

4.4 MUNICIPAL AND DOMESTIC WASTEWATER: TREATMENT, DISPOSAL, AND RECLAMATION

Municipal and domestic wastewater¹ discharges can cause chemical, bacteriological and toxic contamination to both ground and surface waters. Ground and/or surface water contamination can also occur from poor disposal practices, such as discharging wastes into unlined ponds, pits or sumps. Such waste discharges are regulated by the Regional Board or a designated agency with proper authority. Municipal wastewater, individual waste disposal systems, effluent limitations and policies under Regional Board authority are discussed below. Most of these requirements and policies are implemented through the Regional Board permitting process. However, some requirements may be implemented by local agencies. Methods used to determine compliance with limitations and requirements are further discussed in this Section.

Waste discharge prohibitions concerning sewage are listed in Section 4.1, "Waste Discharge Prohibitions." Effluent limitations and treatment policies concerning wastewater treatment and disposal are set forth below.

Effluent Limitations

Effluent limitations for disposal of treated point source wastes to surface waters are developed for individual point sources and included in waste discharge requirements or NPDES permits. They are numeric and narrative limits placed on the quality and quantity of the waste discharge or effluent. Effluent limitations are based on water quality objectives for the area of effluent disposal and applicable state and federal policies and effluent limits. Numeric and narrative water quality objectives and policies are based on beneficial uses established for the receiving waters.

¹ **Note:** "Municipal and domestic wastewater" is defined as sewage or a mixture of predominantly sewage and other waste from districts, municipalities, communities, hospitals, schools, and

Treatment process selection is discussed in general for wastewater discharges and more specifically for two types of disposal: surface water disposal and land disposal. Waste discharge prohibitions related to treated point source wastes also determine methods of treatment and disposal. Prohibitions concerning wastewater are contained in the Waste Discharge Prohibitions section, above. Treatment policies, including pretreatment, unlined sewage ponds, constructed wetlands, package treatment plants and wastewater reclamation, are discussed under "Treatment Policies" below.

In the past, federal water quality control programs for surface water protection emphasized a "technology-based" approach to regulation of waste disposal. The current emphasis is on "water quality based controls." States have been directed to identify "Water Quality Limited Segments," which are surface water bodies that are not attaining water quality objectives or protection of beneficial uses and are not expected to do so even with technology-based controls. For these waters, states must conduct point and nonpoint source wasteload allocations, and establish Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) of pollutants that can be permitted from each discharger to ensure attainment and maintenance of water quality objectives and protection of beneficial uses. TMDLs are used, together with a margin of safety, to set effluent limitations in discharge permits. Additions to and deletions from the Lahontan Region's list of Water Quality Limited Segments are considered every two years as part of the water quality assessment process (Chapter 7). Priorities for developing TMDLs for listed waters are also updated through this process. Section 4.13 of this Basin Plan includes approved TMDLs for specific surface waters.

Because the Lahontan Region has many high quality water bodies where state and federal antidegradation policies and regulations apply, effluent limitations are set to prevent degradation of water quality. Special considerations in effluent limitations for particular treatment plants (such as the Tahoe-Truckee Sanitation Agency) are discussed in the "Facilities Discussion" below.

General Requirements

Discharge requirements are prescribed for each discharger on a case-by-case basis; however, in every case, industrial and municipal effluent

publicly or privately owned wastewater systems.

Ch. 4, IMPLEMENTATION

discharged to waters of the Region shall contain essentially none of the following substances:

- Chlorinated hydrocarbons
- Toxic substances
- Harmful substances that may bioconcentrate or bioaccumulate
- Excessive heat
- Radioactive substances
- Grease, oil, and phenolic compounds
- Excessively acidic and basic substances
- Heavy metals such as lead, copper, zinc, mercury, etc.
- Other deleterious substances

Furthermore, any person who is discharging or proposes to discharge waste, other than into a community sewer system, must file a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD) with the Regional Board unless this requirement is waived by the Regional Board. Upon receipt of the RWD, the Regional Board, with information and comments received from state agencies and the public, will prescribe discharge requirements including any appropriate limitations on biological and mineral constituents, as well as toxic or other deleterious substances. Additionally, revised waste discharge reports may be required prior to additions of waste, changes in treatment methods, changes in disposal area or increases in effluent flow.

Discharge requirements will be established that are consistent with the water quality objectives for the receiving water (see Chapter 3 of this Plan), including wasteload allocations or Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) established for the discharge, the State Board's "antidegradation" policy, the federal antidegradation and anti-backsliding regulations, and the principle of obtaining the optimum beneficial use of the Basin's water resources.

Land Disposal of Sewage Effluent

Land disposal of sewage effluent is conditionally exempt from the land disposal requirements contained in the California Code of Regulations, Title 27 (see section 20090). Land disposal of sewage effluent includes disposal to evaporation-percolation basins, irrigation of land, disposal to constructed wetlands, drying ponds or beds for municipal effluent sludge, and disposal to lined evaporation ponds.

Principal factors affecting treatment process selection for land disposal are the nature of soils and groundwaters in the disposal areas and, where irrigation is involved, the nature of crops (see Wastewater Reclamation Policy and Recycled Water Policy). Wastewater characteristics of particular

concern are total salt content, nitrate, boron, pathogenic organisms, and toxic chemicals. Where percolation alone is considered, the nature of underlying groundwaters is of particular concern. Treatment processes should be tailored to ensure that local groundwaters are not unreasonably degraded. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) guidelines for secondary treatment (based on the federal Clean Water Act, Section 301) do not apply to land disposal cases. However, municipal treatment facilities must provide effective solids removal and some soluble organics removal for percolation bed operations and for reduction of nuisance in wastewater effluent irrigation operations. Disinfection requirements are dictated by the disposal method. Oxidation ponds may be cost-effective in some remote locations and may be equivalent to secondary treatment. The exact constituents and limitations must be established on a case-by-case basis. Nitrate removal is required in some cases where percolating waste may impact beneficial uses of groundwater due to increased nitrate levels. Percolation basins operated in alternating wet and dry cycles may provide significant nitrogen removal through nitrification/denitrification processes in the soil column. Finer textured soils are more effective in removing nitrogen than coarse soils. Monitoring in the immediate vicinity of the disposal site may be required in either case. Where the need for nitrate removal is not clear, removal could be considered at a possible future stage depending on monitoring results.

