


Walter McGuire
CHAIRMAN
Jose Mejia
VICE-CHAIRMAN
Gerald D. Secundy
PRESIDENT
William J. Quinn
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER
Steve Gross
TREASURER
Randy Fischback
SECRETARY



California Council for Environmental and Economic Balance

100 Spear Street, Suite 805, San Francisco, CA 94105 • (415) 512-7890 • FAX (415) 512-7897

Ocean Plan Amendment
Public Comment
Deadline: 04/18/12 by 12 noon

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Linda Adams
Bob Antonoplis
William T. Bagley
Robert Balgenorth
Michael Barr
Jack Bean
Mike Beasley
Ed Bedwell
Joseph C. Bellas
Russ Burns
Steve Burns
Ken Casarez
John Chillemi
Michele Corash
Tim Cremins
Hal Dash
Bill Devine
Cesar Diaz
Greg Feere
Randy Fischback
Steve Gross
Michael Hertel
Fred John
James (J.P.) Jones
Kenneth L. Khachigian
John T. Knox
Kristen Korbus
Kirk Marckwald
Walter McGuire
Sunne McPeak
Jose Mejia
Cindy Montanez
Richard Morrison
Cressey Nakagawa
Joe Nuñez
George Plantka
Art Pulaski
Mike Roos
Lanny Schmid
Gerald D. Secundy
Dan Skopec
Don Solem
Katherine Strehl
Steve Toth
Minnie Tsunozumi
Victor Weisser

CONSULTANTS

Kendra Daijogo
THE GUALCO GROUP, INC.
Jackson R. Gualco
THE GUALCO GROUP, INC.
Robert W. Lucas
LUCAS ADVOCATES

Gov. Edmund G. "Pat" Brown
FOUNDING CHAIRMAN 1973

www.cceeb.org

April 18, 2012

Ms. Jeanine Townsend
Clerk to the Board
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

VIA E-MAIL: commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov



**RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CALIFORNIA OCEAN PLAN REGARDING
DESIGNATING STATE WATER QUALITY PROTECTION AREAS TO PROTECT MARINE PROTECTED
AREAS AND THE DRAFT SUBSTITUTE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION FOR THE
PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

Dear State Water Resources Control Board Members:

The California Council for Environmental and Economic Balance (CCEEB) is a non-partisan, non-profit coalition of business, labor and public leaders that advances strategies for a strong economy and a healthy environment. On behalf of CCEEB, we want to thank the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) for this opportunity to comment on the Proposed Amendment to the California Ocean Plan Regarding Designating State Water Quality Protection Areas to Protect Marine Protected Areas and the Draft Substitute Environmental Documentation for the Proposed Amendment.

CCEEB membership includes companies with linear system facilities that provide gas, electric and communication services which are essential services to California's citizens (e.g., residents, businesses, hospitals, government). Gas transmission and distribution pipelines are located underground as are many of the transmission and distribution electric facilities and communications facilities. These facilities include underground structures (e.g., underground structures such as vaults, manholes and handholes) that provide access to connections and, in some cases, equipment. Prompt access to these underground structures is imperative when responding to outages and is critical for the on-going maintenance and reliability of these systems.

In order to enter these underground structures and conduct the necessary work in a safe manner, any waters that have collected in the underground structures need to be removed. This results in short-term intermittent discharges from these underground structures. Discharges may be scheduled or unscheduled (e.g., emergency response) and some discharges may be automatic. Since many of the gas, electric and communications underground facilities are located in urbanized areas, the discharges of accumulated waters are to the municipal stormwater conveyance systems. Less frequently in urban areas, the discharge may be made directly to a surface water body.



The discharges from these underground structures are conducted in accordance with a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. The SWRCB's NPDES permit for these discharges finds that:

“Utility companies operate and maintain numerous vaults and underground structures within their service territories. These vaults and structures may be located in residential, agricultural, commercial, or industrial areas. Sizes can vary from 15 cubic feet to 1,500 cubic feet, depending on their intended use, type, or contents. For safety reasons, utility companies must de-water vaults and underground structures prior to performing any repair, maintenance, and/or installation of equipment. When the amount of water in the vaults or structures interferes with the safety and quality of the work to be done, water must be pumped out. Volume of discharges can vary from a few gallons to a few thousand gallons depending on the configuration and individual situation at each vault or structure. These intermittent discharges are routed to waters of the United States directly or indirectly via local storm conveyance systems.”¹

Gas, electric and communications underground structures do not generate water, although they may collect water that seeps into the underground structures from groundwater or surface runoff. Therefore, the water that collects in the underground structures is chemically similar to that from groundwater seeps and surface runoff. In many areas, municipalities require utilities to be installed in underground systems which necessarily include vaults and underground structures (e.g., vaults, manholes, handholes, etc). This means that the number of underground structures will increase over time. Furthermore, State Water Board and Regional Water Board policies (Low Impact Development, Hydromodification) require infiltration of stormwater which may actually increase the volume of water found in underground structures.

