



Proposition 84 Storm Water Grant Program Scoping Meetings

State Water Resources Control Board Staff

Sacramento, CA	February 25, 2008	10:00 AM – 1:00 PM
San Luis Obispo, CA	March 3, 2008	1:00 PM – 4:00 PM
Los Angeles, CA	March 10, 2008	1:00 PM – 4:00 PM

Scoping Meeting Objectives

- Provide Information on Proposition 84 Storm Water Grant Program (SWGPP)
 - Initial Scoping: Obtain Public Input for Guidelines Development
 - Answer Questions
-

Scoping Meeting Outline

- Program Overview
 - Proposition 84
 - Assembly Bill 739 (Statutes 2007, Chapter 610)
 - Solicitation Process
 - Proposed Timeline
 - Regional Perspectives
 - Discussion Topics
 - Department of Water Resources (DWR) Storm Water Funding Opportunities
-

SWGP Overview

- Proposition 84
 - Total Funds Available
 - Match Requirement
 - Eligible Applicant Type
 - Legislation
 - Assembly Bill (AB) 739
 - Guidelines Development
 - Funding Cap
 - Project Types
 - Project Preferences
 - Project Effectiveness Reporting
 - Additional Provisions
 - Storm Water Advisory Task Force (SWATF)
 - Interagency Coordination with DWR
-

Proposition 84

(November 2006)

- Public Resources Code, Section 75050 (m):
 - \$90 million (~\$82 million grants)
 - Matching grants
 - Local Public Agencies
 - Projects that reduce and prevent storm water contamination of rivers, lakes, and streams
 - “The Legislature may enact legislation to implement this subdivision.”
 - Assembly Bill 739 (AB 739)
-

Assembly Bill 739

(October 2007)

- Statutes 2007, Chapter 610 (Laird)
 - Impacts Funding and Regulatory Storm Water Programs
 - Specific SWGP Impacts:
 - Guidelines Development
 - Funding Cap
 - Project Preferences
 - Project Effectiveness Reporting
 - Project Types
 - Additional Provisions
 - SWATF
 - Interagency Coordination with DWR
-

Guidelines Development

- State Water Board staff required to develop project selection and evaluation guidelines



Funding Cap

(Discussion Topic)

- “Not to exceed five million dollars (\$5,000,000) per project”



Project Preferences

(Discussion Topic)

- Board shall give preference to projects that do one or more of the following:
 - Support sustained, long-term water quality improvements;
 - Coordinated or consistent with any applicable Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Plan
-

Project Effectiveness Reporting

Grant recipients required to report on project effectiveness, which may include:

- ❑ Monitoring receiving water quality,
 - ❑ Determining pollutant load reductions, and
 - ❑ Assessing improvements in storm water discharge water quality resulting from project implementation
-

Seven Project Types Identified

- Comply with total maximum daily loads (TMDL) allocated to storm water
 - Implement Low-Impact Development (LID) and other onsite and regional practices
 - Implement treatment and source control practices (new development)
 - Treat and recycle storm water discharge
 - Implement improvements to combined municipal sewer and storm water systems
 - Implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) required by municipal storm water permits
 - Assess project effectiveness
-

Additional Provisions

- Water Quality Control Plans (Basin Plans)
 - Up to 10 percent of funds allocated may be used to finance planning and monitoring necessary for the successful design, selection, and implementation of the authorized projects
 - Water quality monitoring shall be integrated into the Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP)
-

SWATF - Scope

Task force shall provide advice to the State Water Board on its Storm Water Management Program (**Funding** and Regulatory) that includes, but is not limited to:

- ❑ Program priorities;
 - ❑ **Funding criteria;**
 - ❑ **Project selection;** and
 - ❑ Interagency coordination of state programs that address storm water management
-

SWATF - Members

- Appointed at February 19, 2008 Board meeting
 - Expertise in water quality and storm water management
 - 15 Members, with representatives from:
 - Public Agencies;
 - Regulated Community;
 - Nonprofit Organizations; and
 - Industry
-

SWATF – Members Continued

Public Agencies:

- Mr. Drew Bohan (OPC)
- Mr. Al Wanger (CCC)
- Mr. Mark Cowin (DWR)
- Dr. Tim Lawrence (UCD)

Nonprofit Organizations:

- Mr. David Beckman (NRDC)
- Ms. Linda Sheehan (CCA)
- Dr. Mark Gold (Heal the Bay)
- Ms. Mary Lee Knecht
(Sacramento River Watershed Program)

Regulated Community:

- Mr. Geoff Brosseau (CASQA)
- Mr. Scott McGowen (Caltrans)
- Mr. John Van Rhyn
(San Diego County)
- Mr. Tom Dalziel
(Contra Costa County)
- Ms. Lillian Kawasaki (Water Replenishment District of Southern California)

Industry:

