



# California Regional Water Resources Control Board

## Central Coast Region



Linda S. Adams  
Secretary for  
Environmental Protection

Internet Address: <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast>  
895 Aerovista Place – Suite 101, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-7906  
Phone (805) 549-3147 • FAX (805) 543-0397

Arnold Schwarzenegger  
Governor

### MINUTES REGULAR MEETING Thursday and Friday, September 7-8, 2006 Central Coast Regional Water Board

#### Thursday, September 7, 2006

Chairman Jeffrey Young called the meeting of the Central Coast Regional Water Board to order at 3:00 p.m. on Thursday, September 7, 2006, at the Monterey City Council Chambers, 598 Pacific Street, Monterey, California.

#### 1. Roll Call ..... Executive Assistant Carol Hewitt

**Board Members Present:**  
Chairman Jeffrey Young  
Vice-Chair Russell Jeffries  
Monica Hunter  
Daniel Press  
Gary Shallcross  
Leslie Bowker

**Absent:**  
John Hayashi

#### 2. Introductions ..... Executive Officer Roger Briggs

Executive Officer Briggs introduced staff and State Board Liaison Gary Wolff. Mr. Briggs asked all interested parties who wished to speak to fill out testimony cards and submit them. Mr. Briggs also introduced former State Board member Marc Del Piero.

Supplemental sheets and/or letters are available for item numbers 4, 6, and 7.

#### 3. Low Threat and General Discharge Cases ..... Information/Discussion/Board Approval

Mr. Briggs covered listed cases in the staff report. The Board had no questions. Mr. Briggs noted that the cases represent a great deal of staff work and expressed his appreciation to staff.

#### 4. Perchlorate Sites ..... Status Report

Staff Engineer Hector Hernandez gave a brief update to supplement the written staff report and introduced staff Geologist Thea Tryon. Mr. Hernandez's presentation focused on recent developments concerning the Olin

Cleanup case. Specifically, Mr. Hernandez updated the Board concerning: (1) Olin's Cleanup Feasibility Study Report, (2) bottled water terminations, and (3) characterization activities northeast of the site.

Ms. Tryon also provided a brief presentation concerning recent groundwater monitoring data provided by the City of Morgan Hill. Ms. Tryon discussed J-flag data and explained the difference between the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL). Ms. Tryon summarized staff's findings concerning other potential sources of perchlorate identified within the Llagas Subbasin, including bleach, the UTC site, fertilizers, flares, and fireworks.

Additionally, several interested persons addressed the Board including Rosemary Kamei, Tom Mohr, and Melanie Richardson, representing the Santa Clara Valley Water District; Mayor Dennis Kennedy and Ed Tewes, representing the City of Morgan Hill; Rick McClure, representing Olin Corp.; Richard Peekema, interested person; Craig O'Donnell, representing Assemblyman John Laird; and Sylvia Hamilton, representing the Perchlorate Community Advisory Group (PCAG).

The majority of the speakers expressed dissatisfaction with Olin's June 30, 2006 Cleanup Feasibility Study Report. Their comments prompted questions from the Board concerning the issue of establishing "background conditions" and determining a cleanup level for perchlorate in groundwater.

Several Board members asked Water Board staff for clarification on the cleanup efforts being implemented by the City of Morgan Hill and the establishment of a cleanup level for the area northeast of the site.

*(Chairman Young announced a break at 4:30 p.m. The meeting reconvened at 4:48 p.m.)*

**5. Underground Storage Tank Program & MTBE Cases..... Status Reports**

A written report was prepared. The Board had no questions.

**6. Executive Officer's Report..... Information/Discussion**

The Board asked about Water Quality Certifications that involved removal of vegetation and the filling of wetlands. They suggested including mitigation measures in the certification report.

They also asked staff to elaborate on the identified potential sources of perchlorate and to indicate when a cleanup level for perchlorate in groundwater would be established. Further, they asked whether Olin would be required to conduct any characterization work in addition to what has been performed thus far northeast of the Olin site.