The closed hydrologic systems of the Lahontan Region allow the accumulation of minerals in groundwater. Therefore, discharge requirements for wastewater may generally specify a maximum limit for mineral constituents in order to meet the water quality objectives established for the receiving groundwater. In areas where insufficient data preclude the establishment of objectives, and as an interim measure until such data are available, effluent limits may specify a reasonable incremental increase for constituents above the level contained in the underlying groundwater. These limits may be superseded by more stringent requirements where necessary for effective water quality management of the receiving water. In all cases, groundwaters of the Region are specified as a source of drinking water unless the Regional Board has granted an exemption in accordance with the Sources of Drinking Water Policy (see Chapter 6, Plans and Policies). Therefore, effluent discharged to land must not adversely impact an underlying aquifer that is a designated drinking water supply, except as allowed by the Regional Board pursuant to the State Board's antidegradation policy, Resolution 68-16.

4.4, Municipal and Domestic Wastewater:

Treatment, Disposal, and Reclamation

Surface Water Disposal of Sewage Effluent

The general purpose of sewage treatment is to provide a stable effluent that can be disposed of without hazard or actual damage to the environment, that will commingle with and remain a part of the usable water supply, and that will not impair the quality of the receiving water for present and probable future beneficial uses. Surface water disposal is prohibited in some watersheds; see Sections 4.1 and 5.2, Waste Discharge Prohibitions.

Primary factors governing treatment process selection for disposal to surface waters are federal and state effluent limits, state public health regulations, and water quality objectives for beneficial use protection. At a minimum, discharges of sewage to surface waters shall meet effluent limitations in accordance with the USEPA standards for secondary treatment as presently established for the particular method of treatment. The current USEPA standards for minimum level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment (40 CFR § 133.102) are as follows:

Constituent ¹	30-Day	7-Day
	Arithmetic Mean	Arithmetic Mean
20°C BOD ₅ (mg/L)	30	45
Suspended Solids (mg/L)	30	45

pH: The effluent values for pH shall remain within the limits of 6.0 to 9.0

Where water contact recreational use is to be protected, the California Department of Public Health (DPH) requires coagulation, filtration, and disinfection providing a median coliform Most Probable Number (MPN) of 2.2/100 ml or less in receiving waters. Detoxification is required where fishery protection is a concern. Detoxification would include effluent limits for identified toxicants, pursuant to Section 307 of the Clean Water Act. Source control of specific toxicants may be necessary to comply with the Act. Acute and/or chronic biological toxicity testing is required to ensure compliance with all applicable state and federal toxicity standards. Additional effluent limitations and waste discharge prohibitions may be specified in accordance with appropriate plans or

¹ **Note:** The arithmetic mean of the values for effluent samples collected for 20°C BOD₅ and Suspended Solids in a period of 30 consecutive days shall not exceed 15 percent of the arithmetic mean of the values for influent samples collected at approximately

policies of the State or Regional Boards (see Chapter 6, Plans and Policies).

Septage and Sludge Disposal

Septage is generated from the use of holding tanks and septic tanks (see discussion of "Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems" later in this section). Sludge is the semi-solid material which settles out or is filtered out of sewage or water during the wastewater or drinking water treatment process. Septage and sludge may contain any substance that may be poured down a drain or flushed down a toilet. Metals, acids, alkalies, and pesticides may be present in small quantities. High levels of ammonia, coliforms, and BOD will almost certainly be found. Wastewater treatment sludge will also contain any substances used by the treatment plant to cause the solids to settle out of the liquid wastewater during the treatment process. Drinking water treatment sludge may have low levels of substances found in wastewater treatment sludge. Because of the concentrated nature of any percolate from sludge and septage, any percolate to ground or surface waters can seriously impact beneficial uses. Since municipal wastewater sludge is considered solid waste, disposal is regulated under Title 27. Sewage sludge, also known as biosolids, are also regulated under federal law (Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 503).

Septage is generated from numerous sources including residential septic tanks, holding tanks for recreational vehicle waste dumping, marina and individual vessel holding tanks, and commercial and industrial septic tanks. Because of the various sources, the quality of septage is also highly variable. It is desirable to have septage pumped and transported to either lined evaporation ponds or a sewage treatment plant where treatment of septage can be accomplished rather than direct disposal to a lined impoundment. Treatment of such concentrated waste, however, poses a problem for many smaller or at-capacity wastewater treatment plants in the Region. Not all wastewater treatment plants in the Lahontan Region accept septage from waste haulers who pump out septic tanks and holding tanks. The Regional Board will encourage that local officials review all proposals for new holding tanks or septic tanks to ensure that adequate septage disposal capacity is available. If necessary, the Regional Board will consider making adequate septage disposal a condition of permitting new holding tanks

the same times during the same period (85 percent removal).

Ch. 4, IMPLEMENTATION

or septic tanks. Proposals for new holding tanks or septic tanks that may be accepting industrial waste or chemical toilet wastes should be reviewed carefully by local agencies and Regional Board staff to ensure that proper treatment and final disposal of the septage generated can be accomplished without detriment to water quality. If septage is not commingled with wastewater for treatment at an approved wastewater treatment facility, septage must be placed in a Class II surface impoundment (lined containment structure, preventing the septage from contacting either surface or groundwater) (see California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Division 2, "Solid Waste").

The Regional Board specifically prohibits the unauthorized discharge of waste, including from boats and marinas, to surface waters (see "Waste Discharge Prohibitions"). Floating latrines are one possible way of reducing discharges of sewage from boats into lakes. Floating latrines will generally be of benefit, however, only for lakes that are so large that boaters in mid-lake find it inconvenient to return to shore to make use of on-shore facilities. Proposals for installation of floating latrines will be reviewed by the Regional Board on a case-by-case basis. Floating latrines should be vandalism-proof, and good maintenance agreements will be required. Boater surveys are recommended prior to installation, to verify that such facilities will actually be used by boaters.