If the underground structures are not allowed to discharge into municipal stormwater systems or other man-made or natural conveyances that ultimately discharge to State Water Quality Protection Areas (SWQPAs), it would require all of these discharges to be hauled off for disposal, resulting in longer outage times, reduced system reliability, and an increased number of trucks used for hauling water, resulting in increased air emissions, traffic, etc. Many of the utilities have large service territories where there are no licensed haulers, Publically Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) or other permitted disposal companies located in close proximity to their facilities. If utilities are not allowed to discharge this water under their utility underground structure dewatering NPDES discharge permit, outages will be prolonged and emergencies will not be resolved in a timely manner.

CCEEB's membership is consequently concerned that the proposed requirements for SWQPAs could adversely impact their ability to conduct intermittent discharges pursuant to a National NPDES or Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) (or other otherwise authorized non-storm waters) to municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) or other man-made or natural conveyances that discharge to SWQPAs and therefore impact their ability to provide safe and reliable essential public services.

¹ Section III.B. on Page 3 of Order No. 2006-0008-Dwq NPDES No. CAG990002 General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for Discharges From Utility Vaults and Underground Structures to Surface Waters

This same issue was raised in the March 20, 2012 SWRCB public hearing for general exceptions for Areas of Special Biological Significance (ASBS) and revisions were made to the proposed exception prior to adoption to clarify that permitted discharges (NPDES) are not prohibited to MS4s or other man-made or natural conveyances that ultimately discharge to an ASBS. CCEEB requests that the same clarification be made to this proposed amendment, as was made to the ASBS exception.

CCEEB is providing the following comments on the proposed amendment:

- Dewatering discharges pursuant to WDRs or NPDES permits from linear system facilities that provide gas, electric and communication services should be explicitly authorized by the proposed amendment to the California Ocean Plan.
- The Marine Managed Areas Improvement Act (Public Resources Code Section 36600 et.seq.) does not classify State Marine Reserves, State Marine Parks and State Marine Conservation Areas as SWQPAs and they should therefore not be managed to achieve “natural water quality”;
- Implementation of additional requirements for SWQPAs should incorporate the approach described in SWRCB’s Resolution 2010-0057 (Marine Protected Areas and SWQPAs);
- The Ocean Plan Amendment should clarify that it establishes the process for nominating and approving new SWQPAs, but does not in itself approve any new SWQPAs;
- The language for ASBSs should specify that discharges from linear system facilities that provide gas, electric and communication services are not prohibited to MS4 or other man-made or natural conveyance systems that eventually discharge to ASBSs; and
- Other supporting comments.

Dewatering Discharges Pursuant to WDRs or NPDES permits From Linear System Facilities that Provide Gas, Electric and Communication Services Should be Explicitly Authorized by the Proposed Amendment to the Ocean Plan

As described above, linear system facilities that provide gas, electric and communication services have intermittent, short term dewatering discharges from underground structures pursuant to WDRs or NPDES permits and these discharges are necessary to provide safe and reliable essential public services. These discharges to MS4s or other man-made or natural conveyance systems that eventually discharge to SWQPAs should be explicitly authorized by the proposed amendment to the Ocean Plan.

CCEEB requests a new Section E.3. be added to (and subsequent sections be renumbered) to state the following:

“Dewatering discharges to MS4s or other man-made or natural conveyance systems from linear system facilities that provide gas, electric and communication services pursuant to WDRs or NPDES permits are necessary to provide safe and reliable essential public services and are authorized under this section.

CCEEB requests a new section E.5.c.2 be added to existing Section E.5.c. (and subsequent sections be renumbered) to state the following:

“Dewatering discharges to MS4s or other man-made or natural conveyance systems from linear system facilities that provide gas, electric and communication services pursuant to WDRs or NPDES permits are necessary to provide safe and reliable essential public services and are authorized under this section.

The Marine Managed Areas Improvement Act (Public Resources Code Section 36600 et. seq.) Does Not Classify State Marine Reserves, State Marine Parks and State Marine Conservation Areas as State Water Quality Protections Areas and They Therefore Should Not be Managed to Achieve “Natural Water Quality”

The Public Resources Code (PRC Section 36700 et. seq.) identifies six Marine Managed Areas (MMAs) as follows:

- State Marine Reserves;
- State Marine Parks;
- State Marine Conservation Areas;
- State Marine Cultural Preservation Areas;
- State Marine Recreational Management Areas; and
- State Water Quality Protection Areas.

