commentletters

From: Niemeyer, Kim@Waterboards
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 1:51 PM

To: commentletters

Subject: Comment letter- grazing regulatory action project



See below from Jeff Wiedemann

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Wiedemann Jeff < jeff@wiedemannranch.com>

Date: August 25, 2015 at 1:32:23 PM PDT

To: "Niemeyer, Kim@Waterboards" < Kim.Niemeyer@waterboards.ca.gov>

Subject: Re: Notice of Opportunity to Comment, Public Meeting and Consideration of

Adoption - GRAP

Kim

You bet! Thank you much

Jeff

On Aug 25, 2015, at 11:05 AM, Niemeyer, Kim@Waterboards < Kim.Niemeyer@waterboards.ca.gov> wrote:

Thanks, Jeff. Did you want me to forward this to Jeannie Townsend to be included as part of the public comments that the State Bd members will receive?

Kimberly Niemeyer Attorney III State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel 916-341-5547 Kim.Niemeyer@waterboards.ca.gov

From: Wiedemann Jeff [mailto:jeff@wiedemannranch.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 8:17 AM

To: Pontureri, Jodi@Waterboards; Niemeyer, Kim@Waterboards

Subject: Fwd: Notice of Opportunity to Comment, Public Meeting and Consideration of

Adoption - GRAP

Begin forwarded message:

From: Wiedemann Jeff <jeff@wiedemannranch.com>

Subject: Re: Notice of Opportunity to Comment, Public Meeting and

Consideration of Adoption - GRAP

Date: August 25, 2015 at 8:11:34 AM PDT

To: CA NRCS Concord Phillips Hilary - < Hilary. Phillips@ca.usda.gov >

Cc: Clayton Wiedemann <clayton.wiedemann@gmail.com>

Hillary

Thanks for the note. The resolution looks good. I don't think it's over. WOTUS is still with us but maybe struggling a bit. The intent is good and valid. We should all be concerned. I still believe the Extension Service approach of education and a cooperative use of University research is more effective than trying to figure out "which actions, including regulatory actions and effective non-regulatory effort for BMP implementation, are best suited to protect water quality...".

A willingness to cooperate on the part of producers is directly related to their trust and confidence in their "collaborators" i.e. WRCB, NRCS, etc. Threatening producers with "regulatory requirements" as WRCB's primary BMP can be pretty counter productive. We're all in this together, we have the same goals (we all want clean water). The state WRCB needs to honestly assess their approach to reaching those goals. Best

Jeff

On Aug 25, 2015, at 7:29 AM, Phillips, Hilary - NRCS, Concord, CA <Hilary.Phillips@ca.usda.gov> wrote:

How is this?

Hilary Phillips | District Conservationist | <u>USDA - Natural</u> Resources Conservation Service

hilary.phillips@ca.usda.gov| office 925.672.4577 x102 | fax 844-206-6977

From: lyris@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov [mailto:lyris@swrcb18.waterb oards.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, August 24, 2015 4:45 PM

5552 Clayton Rd | Concord, CA 94521

To: Phillips, Hilary - NRCS, Concord, CA < Hilary.Phillips@ca.usda.gov **Subject:** Notice of Opportunity to Comment, Public Meeting and

Consideration of Adoption - GRAP



Attached is a Notice of Opportunity to Comment, Public Meeting and Consideration of Adoption on the Proposed Draft Resolution for the Discontinuation of Discussions regarding a Statewide Approach to Addressing Water Quality Impacts from Livestock Grazing.

Comment Deadline is September 3, 2015 by 12 noon.

The Public Meeting is scheduled for September 16, 2015.

Thank you, Jeanine Townsend Clerk to the Board State Water Resources Control Board (916) 341-5600

You are currently subscribed to grazing_regulatory_action

as: hilary.phillips@ca.usda.gov.

To unsubscribe click here: leave-5588438-

 $\underline{2972239.fb14d193537818257fbc41421cdc883d@swrcb18.waterb}$

oards.ca.gov