Treatment Policies

Pretreatment Policy

It is the responsibility of the State and Regional Boards to implement and administer the federal Pretreatment Program for controlling the discharge of toxic and hazardous pollutants by industrial users into publicly-owned treatment works (POTWs) with capacity of 5 million gallons per day (mgd) or greater and for facilities under 5 mgd when industrial users could discharge toxic constituents that pass through or interfere with the facility. The Pretreatment Program is typically administered through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), although it may be administered through Waste Discharge Requirements for facilities that discharge to land. The Pretreatment Program is administered by the State through a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the USEPA and the State Board. Regional Board responsibilities are summarized below.

- Enforce national pretreatment standards prohibiting discharges (40 CFR § 403.5).

- Enforce national categorical pretreatment standards (40 CFR, Subchapter N, Effluent Guidelines and Standards).
- Review, approve or deny POTW pretreatment programs (40 CFR § 403.8, 403.9 and 403.11).
- Require POTWs to develop and enforce local discharge limits [40 CFR § 403.5(c)].
- Oversee POTW pretreatment programs to ensure compliance with 40 CFR § 403.8, and with other pretreatment requirements in the POTW's waste discharge permits or NPDES permit.
- Perform POTW audits, compliance inspections, and review of quarterly and annual reports to assure POTW compliance with pretreatment requirements.
- Provide the State Board and USEPA, upon request, with copies of all notices received from POTWs that relate to new or changed introduction of pollutants to the POTW or other pertinent information.
- Review and approve POTW requests for authority to modify categorical pretreatment standards to reflect removal of pollutants by a POTW (40 CFR § 403.7, 403.9 and 403.11).
- Apply all other pretreatment requirements as required by 40 CFR Part 403.

Few municipal wastewater treatment plants in the Lahontan Region are large enough (greater than 5 mgd) to require pretreatment of commercial and industrial wastewater under the federal regulations. However, there is increasing concern for all wastewater facilities regarding the impacts of not only industrial, but also household chemicals on effluent quality.

Unlined Sewage Ponds

There are numerous unlined sewage ponds throughout the Region that are believed to be a threat to groundwater quality because they allow the percolation of inadequately treated sewage to underlying groundwater. Some of these facilities are owned by either private parties or small public entities that have very limited financial resources.

There is typically no groundwater monitoring associated with these small facilities, so their actual impact on groundwater is unknown. To require that all of these facilities be immediately upgraded to where they produce a secondary level effluent would create, in most cases, a significant financial burden

Treatment, Disposal, and Reclamation

to the owners of the ponds. Such an approach may also result in upgraded facilities that are not needed to protect groundwater quality. Although it can also be expensive, groundwater monitoring at most of these facilities is needed to determine whether they are degrading the groundwater. If it is determined that the discharge from an unlined pond is impacting groundwater, action will be taken to require either elimination or improved treatment of the wastewater discharge. The requirement for upgrading treatment (or elimination of the discharge by placing it in a lined evaporation pond) should be made with provisions allowing for the improvements to be made within two years.

Recommended Control Actions to Address Unlined Sewage Ponds

1. Inventory all unlined ponds in the Region that are receiving sewage that has not received at least secondary-level treatment.
2. Prioritize the ponds by their threat to water quality, taking into account factors such as: (a) the volume of waste discharged, (b) the quality and existing beneficial uses of the receiving waters and (c) the likelihood of the sewage containing any industrial wastes.
3. Beginning with the highest priority facilities, revise waste discharge requirements to require the installation of at least three groundwater monitoring wells within two years.
4. If degradation of the groundwater is detected at any time after the first two years of semi-annual groundwater monitoring, waste discharge requirements will be revised to require that treatment of the discharge be upgraded to a secondary level or that the ponds be lined within two years. If no degradation (either actual or predicted violations of water quality objectives) is detected, the discharge will be allowed to continue with ongoing sampling of the groundwater monitoring wells.

An exemption to the groundwater monitoring well requirement may be obtained if the discharger submits evidence that demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Regional Board's Executive Officer that the underlying groundwater will not be unreasonably affected or impermissibly degraded by any discharge from the pond.

Solar Biosolids Dewatering Beds

Some municipal treatment agencies that separate biosolids in their treatment processes have selected solar drying beds to dewater biosolids. The bed floors include synthetic liners, concrete, asphaltic-concrete, and sand. A few beds have drainage collection systems that collect infiltrating water and convey the water to the facility headworks.

Water from dewatered biosolids is typically high in dissolved solids and nutrients. Percolation of this water in solar drying beds may be contributing to the salt and nutrient loading in the receiving groundwater basin. Large facilities with solar dewatering are urged to line the drying beds or change to mechanical dewatering to avoid unnecessary loading of salts and nutrients to groundwater. Where groundwater may be threatened by discharges from solar dewatering, facilities should ensure their solar drying beds are lined to prevent percolating contaminants to groundwater.

Constructed Wetlands

The use of constructed wetlands as a method to provide final treatment and disposal for municipal wastewater continues to grow throughout the country and may be proposed for use in the Lahontan Region. Constructed wetlands are generally of two types: (1) free water surface wetland and, (2) subsurface flow wetlands. Both types of constructed wetlands consist of shallow beds or channels utilizing the roots and rhizosphere of aquatic plants as the surface media for bacteriological activity. Free water surface wetlands also use the chemical uptake by the emergent vegetation and, sometimes floating vegetation (duckweed or water hyacinth) and zooplankters (daphnia) for treatment. Treatment of wastewater through constructed wetlands often achieves effluent of better than secondary treatment quality. Concerns over the use of constructed wetlands in the Lahontan Region include harsh climatic conditions (from excessive heat to excessive cold) that may significantly alter the plants' ability to grow, disposal/harvesting of plant material, and high operation and maintenance costs. At a minimum, constructed wetlands should be designed and constructed using guidelines contained in the USEPA's 1988 manual entitled "Constructed Wetlands and Aquatic Plant Systems for Municipal Wastewater Treatment." Some constructed wetlands are currently in use in the Lake Tahoe Basin for treatment of stormwater (see sections on Stormwater and Wetlands Policy). Constructed

Ch. 4, IMPLEMENTATION

wetlands are also being considered for treatment of acid mine drainage (see section on Mining). Data gathered from these constructed wetlands will provide useful information for future applications of constructed wetlands.

