

## commentletters

---



**From:** Andrew Alva <alvaa@rodeosan.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 12, 2016 11:40 AM  
**To:** commentletters  
**Cc:** bealls@rodeosan.org  
**Subject:** ELAP Concerns from Rodeo Sanitary District

To the Members of the State Water Resources Control Board,

On September 6, 2016 the State Board gave us notice that there would be a Workshop on proposed changes to the laboratory accreditation regulations. The deadline for the submittal of comments is noon, Friday September 16, 2016 which is nine and one half working days. The focus of the proposed changes is the Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program's (ELAP) proposal to use Volume 1 of The NELAC Institute's (TNI) 2016 documents as the basis for laboratory accreditation. Rodeo Sanitary District would like to submit the following comments to the Board for consideration on this topic.

- 1) California has more accredited environmental laboratories than any other state. This is a very important resource for the people of California for the protection of human health and the environment which should be encouraged to grow. Laboratories accredited by ELAP with only one or two full time staff members are very common. This allows many smaller and more remote facilities to be able to have their own laboratory out on the front line. Rodeo Sanitary District believes that the State Board should do all it can to encourage the existence and expansion of environmental laboratories in general and those associated with utilities in smaller and more remote locations in particular.
- 2) The scope of the proposed changes are enormous. ELAP is proposing to completely change how it accredits laboratories. Experience in other states, such as Florida and New York where all accredited laboratories were required to be TNI compliant, has shown that many smaller laboratories closed. If for no other reason, this experience should give pause to the State Board in how it moves forward with any proposal to incorporate TNI documents into California's accreditation standards. If the Board wishes to foster the use of as many laboratories as possible, using TNI will not be a useful approach.
- 3) The TNI documents are not publically available. They have to be purchased from TNI for \$ 130 dollars. It is unfair to require the public to purchase documents in order to simply comment on a proposed change to regulation. This contradicts to all past practices where the public has had access to proposed changes in language. It is hard to see how this comports with the intent of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) which attempts to maximize openness and transparency of the rule making process. Indeed, requiring the purchase of documents would appear to be contrary to the requirements of the APA.
- 4) The 2016 TNI document is nearly 200 pages long. It seems entirely unreasonable to expect potentially impacted parties to first purchase this document, read it, and then prepare intelligent comments in so short a period of time. The majority of laboratories accredited by ELAP have a small number of employees. Rodeo Sanitary has 6 employees... It is extremely difficult for laboratories such as ours to commit the resources needed to read such a large document at all, with much less in just a few days.
- 5) Rodeo Sanitary District would strongly urge the State Board to postpone this workshop and greatly extend the comment period. It is also extremely important the proposed documents that would form the core of ELAP's new regulations be made publically available for free.

Thank you for your attention.

Andrew Alva  
Rodeo Sanitary District  
510-799-2970