



# City of Hermosa Beach

Civic Center, 1315 Valley Drive, Hermosa Beach, California 90254-3885

August 15, 2013

Emel G. Wadhvani  
California State Water Resources Control Board  
Senior Staff Counsel  
P.O.Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100  
Via email: [ewadhvani@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:ewadhvani@waterboards.ca.gov)

Subject: SWRCB/OCC FILE A-2236(A) THOROUGH (KK) COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS POSED BY THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD CONCERNING RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS AS ADDRESSED IN ORDER NO. R4-2012-0175 – WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS (MS4) DISCHARGES WITHIN THE COASTAL WATERSHEDS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, EXCEPT THOSE DISCHARGES ORIGINATING FROM THE CITY OF LONG BEACH MS4

Dear Ms. Wadhvani:

The City of Hermosa Beach (City) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the request by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) in its July 8, 2013 announcement with respect to the petitions received on Order No. R4-2012-0175 (LA MS4 Permit) which was further clarified in the subsequent announcement issued on July 29, 2013. Specifically, the State Water Board in its July 8, 2013 announcement requested comment as follows:

*The Los Angeles MS4 Permit appears to provide a compliance alternative to the State Water Board's precedential receiving water limitations for MS4s. Under the Los Angeles MS4 Permit, dischargers that are in compliance with the requirements and milestones of an approved watershed management program/enhanced watershed management program are also generally deemed to be in compliance with the Permit's receiving water limitations. All interested persons are invited to submit comments within 30 days of the date of this letter<sup>1</sup>, addressing the following questions:*

- 1. Is the watershed management program/enhanced watershed management program alternative contained in the Los Angeles MS4 Permit an appropriate approach to revising the receiving water limitations in MS4 permits?*
- 2. If not, what revisions to the watershed management program/enhanced watershed management program alternative of the Los Angeles MS4 Permit would make the approach a viable alternative for receiving water limitations in MS4 permits?*

In its July 29, 2013 announcement, the State Water Board further clarified that in addition to the questions above, it is *generally seeking all information that would assist it in determining whether these approaches constitute appropriate revisions or additions to the existing receiving water limitations language in MS4 Permits.*

---

<sup>1</sup> This deadline was later extended to August 15, 2013 at 12 noon, in a letter dated July 15, 2013.

The City of Hermosa Beach is a small, historic beach town home to over 19,500 residents with many visitors who come to enjoy our beach and the recreational opportunities it provides. The City's residents are strongly supportive of proactive environmental measures and actions to protect water quality and the environment. City staff is innovative, progressive and committed to protecting water quality. The City has successfully utilized Federal ARRA funding through the Clean Water State Revolving Fund to implement two award winning projects for the benefit of water quality in the Santa Monica Bay, including a green street retrofit of its downtown commercial center. Recently the City secured a grant from the state's Strategic Growth Council to update and integrate the city's General Plan and Coastal Land Use Plan to create a Comprehensive Blueprint for Sustainability and a Low Carbon Future.

Nevertheless, the City has been concerned and continues to be concerned with the Receiving Water Limitations language in municipal stormwater permits. This language is of great importance to municipalities because of decisions by the U.S. Ninth Circuit Court in *Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. et al. v. County of Los Angeles et al.* Most recently, the Ninth Circuit interpreted the language in the previous NPDES permit, which is similar to the language in the current permit,<sup>2</sup> to impose liability on the County of Los Angeles for violations of the permit.<sup>3</sup> The Ninth Circuit distinguished the language in the permit that a Permittee's responsibility for "discharge[s] for which it is the operator" "applies to the appropriate *remedy* for Permit violations, not to *liability* for those violations." This decision places municipalities in an untenable and vulnerable position.

The LA MS4 Permit E/WMP provisions do not weaken the existing RWL language. The RWL language is still intact in the 2012 LA MS4 Permit, but the E/WMP approach provides a means to better define what is expected of the iterative process (e.g., through the "reasonable assurance analysis"). Additionally, it provides a means to resolve the problem created by the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit decision for Permittees who are acting in good faith and diligently implementing the provisions of the 2012 LA MS4 Permit. This approach is also consistent with, and serves as an example of, the Strategic Compliance Program approach recommended by the California Stormwater Quality Association. The City supports the CASQA comment letter and proposed RWL language.