Package Treatment Plant Policy

Commercially available prefabricated treatment plants, known as package treatment plants, were originally designed to serve areas that could not be easily connected to an existing municipal sewage treatment plant. Such areas include the subdivisions constructed in the once remote areas surrounding the major desert communities in the southern portion of the Lahontan Basin and commercial establishments such as restaurants, motels, and RV parks. More recently, package plants have increased to a size that can serve small municipalities. Many plants employing biological treatment were installed with the idea that the plants would operate themselves and therefore, could be turned on and forgotten. However, to meet the current pollution discharge regulations, these plants require daily attention by a knowledgeable, conscientious and certified operator. Without proper maintenance and sludge disposal practices, waste discharges from these plants may cause unacceptable odor and nuisance conditions, and/or violate water quality objectives and waste discharge requirements.

The Regional Board encourages persons to connect new developments to community sewer systems in lieu of the installation and use of package treatment plants. If community sewer systems are not available, and the area and development are unsuitable for individual waste disposal systems because:

- 1) the density of the subdivision or commercial development is greater than allowable for individual waste disposal systems, **or**
- 2) the nitrate as nitrogen concentration of the underlying groundwater equals or exceeds 10 mg/L, then

the Regional Board will likely approve the use of package plants for treating waste discharges from the development. In areas with condition No. 2 above, the effluent from the package treatment plants will be required to meet a total nitrogen limitation of 10 milligrams per liter.

Package Treatment Plant Criteria

- a. Design should be based on peak daily flow estimates. A flow equalization chamber at the headworks may be appropriate for some

applications so as not to overload the treatment capacity of the plant.

- b. Measures to control odor and/or eliminate nearby odor receptors must be included in the design and proposal.
- c. Package plants must include adequate storage and/or treatment (digestion) area for waste sludge. Proposed sludge disposal measures must be included in the project plan.
- d. For commercial, institutional or industrial systems, pretreatment may be necessary if the chemical composition of the wastewater is significantly different from domestic wastewater.
- e. Package plants should contain duplicate equipment components for components subject to failure. If equipment is not on-site, the manufacturer should have the ability to provide replacement equipment to the operator so that a replacement component can be installed within forty-eight hours of failure.
- f. Package treatment plants that rely on soil absorption for treatment and/or disposal of any of the wastewater generated will be required to meet the criteria established for individual waste disposal systems (see "Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems" in this Chapter) applicable to soil absorption and groundwater protection (soils, depth to groundwater, slope of disposal field).
- g. Effluent from package treatment plants must meet all current Regional Board criteria. In addition, to be used for reclamation purposes, it must meet all current regulations of the Regional Board and the Department of Public Health regarding reclamation of wastewater (see Wastewater Reclamation Policy, below).

Package Treatment Plant Responsible Entity

The package treatment plant should be owned or controlled by a public agency or a private entity with adequate financial and legal resources to assume responsibility for waste discharges. The owner is ultimately legally and administratively responsible for the performance of the treatment plant. The owner is also responsible for adding capacity and/or renovations to the treatment plant when needed, controlling sewer construction practices in the services area, keeping supplies at the plant, and supervising the operator. The operator of the plant shall be certified in the State of California with the appropriate classification for the specific treatment processes and effluent quality required of the plant.

4.4, Municipal and Domestic Wastewater:

Treatment, Disposal, and Reclamation

Additionally, the owner should provide for outside help for special problems which may arise in the operation of the package treatment plant. The outside help may be a consulting engineer, or an operator of a larger treatment plant in a nearby town. The owner shall notify the Regional Board of the certified operator at the plant.

Package Treatment Plant Permitting

The Regional Board will consider the adoption of individual waste discharge requirements (WDRs) or general WDRs for all package treatment plants. WDRs will contain specific effluent limitations (see section on effluent limitations, above). WDRs will also include monitoring and reporting requirements. Monitoring of the effluent may include analyses for the following parameters: flow, biological and/or chemical oxygen demand (BOD/COD), total dissolved solids, suspended solids, total and fecal coliform bacteria, nitrate, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, methylene blue active substances (MBAS), and purgeable halocarbons and aromatics. Monitoring requirements may also include monitoring of the receiving water, including the underlying groundwater. Normally, four groundwater monitoring wells will be required; the Regional Board's Executive Officer may waive the requirement for groundwater monitoring based on site-specific conditions.

Wastewater Recycling

Parts of the Lahontan Region, like California in general, are experiencing an increasing water shortage. In the southern portions of the Lahontan Region, for instance, the Antelope Valley and the Mojave Groundwater Basins are possibly overdrafted due to increased pumping to meet the water demands of the growing Victor Valley, Lancaster and Palmdale areas. In light of this increasing statewide water shortage, development of water supply alternatives is important. For many uses, recycled wastewater is a viable alternative water supply and sales of recycled water can sometimes be used to offset the costs of treating wastewater. (The terms "recycled water" and "water recycling" are now used in the California Water Code in place of the formerly used terms "reclaimed water" and "water reclamation".) Residential graywater use decreases residential water demand and is discussed below in "Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems."

Recycled water has a wide variety of applications. The applications include agricultural irrigation, landscape irrigation (including highway landscape, parks and golf courses), impoundments for landscape, recreational and/or wildlife uses, wetland

and wildlife enhancement, industrial processes (e.g., cooling water, process water, wash water, dust control), construction activities and groundwater recharge.

