

Critical and Dry Water Year Type			
Month	Existing Flow Requirement*	Cannabis Policy Flow Requirement	Effective Flow Requirement
November	2 cfs	256 cfs	<b>256 cfs</b>
December	2 cfs	390 cfs	<b>390 cfs</b>
January	2 cfs	794 cfs	<b>794 cfs</b>
February	2 cfs	901 cfs	<b>901 cfs</b>
March	2 cfs	641 cfs	<b>641 cfs</b>

Normal, Above Normal, and Wet Water Year Type			
Month	Existing Flow Requirement*	Cannabis Policy Flow Requirement	Effective Flow Requirement
November	10 cfs	256 cfs	<b>256 cfs</b>
December	10 cfs	390 cfs	<b>390 cfs</b>
January	15 cfs	794 cfs	<b>794 cfs</b>
February	15 cfs	901 cfs	<b>901 cfs</b>
March	25 cfs	641 cfs	<b>641 cfs</b>

\*Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento has filed a judgement on the Putah Creek Settlement Agreement, which asserts minimum instream flow requirement at Putah Creek from the Interstate 80 bridge to the Legal Delta boundary as summarized in the above table.

**Web Link to Judgement:**

[Putah Creek Settlement Agreement](#)