

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT Timm Borden, Director

CITY HALL 10300 TORRE AVENUE ~ CUPERTINO, CA 95014-3266 (408) 777-3354 ~ FAX (408) 777-3333



November 9, 2012

Via Email to: commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov

Tom Howard, Executive Director c/o Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 24th floor Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: State Board Workshop on Receiving Water Limitations Language

Dear Mr. Howard:

The City of Cupertino very much appreciates the State Water Resources Control Board (Board) holding a workshop on November 20, 2012 concerning receiving water limitations language for municipal stormwater permits issued in California. We have reviewed the Issues Paper and Agenda developed by your staff in preparation for this workshop and complement their thorough and thoughtful efforts. We now offer these comments for the Board and staff's further consideration.

As the result of the NRDC vs. County of Los Angeles decision by the Ninth Circuit and statements appearing in the fact sheets of several proposed MS4 permits since it was issued, we are very concerned about permit provisions related to contributions of municipal stormwater discharges to an exceedance of water quality standards. Unless the State Board directs changes in the precedent language to be used for them, we could face third party lawsuits due to such provisions regardless of the circumstances, magnitude, or duration of the event, its impact on human health or the environment, or their implementation of other program/BMP-specific requirements. We do not believe that the State Board in its prior precedent decisions intended municipalities to face potential third party lawsuits due to these permit provisions where the municipalities have implemented the so-called "iterative process" in good faith.

While the issue of improving the iterative process language is an important one to be considered at the workshop, preserving the intent to avoid the potential diversion of resources from water quality improvement to third party litigation is even more important to us. In this regard, if provisions which turn on the mere contribution of a stormwater discharge to an exceedance of water quality standards are to remain in California's MS4 permits, the State Board should at least make clear that the enforcement of these broad provisions is to be a matter of the State and Regional Board's discretion under the Water Code and reserved for unusual circumstances that warrant its exercise. We did not see this presented as an Alternative in the Issues Paper but believe the State Board should consider it along with them.

Finally, we believe that progress toward improving water quality will benefit substantially from municipalities working collaboratively with Regional Board staff in implementing the iterative process in those cases where exceedances of water quality standards occur. We therefore endorse that approach suggested by the Bay Area Stormwater Management Agencies Association ("BASMAA") and urge the Board to amend prior precedent MS4 language consistent with BASMAA's recommendations.

Sincerely yours,

Timm Borden

Public Works Director

cc: Cheri Donnelly