Wastewater recycling is an important component of wastewater management in the Lahontan Region.

Recycled water in the Lahontan Region is used for golf course, alfalfa and other fodder crops, tree and other agricultural irrigation, and landscape irrigation, as well as for soil compaction and dust control. Some recycled water from the Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant is used for wildlife habitat enhancement at Piute Ponds and to supply a recreational lake at Apollo Lake County Park. Other uses of recycled water, such as for snow making in areas of Lake Arrowhead and Mammoth Lakes, have been proposed to the Regional Board. (See Waste Discharge Prohibitions Section for Mojave River HU for exemption language concerning reclaimed wastewater.)

The State Board adopted the "Policy with Respect to Water Reclamation in California" and the related "Action Plan for Water Reclamation in California" in 1977 (State Board Resolution No. 77-1). This policy specifies actions to be implemented by the State and Regional Boards, as well as other agencies, in relation to reclaimed water use. The policy directs the State and Regional Boards to encourage reclamation and reuse of water, and to promote water reclamation projects which preserve, restore, or enhance instream beneficial uses. The policy also states that the State and Regional Boards recognize the need to protect public health and the environment in the implementation of reclamation projects.

The State Board adopted the "Recycled Water Policy" in 2009 (State Board Resolution No. 2009-0011) and amended the policy in 2013 (Resolution No. 2013-0003). This policy provides direction to the Regional Boards regarding criteria to be used in issuing permits for recycled water projects. The criteria are intended to streamline the permitting of the vast majority of recycled water projects. The policy also requires the development of salt/nutrient management plans to protect groundwater basins.

The Water Code requires Regional Boards to consider the need to develop and use recycled water when establishing water quality objectives. The Water Code also requires the State Department of Health Services (now the Department of Public Health, DPH) to establish statewide recycling criteria for each type of recycled water use to protect public health. Any person proposing to discharge recycled water must file appropriate information related to the

Ch. 4, IMPLEMENTATION

discharge with the Regional Board. After consulting with and receiving recommendations from DPH, and after any necessary public hearing, the Regional Board shall, if necessary to protect the public health, safety or welfare, adopt water reclamation requirements for the recycled water discharge.

The Water Code provides encouragement for the use of recycled water in relation to water rights decisions, as follows (Section 1010 [a][1]):

“The cessation of, or reduction in, the use of water under any existing right regardless of the basis of right, as the result of the use of recycled water, ... is deemed equivalent to and for purposes of maintaining any right shall be construed to constitute, a reasonable beneficial use of water to the extent and in the amount that the recycled ... water is being used not exceeding however, the amount of such reduction.”

The Water Code (Section 13522[b]) provides that the use of recycled water pursuant to uniform statewide reclamation criteria “does not cause, constitute, or contribute to, any form of contamination” unless the DPH or the Regional Board determines that contamination exists.

The Water Code (Sections 13523.1 and 13263[h]) allows Regional Boards to issue master reclamation or recycling permits for suppliers and/or distributors of reclaimed or recycled water. Master reclamation permits must include waste discharge requirements and requirements for the following: compliance with statewide reclamation criteria, establishment and enforcement by the permittee of rules or regulations for reclaimed water users, quarterly reporting on reclaimed water use, and periodic compliance inspections of water users by the permittee.

The Water Code (Sections 13550 through 13556) declares that use of potable water for certain purposes (e.g., irrigation of parks, golf courses, cemeteries, and residential landscaping, and toilet and urinal flushing in nonresidential structures) is a waste and unreasonable use of water if nonpotable water is available, under specific conditions. Section 13555.2 declares the Legislature's intent to encourage the design and construction of distribution systems for nonpotable water separate from those for potable water. Section 13556 allows water suppliers to acquire, store, provide, sell and deliver recycled water for any beneficial use if the water use is in accordance with state water recycling criteria and with Chapter 7 of the Water Code.

While the Regional Board supports the concept of water recycling, it must also consider potential

impacts from recycling on ground and surface water quality. When reviewing proposed water recycling projects, the Regional Board carefully considers potential public health impacts from pathogens or conservative organic compounds, as well as the potential of the proposed project to create pollution or nuisance conditions. The Board also considers potential impacts on the quality and beneficial uses of any receiving surface or groundwaters including the potential for eutrophication of surface waters due to nutrient loading from recycled water. Discharges of recycled water are prohibited in areas of the Lahontan Region where waste discharge prohibitions are in place, unless exemption criteria, where applicable, can be met. The Water Code (Sections 13529.2 and 13529.4) includes provisions for reporting cleanup, and administrative civil liabilities for unauthorized discharges of recycled water which has been treated at secondary or tertiary levels.

Accumulation of minerals is a common potential impact to receiving waters from recycled water uses. Accumulation of minerals must be minimized to provide for protection of beneficial uses. A variety of techniques can be used. Where well controlled irrigation is practiced, nitrate problems can be controlled. Vegetative uptake will utilize soluble nitrates which would otherwise move into groundwater under a percolation operation. Demineralization techniques or source control of total dissolved solids may be necessary in some areas where groundwaters have been or may be degraded. Presence of excessive salinity, boron, or sodium in the effluent could be a basis for rejection of proposals to irrigate cropland with effluent. However, the Water Code allows issuance of water recycling requirements to a project which **only** violates salinity objectives.

Water Recycling Control Measures for Indian Creek Watershed

Recycled water from the South Tahoe Public Utility District (STPUD) is exported from the Lake Tahoe Basin to Alpine County, where it is used for irrigation. In order to protect the beneficial uses of the Indian Creek watershed, the Regional Board regulates the use of recycled water for irrigation in coordination with regulation of other discharges such as septic systems, irrigation return flows from lands not irrigated with effluent, and stormwater from pasture lands and manure storage areas. (High nutrient and coliform bacteria levels measured in Indian Creek and the lower West Fork Carson River indicate that better management of animal wastes is desirable in these watersheds.) The amount of nutrients leaching into groundwaters from areas irrigated with domestic wastewater effluent should be minimized.