Water Board staff indicated that any established cleanup level would apply for the entire Llagas Subbasin. Staff's review of Olin's Cleanup Feasibility Study Report will be completed soon and a comment letter will be sent out.

With regards to the area northeast of the Site, staff indicated that at this time there is no need to require any more characterization activities than those that are presently required northeast of the site. Based on the results of presently required characterization work, staff would determine the need for any additional characterization work and cleanup activities.

Chairman Young requested that a copy of the Water Board's comment letter concerning Olin's Feasibility Study Report be provided to the Board as soon as it is finalized.



through cooling systems at existing power plants. The Board asked questions regarding the status of the lawsuits.

Madeleine Clark, Elkhorn Slough Coalition, stated that MLPP needs to be permitted again immediately because once-through cooling is causing extensive damage and existing power plants were covered in the February 2004 Second Circuit Court decision.

The Board asked whether the February 2004 Second Circuit Court decision addressed existing facilities. Staff Counsel, Lori Okun clarified that the decision was clearly for new power plants and not for existing power plants.

## 7. Low Threat and General Discharge Cases..... Information/Discussion/Board Direction

Peter von Langen provided a presentation regarding two separate pilot desalination projects proposed for Moss Landing, one by California American Water Company and one by Poseidon Resources Corporation (in conjunction with Pajaro/Sunny Mesa Community Services District). Staff recommended enrolling both projects separately under the General Permit for Low Threat Discharges. The Board asked questions regarding the existing and proposed discharges at both facilities and about related impingement and entrainment of the National Refractories intake. Mr. von Langen showed slides on impingement and entrainment mitigation proposed by Poseidon. Board members asked about the size of the pilot versus a full-scale plant and if parts of the pilot project would be used in a full-scale plant.

### Speakers:

Mr. Jim Brezack from RBF Consulting (for Cal Am Water) responded to questions from the Board members regarding the Cal Am pilot and full-scale desalination projects.

Mr. Peter MacLaggan from Poseidon Resources Corporation responded to questions from the Board members regarding the Poseidon/Pajaro-Sunny Mesa CSD pilot and full-scale desalination projects.

Ms. Carolyn Nielson raised objections to permitting the pilot desalination projects and once-through cooling used at the Moss Landing Power Plant.

Ms. Nancy Pratt/HOPE mentioned high DDT levels in Moss Landing Harbor and asked staff to include monitoring.

Ms. Linda Agerbak stated that it is wrong that ratepayers have to pay and that there should not be competing projects.

Mr. Connor Everts, Desal Response Group did not support enrolling facilities under the Low Threat Permit and stated that monitoring questions should have been answered by Carlsbad pilot desalination project.

Ms. Madeleine Clark, Elkhorn Slough Coalition stated that the State Lands Commission policy is phasing out once-through cooling and that it is premature to allow colocated desalination. Ms. Clark stated that a full-scale plant may not happen and that a better alternative to make up for less water taken from the Carmel River would be to recycle the effluent from MRWPCA that is currently discharged offshore Marina Beach.

\*\*\*\*\*

The Board asked whether DDT was in the Monitoring and Reporting Program to which Peter von Langen responded affirmatively. The Board also noted that pilot, full-scale, and once-through cooling issues should be looked at separately. Pilot issues look minimal and should be permitted but full-scale projects will be looked at carefully.

The Board also noted that pilot projects were ok but raised concern about the incremental effect on impingement and entrainment from using the National Refractories once-through cooling system. The Board would like to see a report on the intake mortality and the effectiveness of the devices proposed to reduce impingement and entrainment. The



Low Threat Permit is appropriate for both facilities. The Department of Health Services is in charge of drinking water quality.

The Board meeting was adjourned at 7:37 p.m. The meeting will reconvene on Friday, September 8, 2006 at 8:30 a.m.



