification delayed due to many interested parties requesting additional work be performed
 - MCWRA held four follow-up meetings: 10/2013-2/2014
 - Understand concerns and proposal
 - Develop possible project or process changes



Where We Are (cont.)

- Many good ideas out of Stakeholder meetings:
 - Short-term focus
 - Develop more specific activities and locations
 - Additional activities such as trash removal, bank stabilization and bypass channel construction
 - Some clarity and revision to the project and the EIR, would benefit this program
- Months of additional public process have provided a better understanding for all parties involved



Short-Term Pathway Forward

- Project support and guidance provided by:
 - Salinas River Task Force (GSA,SRCC, FB,SVWC)
 - Monterey County Coastkeeper
 - The Nature Conservancy
 - Resources Conservation District of Monterey County
 - General Farm Investments
 - And additional interested parties



Phased Approach for Short-Term

- Invasive Species removal (RCD lead)
- 404 Permit for Chualar and Gonzales RMUs (TNC lead)
- 404 Permit on larger scale develop specific information (MCWRA lead)
 - Umbrella project to include a range of activities
 - Include project-specific details
 - Develop performance standards based on different reaches
 - Adaptable to meet changing conditions of river and participation
 - System-wide benefits, solution for whole river



Why This Approach?

- Start something sooner
- Increased resources from other organizations
- Demonstrating processes that may be replicated
- Stepping stones towards broader permits
- Offers a variety of activities
- Increases clarity and understanding of Program
- Approach helps guide towards Long-Term Program



Necessary Steps for Short-Term

- Continue to work with The Nature Conservancy on the demonstration project
- Develop a phased approach that encompasses range of options
- Get more detailed project and site specific information
- Update project for revised CEQA analysis
- Begin preparing permit applications and necessary documentation for larger system



Why a Long-Term Program?

- A River Management Program would encompass more than clearing the channel
 - Comprehensive program to protect cities and agriculture land from flooding
 - Measures to enhance fish and wildlife habitat and fish passage
 - Improvement in Water Quality for environment and urban uses
 - Mitigation of sea water intrusion
 - Maximization of surface water and groundwater quality
 - Consideration of the impact of the Salinas River on the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary



Long-Term Salinas River Management Program: Idea Development

- Board of Directors Strategic Planning Workshop (2012) outcomes:
 - Conceptual Plan based on:
 - Premise that Agency cannot "go it alone"
 - Seeking State assistance in the development of a comprehensive Salinas River Management Program
 - State Legislature create a steering committee to develop Long-Term River Management Program



Long-Term Salinas River Management Program: Recent Activities

- Legislation introduced February 2014
 - AB 155 by Assembly Member Alejo
 - SB 1398 by Senator Cannella



Feedback on Conceptual Plan

- Needs to be a <u>local process</u>
- Neutral professional facilitation needed
- Technical team needed
- Public involvement in a transparent process
- Do not establish another layer of bureaucracy
- Respect private property rights



What makes the Salinas River especially challenging?

- The Salinas River Channel is owned by individual landowners with no public access
- Regulatory agencies often pursue focused objectives that can conflict with other regulatory agencies' objectives
- Pathway for funding is elusive



Long-Term Path Forward

- Establish funding for Salinas River Management Program development
- Identify governance structure
- Create long-term goals and objectives
- Begin a facilitated process that includes:
 - Environmental/conservation interests
 - Landowners/growers
 - Resource agencies with decision-making authority
 - Municipalities
 - Other interested parties



Summary

- Paradigm shift needed due to changed environment
- MCWRA could not 'go it alone' strategy shift
- Two Phase Approach
 - Short-term: addressing critical needs
 - Long-term: holistic river system focus
- Working with Stakeholders has been beneficial
- Partnerships are key to success