Treatment, Disposal, and Reclamation **Facilities Discussion**

Wastewater treatment facilities in the Lahontan Region include two regional facilities and more than 50 other municipality, district, community, and commercial wastewater treatment facilities. Only two wastewater treatment facilities discharge to surface waters and are regulated by the Regional Board under the federal National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. All other wastewater treatment facilities in the Region discharge to land and are regulated under the Waste Discharge Requirements (WDR) program. Information on wastewater treatment facilities regulated by the Regional Board may be accessed from a database on the State Water Resource Control Board's Internet site.

Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems (Septic Systems)

Onsite Wastewater Treatment System Policy

The State Water Board adopted a *Water Quality Control Policy for Siting, Design, Operation and Maintenance of Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems* (OWTS Policy) on June 19, 2012 that became effective May 13, 2013. The OWTS Policy established a statewide, risk-based, tiered approach for the regulation and management of OWTS installations and replacements and sets the level of performance and protection expected from OWTS.

For purposes of the OWTS Policy, an OWTS is an individual disposal system, community collection and disposal system, or alternative collection and disposal system that uses subsurface disposal. OWTS do not include "graywater" systems pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 17922.12. The OWTS Policy does not cover (1) any OWTS with a projected flow of over 10,000 gallons-per-day, (2) any OWTS that receives high-strength wastewater, from other than a commercial food service building, and (3) any OWTS that receives high-strength wastewater from a commercial food service building (a) with a biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) higher than 900 milligrams per liter or (b) that does not have a properly sized and functioning oil/grease interceptor.

The OWTS Policy sets standards for OWTS that are constructed or replaced, that are subject to a major repair, that pool or discharge waste to the surface of the ground, and that have affected, or will affect, groundwater or surface water to a degree that makes it unfit for drinking water or other uses, or that cause a health or other public nuisance condition. The

OWTS Policy also includes minimum operating requirements for OWTS that may include siting, construction, and performance requirements; requirements for OWTS near certain waters listed as impaired under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act; requirements authorizing local agency implementation of the requirements; corrective action requirements; minimum monitoring requirements; exemption criteria; requirements for determining when an existing OWTS is subject to major repair; and a conditional waiver of waste discharge requirements.

The Regional Board incorporates the OWTS Policy into this Basin Plan (see Appendix B). Implementation of the OWTS Policy is overseen by the State Water Board and the Regional Board. Local agencies (e.g., county and city departments and independent districts) have the opportunity to implement local agency management programs (LAMPs) if approved by the Regional Board or the State Water Board. In addition to the OWTS Policy, this Basin Plan includes waste discharge prohibitions in certain areas that are applicable to OWTS.

The OWTS Policy includes provisions that (1) allow existing OWTS to continue in operation unless they are not properly functioning or the Regional Board finds they are not able to adequately protect water quality and (2) allows local agencies to continue to permit existing, new, and replacement OWTS under their existing program until the earlier of (a) the local agency LAMP has been approved by the Regional Board or (b) May 13, 2018, which is five years after the OWTS Policy effective date. The Regional Board may issue or deny waste discharge requirements or waivers of waste discharge requirements for any new or replacement OWTS within the jurisdiction of a local agency without an approved LAMP if that OWTS does not meet the minimum standards contained in Tier 1 of the OWTS Policy.

Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Regulated by Other than the OWTS Policy

For those OWTS, package treatment plants, and other sewage-based wastewater discharges not regulated under OWTS Policy, the Regional Board

Ch. 4, IMPLEMENTATION

will apply the following principles and policies in review of water quality factors relating to land developments and waste disposal from individual waste disposal systems:

1. The following criteria will be applied as the minimum to ensure continued adequate protection of water quality, protection of present and future beneficial uses, and prevention of pollution, contamination and nuisance conditions. The Regional Board will prohibit the discharge from individual disposal systems that do not conform to these criteria.
2. These criteria prescribe minimum conditions for waste disposal from individual onsite systems and do not preclude the establishment of more stringent criteria by local agencies or the Regional Board. The Regional Board does not intend to preempt the authority of local agencies and will support local agencies to the fullest extent possible, particularly in the implementation of more stringent regulations.
3. Detailed procedures to implement these criteria and to process exemptions to these criteria are included in "Regional Board Guidelines for Implementation of Criteria for Individual Waste Disposal Systems" (see Appendix C).
4. The criteria contained herein are applicable to the entire Lahontan Region and pertain to any and all proposed building that involves wastewater discharges to other than a community sewer system. The criteria apply to: (1) proposed building on lots within new subdivisions or parcels, **and** (2) proposed building on existing subdivided lots or parcels, **and** (3) proposed subdivisions. The criteria do not apply to: (1) existing individual waste disposal systems, or (2) projects that have final building permits prior to June 16, 1988, unless evidence exists that necessitates retrofit of septic systems to conform with current criteria. The "Regional Board Guidelines for Implementation of Criteria for Individual Waste Disposal Systems" specifies separate exemption procedures for existing developments and for new developments. Existing development includes projects for which **final** development plans, such as a final tract map, were approved by local agencies **prior** to June 16, 1988. New development includes subdivisions or individual parcels which **do not** have final development plans approved by local agencies **prior** to June 16, 1988.

5. These criteria do not apply to projects within septic system prohibition areas where the criteria are more stringent (for prohibitions, see Section 4.1 of this Chapter); and these criteria will preempt less stringent criteria in septic system prohibition areas.
6. Where community sewer systems are available, the Board will encourage connection to the sewer system in lieu of use of individual disposal systems.