**Friday, September 8, 2006**

Chairman Jeffrey Young called the meeting of the Central Coast Regional Water Board to order at 8:30 a.m. on Friday, September 8, 2006, at the Monterey City Council Chambers, 598 Pacific Street, Monterey, California.

**8. Roll Call** ..... Executive Assistant Carol Hewitt

**Board Members Present:**

- Chairman Jeffrey Young
- Vice-Chair Russell Jeffries
- Leslie Bowker
- John Hayashi (arrived at 8:45 a.m.)
- Monica Hunter
- Daniel Press
- Gary Shallcross

**9. Introductions** ..... Executive Officer Roger Briggs

Executive Office Briggs introduced staff and the State Board Liaison, Gary Wolff, and Brad Hagemann, past Assistant Executive Officer. Mr. Briggs asked all interested parties to complete testimony cards and turn them in.

Supplemental sheets are available for Items 13, 14, and 15.

**10. Approval of April 28, 2006 and July 7, 2006 Meeting Minutes** ..... Board Motion

The April 28, 2006 meeting minutes were not approved at this meeting due to the lack of a quorum of Board members that attended the April meeting.

The April minutes will be approved at a subsequent meeting.

**MOTION: Russell Jeffries moved to approve the July 7, 2006 meeting minutes.**

**SECOND: None**

**CARRIED: (4-0)** Daniel Press and Leslie Bowker abstained from the vote.

**11. Uncontested Items Calendar** ..... Board Motion

Mr. Brad Hagemann, City of Paso Robles, noted that the City is looking forward to implementing the Salinas River Corridor Plan.

The City is also looking at a salts pre-treatment program for the City's wastewater treatment plant.

**MOTION: Russell Jeffries moved to approve the consent calendar that includes Item No. 16, City of Paso Robles Mandatory Minimum Penalty Order.**

**SECOND: Leslie Bowker**

**CARRIED: Unanimously (6-0)**



**12. Report by State Water Resources Control Board Liaison..... Status Report**

Mr. Gary Wolff reported on the State Board numeric effluent limits expert panel, Areas of Special Biological Significance (ASBS), 316(b) regulations, the 303(d) list of impaired waters, and the Water Quality Coordinating Committee meeting. The Board asked about the status of AB885 (septic program).

Mr. Wolff noted that State Board staff postponed the AB885 proposal to address concerns that some of the rural counties have raised. The Board also asked about ASBS and 316(b) regulation mitigation valuation methods.

*(Board member Hayashi arrived to the meeting at 8:45 a.m.)*

**13. Monterey Regional Storm Water Management Plan ..... Board Approval**

Board members Shallcross and Hunter recused themselves on this item due to potential conflicts of interest.

Water Resources Control Engineer Ryan Lodge presented the Monterey Regional Storm Water Management Program (MRSWMP), noting that the Monterey Regional Group and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) worked on revising MRSWMP since the February 2006 Board hearing.

Mr. Lodge summarized the major changes made to the MRSWMP and explained the General Permit Attachment 4 requirements for each of the communities. Mr. Lodge discussed budget information for the Monterey Regional Groups compared to communities included in the State Board NDPES Storm Water Cost Survey. He also pointed out that the NRDC supported approval of the MRSWMP with the revisions included in Resolution R3-2006-0076.

Mr. Mark Stillwell, Pebble Beach Company, pointed out that the Pebble Beach Company wanted to withdraw their comment letter. Staff Counsel Lori Okun indicated that the Pebble Beach Company comment letter was already in the record, but that Mr. Stillwell's comments were noted.

The Board asked if the cost comparisons for the communities considered commercial properties and, if not, why commercial properties were not considered in making the cost comparison. Mr. Briggs pointed out that

the State Board NDPES Storm Water Cost Survey analyzed the budgets on a cost per household basis. In an effort to be consistent, Water Board staff asked the Monterey Regional group for cost per household budget information and did not consider commercial properties.