Three of these MMAs are further classified as Marine Protected Areas (MPAs). These MMAs are:

- State Marine Reserves;
- State Marine Parks; and
- State Marine Conservation Areas.

These MPAs are areas that were previously or will be in the future designated by the Fish and Game Commission or the State Parks & Recreation Commission based on their own unique criteria for these designations. In general, these designations are intended to protect habitat and other marine resources through controlling various human actions such as fishing, alterations to geological, cultural or recreational features, and/ or collection of marine species. As such, these areas have been, and in the future will be, designated based on the unique criteria these agencies have that are focused on protection needs other than a need for enhanced water quality protection.

The Public Resources Code (PRC) itself does not classify any of these MPAs (State Marine Reserves, State Marine Parks and State Marine Conservation Areas) as SWQPAs. Each of these MMA classifications (State Marine Reserves, State Marine Parks, State Marine Conservation Areas and State Water Quality Protection Areas) is classified separately under PRC Section 36700.

Had the intent of the Legislature been to classify the three MPAs (State Marine Reserves, State Marine Parks and State Marine Conservation Areas) as SWQPAs, it would have done so in the enacting legislation (as it did to specify that some MMAs were also MPAs), but it did not. Therefore, the certain protections to be provided to the MPAs should be unique and distinct from those identified for SWQPAs.

Furthermore, of these three MPAs, the PRC only specifies for State Marine Reserves that the area “...be maintained *to the extent practicable* in an undisturbed and unpolluted state” (*emphasis added*). In other words, State Marine Reserves is the only MPA that could be considered by statute to potentially require enhanced water quality protections that go above and beyond those water quality protections already contained in the California Ocean Plan. But the standard for State Marine Reserves (“...be maintained *to the extent practicable* in an undisturbed and unpolluted state”) is different than the standard for SWQPAs (protection of “...marine species or biological communities from an undesirable alteration in natural water quality...”). This standard for Marine Reserves should be achieved through compliance with water quality objectives contained in the Ocean Plan.

It is important to note that the California Ocean Plan already contains water quality objectives that have been incorporated to ensure protection of the Plan's beneficial uses, which include:

- Industrial water supply;
- Water contact and non-contact recreation, including aesthetic enjoyment;
- Navigation;
- Commercial and sport fishing;
- Mariculture;
- Preservation and enhancement of designated Areas of Special Biological Significance (ASBS);
- Rare and endangered species;
- Marine habitat;
- Fish migration;
- Fish spawning; and
- Shellfish harvesting.

As such, the California Ocean Plan already provides water quality protection for the areas identified as MPAs. If further protections are considered for any of the MPAs (*i.e.*, State Water Quality Protection Areas – General Protection), they should only be considered for State Marine Reserves.

CCEEB requests that any consideration of additional protections be limited to State Marine Reserves and that the Ocean Plan incorporate reasonable amendments consistent with the PRC (*i.e.*, not the “undesirable alteration in natural water quality” standard) that take into account the practicability of the proposed protections.

Implementation of Additional Requirements for SWQPAs Should Incorporate the Approach Described in SWRCB's Resolution 2010-0057 (Marine Protected Areas and State Water Quality Protection Areas)

CCEEB supports Resolution 2010-0057's direction to staff regarding their approach in developing new SWQPAs for those areas identified as SWQPAs consistent with the PRC.

The Ocean Plan Amendment Should Clarify that it Establishes the Process for Nominating and Approving New State Water Quality Protection Areas, but Does Not in Itself Approve any New State Water Quality Protection Areas

The proposed amendment establishes a process for nominating and designating SWQPAs and provides a brief overview of the numerous Marine Protected Areas within the State of California. However, it is not clear whether adoption of the proposed amendment will also formally designate any of these identified Marine Protected Areas as SWQPAs. It would appear that adoption of the proposed amendments would not result in the formal designation of any new SWQPAs as the proposed process for nominating and designating new SWQPAs includes steps that have not been incorporated into the proposed Ocean Plan Amendment.

CCEEB requests that the SWRCB clarify that the adoption of this proposed Ocean Plan Amendment does not in of itself result in the formal designation of any new SWQPAs.

The Language for ASBSs Should Specify that Discharges from Linear System Facilities that Provide Gas, Electric and Communication Services are Not Prohibited to MS4 or Other Man-Made or Natural Conveyance Systems that Discharge to ASBSs

In the SWRCB hearing held on March 20, 2012 to adopt general exceptions for ASBS, revisions were made to the proposed exception prior to adoption to clarify that permitted discharges (NPDES) are not prohibited to MS4s or other man-made or natural conveyances that ultimately discharge to an ASBS.

CCEEB requests that the same clarification be made to the SWQPAs-ASBS section (*i.e.*, in section E.4.) so that it is clear within the Ocean Plan that these discharges are authorized.