Criteria for Individual Waste Disposal Systems

1. Maximum Density

Individual waste disposal systems associated with new developments that have a gross density greater than two (2) single family equivalent dwelling units per acre will be required to have secondary-level treatment of wastewater. Equivalent dwelling units (EDUs) are defined as a unit of measure used for sizing a development based on the amount of waste generated from that development; the value used in implementation of these criteria is 250 gallons per day per EDU. For the purposes of these criteria, the discharge from a single family dwelling is equal to one EDU. Senior citizen dwelling units and second units as defined in Government Code Sections 65852.1 and 65852.2 will not be considered as additional dwelling units. In addition to residential developments, this secondary level treatment policy also applies to wastewater discharges from commercial, industrial, recreational and all other developments with wastewater discharge volumes exceeding two EDU per acre density (500/gal/day/acre based on 250 gal/day/EDU). Use of new septic systems is permitted in existing developments with lot sizes having a net area greater than or equal to 15,000 square feet. The net area is that contained within the boundaries as set forth in the legal lot description.

2. Minimum Distances

The Regional Board has established the minimum distances (see Table 4.4-1 entitled, "Minimum Distances for Siting Individual Waste Disposal Systems") necessary to provide protection to water quality and/or public health. Local hydrogeological conditions may necessitate greater separation of the sewage disposal system from a well or watercourse for protection of beneficial uses (e.g., drinking supply and water contact recreation).

Treatment, Disposal, and Reclamation

3. Additional Minimum Criteria

- a. The percolation rate in the disposal area shall not be slower than 60 minutes per inch if the discharge is to a leachfield or 30 minutes per inch if discharge is to a seepage pit. If percolation rates are faster than 5 minutes per inch, then the soil for a total thickness of five feet below the bottom of the leaching trench shall contain at least 15% of material passing the No. 200 U.S. Standard Sieve and less than one-fourth of the representative soil cross-section shall be occupied by stones larger than 6 inches in diameter. Where the percolation rates are faster than 5 minutes per inch and the above requirement is not met, the minimum distance to ground water between the bottom of the disposal facilities and the anticipated high ground water shall be 40 feet. (The percolation rates shall be determined in accordance with procedures prescribed by the appropriate local public health agency).
- b. Clay, bedrock, other material impervious to the passage of water, or fractured bedrock, shall not be less than 5 feet below the bottom of the leaching trench or less than 10 feet below the bottom of the seepage pit. Impervious is defined for design purposes as a stratum with percolation times of greater than 120 minutes per inch.
- c. Depth to anticipated high ground water below the bottom of the leaching trench shall not be less than 5 feet. Depth to anticipated high ground water below the bottom of the seepage pit shall not be less than 10 feet. Greater depths are required if native material does not provide adequate filtration.
- d. Ground slope in the disposal area shall not be greater than 30 percent.
- e. Minimum criteria specified above must be met within the area of the proposed system and within the 100% expansion area for the proposed system.

Exemptions to the Criteria for Individual Waste Disposal Systems

In certain locations and under special circumstances, the Board or its Executive Officer may waive individual criteria.

1. Waiver of one or more individual criteria may occur if:

4.4, Municipal and Domestic Wastewater:

- a. The area beneath the proposed septic system discharge has no significant amount of ground water having present or future beneficial uses; or
- b. It can be proven that no pollution, nuisance or unreasonable degradation of either surface or ground waters will occur as a result of the proposed septic system density when considered individually or cumulatively with other discharges in the area; or
- c. Construction of a community collection, treatment, and disposal system is imminent. Short-term, interim use of individual waste disposal systems may be allowed.

Implementation of Criteria for Individual Waste Disposal Systems

1. The Regional Board and the local agencies have adopted, through Memoranda of Understanding, criteria that are compatible with or more stringent than these criteria.
2. The Memoranda of Understanding include the procedures of the review and processing of applications for proposed discharge of wastewater from land developments that only discharge **domestic** waste, including single-family-unit residential, multi-unit residential, commercial, industrial and recreational developments. The Memoranda of Understanding include provisions for Regional Board review and processing of specific application (e.g., for industrial waste discharges).
3. For those local agencies that have adopted these or more stringent criteria, land developments that only discharge **domestic** waste, including single-family-unit residential, multi-unit residential, commercial, industrial and recreational developments, will be permitted entirely by the local agency. (However, the Regional Board reserves the authority to take action, if necessary, as described in item 6 below.)
4. Whenever the proposed development will not meet the minimum criteria and no Memorandum of Understanding or other equivalent document exists between the Regional Board and the local agency, applications for all projects shall be transmitted to the Regional Board along with a complete report of waste discharge and a filing fee.
5. The Regional Board will review, on a project-by-project basis, proposals for commercial,

Ch. 4, IMPLEMENTATION

industrial, recreational and all other types of developments that discharge **industrial** waste. If required, the report of waste discharge will contain information on estimated wastewater flows, types of wastes, and occupancy rates that will enable the Regional Board to evaluate the discharge in terms of EDUs.

6. In any case, the Regional Board will prohibit the discharge of wastes from land developments that will result in violation of water quality objectives, will impair present or future beneficial uses of water, or will cause pollution, nuisance, or contamination, or will unreasonably degrade quality of any waters of the State.

Implementation for Other Types of Waste Disposal from Land Developments

1. Severe impact on water quality can result from failure to implement adequate measures to control storm drainage and erosion. Land developers must provide plans for the control of such runoff from initial construction up to the complete build-out of the development. (See "Land Development" section.)
2. The disposal of solid waste can have adverse impacts on water quality and public health. Land developers must submit a plan that conforms to the regional or county master plan and contains adequate provisions for solid waste disposal for complete build-out of the development.
3. The disposal of septic tank sludge is an important part of any area-wide master plan for waste disposal. Land developers must submit a plan that conforms to the regional or county master plan and contains adequate provisions for septic tank sludge disposal for complete build-out of the development.
4. The responsibility for the timely submittal of information necessary for the Board to determine compliance with these guidelines rests with persons submitting proposals for development or discharge. The Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act provides that no person shall initiate discharges of waste prior to filing a report of waste discharge and prior to (1) issuance of waste discharge requirements, (2) the expiration of 120 days after submittal of an adequate report of waste discharge, or (3) the issuance of a waiver by the Regional Board.