The Board asked if the Pebble Beach Company was required to have permit coverage and what the timeline was for getting Carmel-by-the-Sea under permit coverage. Mr. Briggs indicated that the Pebble Beach Company was a coordinating entity, but is not required to have municipal permit coverage because it is a private company. Ms. Okun pointed out that a letter was being drafted to prompt Carmel-by-the-Sea to submit an application for permit coverage. She indicated that the city had applied for an exemption from General Permit coverage, but that staff had denied that request.

The Board asked about MRSWMP page E-30 and if the only timeline was for hiring a consultant. The Board also asked about curbside household hazardous waste pick-up for the region. Mr. Lodge pointed out that page E-30 was removed from MRSWMP with the July 26<sup>th</sup> revisions and were replaced with additional water quality monitoring. Mr. Lodge did not know if the Monterey Regional Group had curbside household hazardous waste pick-up.

Ms. Okun proposed changes to the resolution based on comments from the NRDC. Ms.



Okun suggested clarifying Finding 15 to indicate that design standards in General Permit Attachment 4 shall apply to all permittees as provided in MRSWMP.

#### Public Comment

Mayor Dan Albert, City of Monterey, commented on the amount of work that went into MRSWMP by all parties. He raised concerns with the ASBS language included in the resolution and objected to resolution findings 18 – 22.

Chris Coburn, Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary, expressed his support for the MRSWMP approval.

Elizabeth Krafft, representing the Monterey Regional Group and the County of Monterey, commented that the County objected to having Carmel Valley included in the ASBS discussion. Ms. Krafft objected to the application of General Permit Attachment 4 requirements to Carmel Valley. She indicated that requiring Carmel Valley to comply with all of Attachment 4 was a late revision that should not be included in the resolution.

The Board asked when the Carmel Valley ASBS issue came up. Mr. Lodge indicated that the Carmel Valley ASBS issue came to light the week of the hearing. Mr. Lodge explained that it became apparent to Water Board staff that areas of Carmel Valley are directly adjacent to an ASBS and should comply with all of General Permit Attachment 4 along with the other ASBS dischargers. Mr. Lodge noted that the maps indicating the adjacency have been in the MRSWMP for several years.

Mr. Fred Meurer, City Manager for Monterey, expressed concern over resolution provision 2.a requiring the city to implement all of General Permit Attachment 4. He urged the Regional Board to let the State Board deal with the ASBS issue first. Mr. Meurer pointed out that the City has curbside recycling for batteries and used motor oil.

Mr. Mark Stillwell indicated that the Pebble Beach Company supported approval of the MRSWMP. He also stated that the Pebble Beach Company will comply with as much of the MRSWMP as it can, even though it is not required to have General Permit coverage. The Board asked Mr. Stillwell if the Pebble Beach Company has a pesticide management program for its properties. Mr. Stillwell indicated that the Pebble Beach Company has an integrated pest management program that is in place to help minimize the amount of pesticides used. It also have a fertilizer management program.

Anjali Jaiswal, of the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), commented that the NRDC supported approval of the MRSWMP with the revisions included in the resolution.

Chair Young indicated that he would like to see a status report brought back to the Board once the first annual report had been submitted. He expects a detailed report with public involvement in the report development. Chair Young wants Board staff to track public comments, the City's response to public comments, and he wants to see Board staff's review of the annual report.