Other Supporting Comments

Proposed Implementation Provisions for Marine Managed Areas (Section E)

Section E.1. describes Marine Managed Areas as SWQPAs (Section E.1.a.1 and E.1.a.2) and Marine Protected Areas (Section E.1.b.). This, however, is confusing as Marine Protected Areas are included as both Sections E.1.a.2 and E.1.b. Section E.1 should be revised to resolve this confusion and to incorporate our comments above.

CCEEB agrees that to the extent any additional requirements are established for Marine Reserves, that since they are not SWQPAs, they should not be as restrictive as those required for ASBSs. Additionally, they should recognize the existing discharges (and potential future discharges) that are or may be permitted to the area, with conditions that are consistent with the existing Ocean Plan requirements to meet established water quality objectives.

Section E.2. would not impose new or modified limitations, substantive conditions, or prohibitions...upon existing municipal point source wastewater discharge outfalls....” This statement should be clarified that it includes existing storm water discharge outfalls.

Section E.3. states that the “...State Water Board may designate SWQPAs* to prevent the undesirable alteration of natural water quality within MPAs. As discussed above, this condition is not consistent with the Public Resources Code and therefore inappropriate. SWQPAs (specifically ASBSs), not MPAs, are to be managed to the “natural water quality” standard.

Section E.4. provides implementation provisions for SWQPA-ASBS. This section should be revised to be consistent with the recently adopted ASBS exception to clarify that indirect permitted (NPDES, WDRs or otherwise authorized) discharges to MS4s or other man-made or natural conveyances are not subject to the ASBS prohibition.

Section E.5. – This section contains multiple references to the SWQPA standard of shall not cause an undesirable alteration in the natural ocean water quality. As discussed above, this is not the appropriate standard for marine Reserves and needs to be revised to the standard for Marine Reserves which is “be maintained to the extent practicable in an undisturbed and unpolluted state.”

Section E.5.c. specifies implementation provisions for permitted MS4 discharges and non-point source discharges to SWQPAs-GP. This should be revised to include discharges from other man-made and natural conveyances.

Section E.5.c.1. specifies numeric receiving water limitations on stormwater and non-stormwater discharges. Numeric effluent limits should not be placed on these discharges. It may be appropriate to use them as triggers related to supplemental monitoring requirements (*e.g.*, see Section E.5.c.5.) but they should not be used as quasi-effluent limits.

Section E.5.c.3. states that non-storm water (dry weather) flows are effectively prohibited. Consistent with the ASBS exception adopted on March 20, 2012, this language should be revised to acknowledge that permitted NPDES and WDR (or otherwise authorized) discharges may continue to discharge indirectly to SWQPAs-GP through MS4s and other man-made and natural conveyances.

Section E.5.c.4-7. specify requirements to assess existing discharges to SWQPAs-GP for the purpose of characterizing the discharge and determining what affect the discharge is having on the “natural water quality” in the SWQPA. As discussed above, MPAs are not required in the Public Resources Code to meet “natural water quality”. State Marine Reserves are required, however, to be “... maintained to the extent practicable in an undisturbed and unpolluted state.” This standard should be achieved through compliance with water quality objectives contained in the Ocean Plan.

Section E.5.d. would prohibit increases in nonpoint sources or permitted storm drains into the SWQPA-GP. This should be clarified to indicate that increases in flows are not prohibited from permitted (NPDES, WDR or other authorizations) discharges that discharge indirectly to the SWQPA-GP.

Appendix I - Definition of Terms

ASBS - Staff needs to clarify why the definition of ASBS was revised, what the change was intended to achieve, and the impact it has on the implementation of the ASBS process as the staff report does not explain why this change was made.


SWQPAs GP - The definition of SWQPAs GP specifies that they have been designated by the staff to maintain “natural water quality.” As described above, natural water quality is not required within State Marine Reserves, State Marine Parks or State Marine Conservation Areas.

Appendix IV – Procedures for the Nomination of Areas of Special Biological Significance


Designations - This section (and previous sections) are not clear regarding whether adoption of this Ocean Plan amendment automatically designates existing MPAs as SWQPAs-GP or whether existing (and future) individual MPAs must go through the identified nomination and approval process. This needs to be clarified in the staff report and the proposed amendment.

CCEEB welcomes the opportunity to provide you and staff with these comments, which we urge the staff to incorporate when revising the Exception and finalizing the PEIR. We also look forward to staff’s response to these and all of the other comments put forth by the stakeholders. If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Bob Lucas at 916-444-7337.

Sincerely,



Robert W. Lucas
Waste & Water Quality Project Manager



Gerald D. Secundy
President

cc: Matt Rodriguez, Secretary, California Environmental Protection Agency
Jackson Gualco, The Gualco Group, Inc.