Alternative Individual Waste Disposal Systems

In areas where conditions do not support the use of conventional individual subsurface waste disposal systems (e.g., septic systems), the use of engineered alternative systems can be considered. Alternative waste disposal systems include, but are not limited to, mound systems, evapotranspiration beds, sand filters (intermittent and/or recirculating), and lined evaporation ponds. The Regional Board supports the use of engineered alternative systems for waste disposal as a remedy for otherwise unsuitable existing lots. However, the Regional Board discourages the use of engineered alternative systems for new construction, lots, or subdivisions.

Several factors the Local Health Officer and/or the Regional Board staff will consider when evaluating a proposal for the use of an alternative system include, but are not limited to:

1. **size of parcel**
2. **density of surrounding development**
3. **depth to ground water and bedrock**
4. **depth of soils** suitable for waste disposal as classified under the USDA classification system
5. **climate**
6. **access**
 - (a) for maintenance and pumping year-round
 - (b) control to prevent public contact
7. **emergency contingency plans** (including plans for expansion, replacement or repair)
8. **operation and maintenance requirements**
9. **distance to sewer**

Criteria for Alternative Systems

1. The conditions (soils, ground water, slope) that limit the use of conventional septic tank systems may also apply to alternative systems that rely on soil absorption for treatment and/or disposal of all or most of the wastewater generated (see Criteria for Individual Waste Disposal Systems).
2. **Mound Systems.** Mound systems shall be installed in accordance with criteria established in the State Board's *Guidelines for Mound Systems* (1980) or other criteria acceptable to the Executive Officer in conformance with standard engineering practices.
3. **Evapotranspiration Systems.** Evapotranspiration systems shall be installed in accordance with criteria contained in the State Board's *Guidelines for Evapotranspiration Systems* (1980) or other criteria acceptable to the

Treatment, Disposal, and Reclamation

Executive Officer in conformance with standard engineering practices.

4. **Sand Filters.** Sand filters shall be installed in accordance with the specifications for sand filters in the State of Oregon, Department of Environmental Quality's *On-site Sewage Disposal Rules* (July 1, 1991) or other criteria acceptable to the Executive Officer in conformance with standard engineering practices.
5. **Graywater Systems.** Graywater is untreated wastewater that has not been contaminated by any toilet discharge, has not been affected by infectious, contaminated, or unhealthy bodily wastes, and does not present a threat from contamination by unhealthy processing, manufacturing, or operating wastes. Graywater includes wastewater from bathtubs, showers, bathroom washbasins, clothes washing machines, and laundry tubs, but does not include wastewater from kitchen sinks or dishwashers. (H&S Code § 17922.12.) Graywater systems may be an acceptable method of disposal in conjunction with a composting toilet or holding tank to handle black water. Examples of appropriate applications include recreational areas such as campgrounds, day use facilities, trailheads, and residential and commercial facilities where graywater can be managed and disposed in a manner protective of water quality. Graywater systems shall be installed in accordance with the California Plumbing Code (24 Cal. Code of Regs., Part 5) and the local administrative authority. If properly constructed and operated, graywater systems are not expected to create a nuisance or pollution.
6. Other proposals for alternative systems shall be evaluated jointly by the local regulatory agency and Regional Board staff on a case-by-case basis. Some engineered systems may be considered experimental by the Regional Board. Experimental systems will be handled with caution. A trial period of at least one year should be established whereby proper system operation must be demonstrated. Under such an approach, experimental systems are granted a one-year conditional approval.
7. All proposals for alternative systems shall be designed by a Civil Engineer, Engineering Geologist or Sanitarian licensed to practice in California.

Maintenance Requirements

System designers should be responsible for developing specifications and procedures for proper system operation. Designers should provide to system owners an informational operation and maintenance document that includes: (1) clear and concise procedures for operation and maintenance, and (2) instructions for repair and/or replacement of critical items within forty-eight hours following failure. Engineered systems should be inspected by a licensed Civil Engineer, Engineering Geologist or Sanitarian during installation to insure conformance with approved plans.

Permitting Authority

The County Health Officer may approve alternative systems when **all** of the following conditions are met:

1. The Health Officer has found the system to be in compliance with criteria approved by the Regional Board Executive Officer (see Criteria for Individual Waste Disposal Systems and Criteria for Alternative Systems above); **and**
2. The Health Officer has either: (1) informed the Regional Board Executive Officer of the proposal to use the alternative system and the Executive Officer agrees that it complies with the finding in (a) above; or (2) a written agreement that the Executive Officer has delegated approval authority to the County Health Officer; **and**
3. A public or private entity has agreed in writing to assume responsibility for the inspection, monitoring, maintenance, and eventual decommissioning/reclamation of the system.

If all of the above conditions cannot be met, the Regional Board will consider issuing waste discharge requirements for alternative systems.

**Table 4.4-1
MINIMUM DISTANCES FOR SITING WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEMS (in feet)**

Facility	Domestic Well	Public Well	Perennial Stream ¹	Drainage Course or Ephemeral Stream ²
Septic tank or sewer line	50	50	50	25
Leaching field	100	100	100	50
Seepage pit	150	150	100	50
Facility	Fill Bank ³	Cut or Property Line ⁴	Lake or Reservoir ⁵	
Septic tank or sewer pit	10	25	50	
Leaching field	4h	50	200	
Seepage pit	4h ⁶	75	200	

¹ As measured from the line which defines the limit of a 100-year-frequency flood.

² As measured from the edge of the channel.

³ Distance in feet equals four times the vertical height of the cut or fill bank. Distance is measured from the top edge of the bank.

⁴ Distance in feet from property line of any neighboring lot on which individual well(s) are used. (Distances are to property lines of neighboring lots, i.e., not street easements)

⁵ As measured from the high water line. (Regional Board Resolution No. 82-6 defines the high water line for Eagle Lake, Eagle Drainage Hydrologic Area as 5117.5 feet, a definition used in prohibiting the discharge of wastes from subsurface disposal systems on a lot with an elevation of less than 5130 feet. See Section 4.1 of this Basin Plan for waste discharge prohibitions for Eagle Lake.)

⁶ As measured from the high seepage level.