**MOTION:** Daniel Press moved to approve the Monterey Regional Storm Water Management Plan.

**SECOND:** Russell Jeffries.

**CARRIED:** (5-0) Note: Monica Hunter and Gary Shallcross recused from this item.

*(Chairman Young announced a break at 10:15 a.m. The meeting reconvened at 10:33 a.m.)*



**14. Municipal Storm Water Permit Status Report, City of Salinas..... Status Report**

Engineering Geologist Donette Dunaway presented a status report on the Salinas Phase I Storm Water Permit (Salinas Permit). The Salinas Permit is in its second permit term, and was adopted in February 2004. The City of Salinas (City) had several required items that they were unsuccessful at completing over the 2004-2005 permit year. These items were: 1) updating the Storm Water Management Plan; 2) reviewing and rewriting the City's Development Standards Plan to incorporate Low Impact Development methodologies; 3) submitting an Annual Report that included an effectiveness evaluation and an upcoming Work Plan; and 4) addressing water quality exceedances that were identified by the Water Board Central Coast Ambient Monitoring Program staff. These items were described in the staff report, and were expanded upon in a Notice of Violation letter issued to the City on September 1, 2006. The City did not address these enumerated violations during their Board hearing response, but focused on their Natividad creek restoration project. The Board members emphasized to the City that the Board expected to hear the City's response to the violations at the meeting, understood the challenges that the City was faced with in making multiple changes to their storm water and construction development programs, but were highly disappointed at the City's slow progress. The Board members informed the City that their progress is measured by the formal reports that are submitted to Water Board staff, and that failure to submit required documents in a complete and timely manner would be justifiable reason for escalated enforcement.

*(There was a power outage at 10:40 a.m. The Board decided to take an early lunch break during the outage at 11:20 a.m. No closed session was held. The meeting reconvened at 12:30 p.m. after power was restored.)*

Board member concerns and the City's responses were as follows:

The Board members questioned how Low Impact Development (LID) installations would

be maintained and retained in perpetuity. Chairman Young emphasized that the City must have enforceable codes to protect LID installations and require adequate maintenance. The City responded that they would depend primarily on citizen education to emphasize the need for LID.

Board members were concerned with depending upon education as the LID maintenance tool. The City added they could also consider levying property liens for individuals who ignored LID ordinance requirements or utilizing assessment districts to maintain common-area LID (but there are problems with this).

Board members suggested that the City request the Measure V half-cent sales tax increase be applied, in part, to storm water and LID issues. The City explained that a citizen's committee would have to approve the fund use.

With respect to the new development north of the City, the Board members asked whether LID methods would be required in the new development, and if so would LID methods be applied on an individual lot basis or more regionally. City representatives stated that LID was being required of current in-fill projects (they listed several examples). City staff said they are using the Water Board's Permit as authority to require LID of current applicants, and stated that the City is trying to determine whether they will be requiring lot-level or more regional LID methods.

The Water Board asked for a better understanding of whether Storm Water program documents like the SWMP or draft ordinances should go to the City Council prior to submitting the items to Water Board staff, or vice-versa. City and Water Board staff agreed to discuss this question.

The Water Board emphasized that the Notice of Violation requirements must be met, otherwise the City should anticipate increased levels of enforcement. Board members asked that Water Board staff submit another status





report (as part of an Executive Officer Report) at the February 2007 Water Board meeting. Water Board staff agreed to include a copy of the City's response to the Kennedy/Jenks technical memorandum and the City's LID-Development Standards with the Executive Officer's Report.

**Public Comment**

Steve Shimek, The Otter Project

*(Chairman Young announced a break at 2:25 p.m. The meeting reconvened at 2:45 p.m.)*

**15. Spills, Leaks, Investigation, and Cleanup ..... Status Report**

Scotts Valley Dry Cleaners, Scotts Valley, Santa Cruz County

Scotts Valley Water District's General Manager, Mr. Charles McNiesh, explained the importance of municipal well No. 10, located near the Scotts Valley Dry Cleaners, to Scotts Valley's water supply.

Mr. McNiesh also stated that the Water District is pleased with how the investigation and cleanup are currently progressing. The Board members had no questions for Water Board staff.

**17. City of Hollister, San Benito County ..... Status Report**

Water Resources Control Engineer Cecile DeMartini gave a brief background of the City of Hollister's domestic and industrial wastewater facilities' waste discharge orders, current compliance status, and construction scheduling for the new domestic wastewater treatment plant.

disposal portion of the facility until the following year because this portion is contingent upon acquiring 875 acres for the disposal fields. The potential delay in contract awards may put the City in violation with one of three compliance deadlines established in revised Administrative Civil Liability (ACL) Order No. R3-2002-0097.

