

**CALIFORNIA TOXICS RULE
RESPONSE TO COMMENTS REPORT**

VOLUME I

December 1999

Prepared by:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Science and Technology
401 M Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

and

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, California 94105

Consolidate document on CD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

Index of Comments Sorted by Subject Matter Code	v
Index of Comments Sorted by Comment ID number	xxi

Subject Matter Codes

A Anti-degradation	
B Comment Period	
C-01 Mercury	
C-02b Copper Aquatic Life	
C-03b Nickel Aquatic Life	
C-04b Selenium Aquatic Life	
C-05b Lead Aquatic Life	
C-06b Chromium Aquatic Life	
C-07b Cyanide Aquatic Life	
C-08a Arsenic Human Health	
C-09a Dioxin Human Health	
C-10b PCBs Aquatic Life	
C-11b PAHs Aquatic Life	
C-12a THMs Human Health	
C-13 Risk Level	
C-14 Fish or Water Consumption	
C-15 Salinity	
C-16 SDWA	
C-17 Methodologies	
C-17a Methodologies Human Health	
C-17b Methodologies Aquatic Life	
C-17c Meth.New Human Health Meth.	
C-18 Conversion Factors	
C-19 FDA Action Levels	
C-20 Scope Prty Toxic Poll. List	
C-21 Legal Concerns	
C-22 Dissolved versus Total Recoverable	
C-23 Sediments/Dredged Materials	
C-24 Site-Specific Criteria	
C-24a SSC Water Effect Ratios	
C-24b SSC Recalculation Procedure	
C-24c SSC Santa Ana River	
C-24d SSC Effluent Dependent Water	
C-24e SSC Designated/Beneficial Uses	
C-25 Hardness	
C-26 Averaging periods & Exceedence Freq	
C-27 Additive/Synergistic Effects	
C-28 Detection Limits	
C-29 Bioaccumulation	
C-30 Narrative Criteria	
D Preamble Editorial Comments	
E-01 Cost Analysis	
E-01a Baselines	
E-01a02 Cost Diff. for Effluent Limit	
E-01a03 Model I Weaknesses	

E-01b Cost Triggers
E-01b01 Regulatory Relief Above Threshold
E-01c Executive Order 12866
E-01c01 \$100M Threshold
E-01c02 Benefits do not Balance Cost
E-01d Direct Dischargers
E-01d01 Cost Estimate by Commenter
E-01e Indirect Dischargers
E-01e01 Sunnyvale/San Jose
E-01e02 No Costs for Non-SIUs
E-01e03 No Savings from Poll. Red

VOLUME H

Subject Matter Codes

E-01g Sample Facilities
E-01g01 Low or Zero Dilution
E-01g02 Another EA for Sample Facilities
E-01g03 Cost Effectiveness Ratio
E-01g04 AMLs vs. MDLs
E-01g05 Effluent Data
E-01g06 Reasonable Potential
E-01g08 Discharger Representation
E-01g09 Affected Facilities
E-01g10 Toxic Pound Equivalents
E-01h Treatment Assumptions
E-01h01 25% Reduction Assumption
E-01h02 Unit Cost Assumptions
E-01i Alternative Cost Analysis
E-01j
E-01l UMRA - Economic Comments
E-01m Regulatory Relief
E-01m02 Success in Regulatory Relief
E-01m03 Cost of Water Effect Ratios
E-01n Detection Limits
E-01n01 Non-Detects, No Cost
E-01o Background Levels
E-01p Risk Level Costs
E-01q Source Reduction
E-01q01 25% Assumption
E-01q03 Unit Cost Assumption
E-01r Economic Variances
E-01s Secondary, Indirect Cost Impact
E-01u Economic Considerations Task Force
E-01v Discharge Over Time
E-01w Cost per Facility
E-01y Cost of Efforts to Date
E-02 Benefits Analysis
E-02c Overstated Benefits
E-02d Passive Use Value
E-02e Include Omitted Benefits
E-02f Use More Recent Data
E-02g Benefits & Pollution Reduction
E-02h Un-Enclose, Enclose Bay Data
E-02i Impaired Waters Assumptions

E-02k Long-Term Contamination
E-02l Marginal Impacts/Benefits
E-02m Few Pollutant Mask Analysis
E-02o Analysis from Wisconsin
E-02o01 No Peer Review Reference
E-02q Benefits to Public at Large
F Endangered Species Act
G-01 Reasonable Potential
G-02 Compliance Schedules
G-03 Design/Minimum Flows
G-04 Interim Limits
G-05 Mixing Zones & Dilution Credit
G-06 NWQI
G-07 Variances
G-08 State Policy
G-09 Translators
G-10 Pretreatment
G-11 Intake Credits
H Paperwork Reduction Act
I Stormwater/Wet Weather Flows
I-01 Application Sec 301 vs. MEP
I-02 Elliott Memorandum
I-02a Applying WQBELs, Stormwater
I-03 Applicability of Criteria
I-04 Site-Specific Criteria
I-05 Compliance Schedules
I-07 Attainability of Criteria
I-08 SWRCB Flexibility & Authority
I-09 Pesticides in Runoff
I-10 CSO Policy
J Storm Water Economics
J-01 MS4s/CSOs/Industries Costs
J-02 RFA - Small Entity Cost
J-04 End-of-Pipe Treatment versus BMP
J-05 BMPs Inability to Comply
J-06 NEPA
K Water Shed Approach
K-01 TMDLs
K-02 Watershed Permitting
K-03 Watershed/Effluent Trading
L Anti-Backsliding
M Re-Open Comment Period
O Offer of Assistance/Review
P Whole Effluent Toxicity
Q Nonpoint Sources
R RFA/SBREFA
S UMRA
T State Implementation Policy
V Collaborative Approach

CALIFORNIA TOXICS RULE
RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
REPORT

VOL 1 DEC 1999

22628