- Dr. Mark Grey (BIA)
 - Mr. Kevin Buchan (WSPA)
-

Interagency Coordination with DWR

- DWR part of SWATF
 - Guidelines will be provided to DWR for use, as appropriate, in the development water quality portions of their Proposition 1E storm water funding (\$300 million in storm water, flood management project)
-

On-Line Solicitation Process

- Proposals will be submitted online using State Water Board's Financial Assistance Application Submittal Tool (FAAST)

<https://faast.waterboards.ca.gov/>

Proposed Schedule**

- Scoping Meeting Input Provided to SWATF – March/April 2008
- Draft Guidelines – August/September 2008
- Board Adopts Guidelines – November 2008
- Application Period Begins – November/December 2008

** All dates subject to change.

Regional Water Board Contacts

Region	Name	Phone Number	E-mail Address
1	Diana Henrioulle-Henry	(707) 576-2350	dhenrioulle-henry@waterboards.ca.gov
2	Susan Gladstone	(510) 622-2352	sgladstone@waterboards.ca.gov
3	Angela Schroeter	(805) 542-4644	aschroeter@waterboards.ca.gov
4	Maryann Jones	(213) 576-6692	mjones@waterboards.ca.gov
5	Pam Buford	(559) 445-5576	pbuford@waterboards.ca.gov
6	Cindy Rofer-Wise	(530) 542-5408	cwise@waterboards.ca.gov
7	Cliff Raley	(760) 776-8962	cralley@waterboards.ca.gov
8	Mark Adelson	(951) 782-3234	madelson@waterboards.ca.gov
9	David Gibson	(858) 467-4387	dgibson@waterboards.ca.gov

Additional Information

- Contacts:

- Ms. Erin Ragazzi: (916) 341-5733,

- enragazzi@waterboards.ca.gov

- Mr. Andrew Lawrence: (916) 341-5441,

- alawrence@waterboards.ca.gov

- Program Website:

- <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/funding/prop84.html>

- Lyris List Subscription:

- http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/lyrisforms/swrcb_subscribe.html

Check the box next to “Storm Water Grant Program (Proposition 84)”

Regional Perspectives

- Regional Water Board staff may provide an overview of their storm water program



Discussion Topics

- Match Amount
 - Funding Cap
 - Project Preferences
 - Grant Solicitation Process
 - Other Input
-

Match Amount

(Discussion Topic)

- Proposition 84 requires a match
 - What match amount or percentage should be required?
 - Should there be a tiered match tied to funding amount (e.g., higher match for larger projects)? – Sac Meeting
 - Should the match requirement be lower for disadvantaged communities (DACs)?
 - If so, what percentage would you recommend? and/or
 - Should there be a tiered match (e.g., for severely DACs versus DACs versus others)?
 - Should DACs be able to use other grant funds for match?
-

Funding Cap

(Discussion Topic)

- Should the maximum project cap be less than \$5 million?
 - If yes, what should the maximum grant amount be per project?
 - Should there be a limited number of larger grants? – Sacramento Meeting
 - What should the minimum grant amount be per project?
-

Project Preferences

(Discussion Topic)

- What other preferences should be included in the Guidelines?
 - Projects that reduce Green House Gas (GHG) emissions?
 - Projects designed to reduce Climate Change (e.g., energy efficient)?
 - Applicants that have adopted Ahwahnee Principles and/or Sustainability Principles in their General Plan (or other plans)?
 - Use of a local match source (i.e., Proposition O)?
-

Project Preferences

(Discussion Topic Continued)

- What other preferences should be included in the Guidelines?
 - Projects that treat and reuse storm water (i.e., augment water supply)?
 - Projects that implement LID principles?
 - Projects that provide multiple benefits?
 - Projects that use source control measures as opposed to end-of-pipe treatment measures?
 - Others?
-

Grant Solicitation Process

(Discussion Topic)

- Should there be defined funding cycles or a continuous cycle?
 - Should there be more than one round of funding? – Two rounds planned at this time.
 - What type of application process should be used?
 - Two-step application process (i.e., short Concept Proposal and longer, more detailed Full Proposal)?
-

Set-Aside & Distribution of Funding (Discussion Topic) – Sac Meeting

- Should there be a set-aside for disadvantaged communities?
 - Should there be a split of funding for the categories listed below, or should the funding be strictly determined based on project competitiveness?
Possible splits include:
 - North/South;
 - Rural/Urban; or
 - Regionally?
 - If a split is recommended, what split would you recommend?
-

Other Input

(Discussion Topic)

- What other input would you like to provide regarding the development of the Proposition 84 Storm Water Grant Program?



Other Events/Announcements

- Nonpoint Source Conference: May 5-7, 2008
 - Mission Valley Marriott Hotel, San Diego
 - www.waterboards.ca.gov/nps/conference2008.html
 - ASBS Guidelines to be presented for adoption at April 1, 2008 Board Meeting (Monterey)
 - Concept Proposal Workshops week of April 20th
 - State Revolving Fund (SRF) Program:
Applications for priority list will be accepted via FFAST – deadline extended to March 7, 2008
-