The 2012 LA MS4 Permit EWMP approach, which incorporates design storms (e.g., the 85th percentile 24-hour event and the 1-year/1-hour storm for trash control) and allows for a compliance schedule, provides certainty and a clear objective for municipalities seeking to define the scope of their technical and fiscal responsibilities under the MS4 Permit. Municipalities must utilize scarce fiscal resources wisely and would much prefer to deploy those resources to address water quality objectives and other essential public services rather than in the defense of third party actions under the federal Clean Water Act's citizen suit provision. Compliance with water quality standards will require significant investment in public programs and infrastructure which must be established, supported, maintained, and funded over time.

---

<sup>2</sup> The current language reads ""Discharges from the MS4 of storm water, or non-storm water, for which a Permittee is responsible, shall not cause or contribute to a condition of nuisance" and includes a reference to 40 CFR Section 122.26(a)(3)(vi), which states that a Permittee is only responsible for discharges of storm water and non-storm water from the MS4 for which it is an owner or operator.

<sup>3</sup> *Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. et al. v. County of Los Angeles et al.*, No. CV 10-56017, at 25 (9th Cir. Aug. 8, 2013) (emphasis in original).

The E/WMP approach contained in the Los Angeles MS4 Permit is an appropriate means for Permittees to comply with receiving water limitations for MS4 discharges. There remains much work to be done to flesh out this approach and come to an understanding of how to implement such an approach in practice. The City wants to continue to work with Regional Board staff to protect and restore the quality of our valuable water resources in a manner that is most effective and allows us to prioritize water quality objectives for deployment of our limited municipal resources in a way that addresses the most pressing water quality priorities for the benefit of the public taxpayer.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tom Bakaly', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Tom Bakaly, City Manager  
City of Hermosa Beach

CC:

Mr. Samuel Unger **[via email only]**  
Executive Officer  
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality  
Control Board 320  
West 4th Street, Suite 200  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
[sunger@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:sunger@waterboards.ca.gov)

Ms. Deborah Smith **[via email only]**  
Assistant Executive Officer  
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality  
Control Board 320  
West 4th Street, Suite 200  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
[dsmith@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:dsmith@waterboards.ca.gov)

Ms. Paula Rasmussen **[via email only]**  
Assistant Executive Officer  
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality  
Control Board  
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
[prasmussen@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:prasmussen@waterboards.ca.gov)

Ms. Renee Purdy **[via email only]**  
Environmental Program Manager I  
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality  
Control Board  
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
[rpurdy@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:rpurdy@waterboards.ca.gov)

Mr. Ivar Ridgeway **[via email only]**  
Environmental Scientist  
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality  
Control Board  
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
[iridgeway@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:iridgeway@waterboards.ca.gov)

Lori T. Okun, Esq. **[via email only]**  
Office of Chief Counsel  
State Water Resources Control Board  
1001 I Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor [95814]  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100  
[lokun@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:lokun@waterboards.ca.gov)

Frances L. McChesney, Esq. **[via email only]**  
Office of Chief Counsel  
State Water Resources Control Board  
1001 I Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor [95814]  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100  
[FmcChesnev@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:FmcChesnev@waterboards.ca.gov)

Jennifer L. Fordyce, Esq. **[via email only]**  
Office of Chief Counsel  
State Water Resources Control Board  
1001 I Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor [95814]  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100  
[ifordyce@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:ifordyce@waterboards.ca.gov)

Nicole L. Johnson, Esq. **[via email only]**  
Office of Chief Counsel  
State Water Resources Control Board  
1001 I Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor [95814]  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100  
[njohnson@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:njohnson@waterboards.ca.gov)

Michael Lauffer, Esq. **[via email only]**  
Office of Chief Counsel  
State Water Resources Control Board  
1001 I Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor [95814]  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100  
[milauffer@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:milauffer@waterboards.ca.gov)

Philip G. Wyels, Esq. **[via email only]**  
Office of Chief Counsel  
State Water Resources Control Board  
1001 I Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor [95814]  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100  
[pwvels@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:pwvels@waterboards.ca.gov)

Bethany A. Pane, Esq. **[via email only]**  
Office of Chief Counsel  
State Water Resources Control Board  
1001 I Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor [95814]  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100  
[bpane@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:bpane@waterboards.ca.gov)

Mr. David W. Smith, Chief **[via email only]**  
Permits Office  
U.S. EPA, Region 9  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
[smith.davidw@epa.gov](mailto:smith.davidw@epa.gov)