Ms. DeMartini presented concerns with the City of Hollister's industrial wastewater treatment plant not meeting sodium and chloride effluent limits for the past three years. Additional concerns with the City's domestic wastewater treatment plant included the continued diversion of domestic wastewater to the industrial plant beyond the October 15, 2005 termination date established in Cease and Desist Order (CDO) R3-2002-0105.

Mr. Clint Quilter, Hollister City Manager, summarized and explained delays in the CEQA process, construction bidding, disposal facility construction and required disposal field area acquisition, City Council passage of sewer rate hike, continued domestic diversions to the industrial plant, and effluent limit violations.

Ms. DeMartini informed Board members of the City's July 2006 update regarding a delayed CEQA process, which in turn shortens time frames for construction contract awards. Ms. DeMartini went on to state that the City will not be awarding a construction contract for the

Mr. Quilter explained the City has already issued bid documents on the domestic wastewater treatment plant construction project and any modifications to the plan based on CEQA comments will be addressed in the form of a bid addendum. With regard to the disposal portion of the facility construction,



the City knew this part of construction would not be addressed until the year 2007 and did not expect this to be a compliance activity deadline in the revised ACL Order. Mr. Quilter went on to explain the construction project is moving forward with the passage of the sewer rate hike by the City Council on September 5, 2006. Early construction completion incentives being offered by the City have stirred interest with potential contractors.

Mr. Quilter stated that diversions of domestic wastewater to the industrial plant will continue until at least the new domestic wastewater plant is operational. He also noted that the sodium and chloride effluent violations stem from domestic use of water softeners and their industrial customer's tomato canning process. In order to reduce chloride in the effluent, the

City is working with other city and county agencies in studies and following legislative processes to regulate water softeners throughout the region. The City has penalized its industrial discharger through fines for excessive chloride wastewater concentrations, which has lead to a tomato canning process modification by the industrial discharger. Mr. Quilter stated reductions in sodium effluent concentrations are already evident.

The Board commented on the foreseeable penalties, complicated CEQA scheduling, and supported City Council passing new rate fees in order to fully fund the construction of the new domestic wastewater treatment plant.

#### 19. Central Coast Long-Term Environmental Assessment Network (CCLEAN) ..... Status Report

Staff Environmental Scientist Karen Worcester introduced Dane Hardin, Program Director of the Central Coast Long-term Environmental Assessment Network. Mr. Hardin presented findings of the first five years of the CCLEAN program, which is a cooperative monitoring effort by five ocean dischargers in the Monterey Bay area. The program has assessed loads of persistent organic pollutants (POPs), nutrients, and pathogen indicators entering the ocean. The program uses innovative sampling devices that flow-integrate over a 30-day period, and are able to achieve extremely low detection limits. Findings show that for almost all POPs and for nitrate, the rivers discharge far larger loads than the wastewater treatment plants. Plant loads of ammonia and orthophosphate exceed those of the rivers. The program found chemical concentrations in mussels along the shoreline that exceed several screening values for human health and aquatic life. The same chemicals are found along the 80-m contour (where fine sediments accumulate in Monterey Bay) at levels exceeding sediment guideline values, and apparent associated changes in invertebrate assemblages have been detected there.

Mr. Hardin concluded by indicating that an external peer review will be conducted, and

the steering committee will work together to identify whether any new program directions should be included in the next five-year cycle (such as emphasis on emerging contaminants or endocrine disruptors). Also, the program will explore new partnerships with other agencies with monitoring needs.

Board member Press requested a copy of the presentation and inquired as to whether action can be taken to address these problems.

Karen Worcester stated that continuing work on stabilizing riparian areas, preventing erosion off of fields, and protecting riparian buffers are probably the most important actions. CCLEAN data will be evaluated for listing this upcoming year as part of the 303(d) submittal process. In the past CCAMP has collaborated with the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment to evaluate fish tissue at fishing areas in the vicinity of Moss Landing. Though a number of persistent organic pollutants were found in elevated levels, they were not sufficient to trigger a posting. Ms. Worcester indicated that she would ensure that OEHHA and other responsible agencies are aware of this data.

Chairman Young inquired why a similar approach hasn't been taken for the rest of the



Region. Ms. Worcester replied that in the past, Morro Bay and Goleta monitoring programs needed to maintain their existing monitoring approaches because of 301(h) waivers. The remaining discharges did not represent adequate monetary resources to sustain a program like CCLEAN. Since the Morro Bay and Goleta plants appear to be on track for upgrades over the next five to ten

years, we should revisit the idea as the requirements for their new monitoring programs are being developed.

**Public Comment**

Chris Coburn, Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary noted the value of the CCLEAN program and supports the efforts of Regional Board staff.

**20. Public Forum ..... Board Direction**

Assistant Executive Officer Michael Thomas noted that several individuals submitted testimony cards to speak about Los Osos. After consulting with staff counsel, it was determined that no comments could be heard about Los Osos issues due to the pending adjudicatory hearing. Board members asked for clarification and discussed ex-parte contacts and reasons why they could not hear comments on Los Osos at this time. Chairman Young ultimately ruled that there would be no comments heard by the Board on any Los Osos issues.

The following individuals commented:

- Anne Norment, Resident, Los Osos – asked the Board how to get comments to them.
- Galen Ricard, Resident, Los Osos – expressed frustration about not being able to speak on Los Osos issues.
- Gail McPherson, Resident, Los Osos – wanted to discuss AB2701 and voiced concerns about the right to speak at a public meeting.

- Chuck Cesena, Director, LOCSO – submitted executive summaries of the Ripley report to Mr. Thomas.
- Lawson Schaller, Resident, Los Osos – objected to not being able to speak.
- Chris Allebe, Resident, Los Osos – did not understand not being able to speak.
- Rob Shipe, Resident, Los Osos – asked for clarification on communicating with prosecution staff.
- Madeleine Clark, Elkhorn Slough Coalition – discussed 316b findings and submitted them to the Board.
- Jan McClintock, City of San Juan Bautista – updated the Board on the City’s salts waste program and asked the Board to be aware of a CalTrans project scheduled to go through DDT contaminated soil. The City is concerned about runoff from flooding and stirring up the DDT in the soil.

**18. Enforcement Report ..... Status Report**

A written report was submitted for this item. The Board had no questions on the item.

**21. Regional Board Meeting Schedule for 2007 ..... Board Approval**

Executive Officer Briggs discussed the schedule for 2007 and asked the Board if they

had any potential conflicts with their individual schedules.

**MOTION:** Russell Jeffries moved to approve the proposed 2007 Board Meeting Schedule.  
**SECOND:** Daniel Press.  
**CARRIED:** Unanimously (6-0)



The Board also decided to cancel the October 2006 Board meeting. Mr. Briggs asked Board members about attendance at the Water

Quality Coordinating Committee (WQCC) meeting on October 30-31, 2006, in Sacramento.

**22. Reports by Central Coast Water Board Members ..... Status Report**

Chairman Young reported that he was invited to speak at the National Association of Mayor's meeting in Santa Barbara. Chairman

Young spoke about low impact development at the meeting.

Chairman Young adjourned the meeting at 4:40 p.m. The next Board meeting will be held on December 1, 2006 in San Luis Obispo.

The meeting was audio recorded and the minutes were reviewed by management, and approved by the Board at its December 1, 2006 meeting in San Luis Obispo, California.

  
Jeffrey Young, Chairman

H/ALLMYDOCS/BOARD MINUTES/SEP06mins/carol

