JUN

4 2009

SWRCB EXECUTIVE



Delta Diablo Sanitation District

OFFICE AND TREATMENT PLANT: 2500 PITTSBURG-ANTIOCH HIGHWAY, ANTIOCH, CA 94509-1373

TEL.: (925) 756-1900 ADMIN. FAX: (925) 756-1961 MAINT. FAX: (925) 756-1963 OPER. FAX: (925) 756-1962 TECH. SVCS. FAX: (925) 756-1960 www.ddsd.org

June 4, 2009

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL (commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov) & U.S.

Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 24th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBJECT: COMMENT LETTER - SAN FRANCISCO BAY POLYCHLORINATED-

BIPHENYLS TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD



Delta Diablo Sanitation District (the District) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) regarding the proposed polychlorinated-biphenyls (PCBs)-related Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Basin Plan amendment for the San Francisco Bay. Our comments pertain to the proposed requirements for municipal wastewater dischargers. Specifically, the District has significant concerns about the PCBs TMDL that are described below. We appreciate your serious consideration of these concerns as we believe that the accumulation of issues has resulted in a TMDL that is not statistically valid or scientifically accurate. As a result, this TMDL will place municipal wastewater agencies in potential compliance jeopardy when National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit effluent limitations are developed to implement this TMDL. By reference, we also support all comments made by the Bay Area Clean Water Agencies (BACWA).

Municipal Wastewater Wasteload Allocations are not Performance Based

The February 2008 Basin Plan Amendment for the PCBs TMDL states that the group and individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) for municipal wastewater discharges are performance based. This statement is factually incorrect. Table A-1 of the PCBs TMDL estimates the aggregate loading from municipal wastewater dischargers at 2.3 kg/yr. Table A-2 reduces that estimated WLA for municipal wastewater dischargers to 2 kg/yr. Table A-3 of the TMDL further divides the aggregate municipal loading into separate, smaller WLAs for individual dischargers. All of the proposed WLAs are based on a very limited effluent data set collected from only nine municipal wastewater dischargers between 1999-2001 and calculated using 2003 flow data, as acknowledged by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (SFBRWQCB) staff on page 78 of the December 2007 Staff report. The District believes that the analytical data set is inadequate to establish either the proposed total WLA to San Francisco Bay or individual WLAs to municipal dischargers due to the great uncertainty associated with the limited concentration data available, and is certainly not representative of current performance by all municipal wastewater dischargers.



Ms. Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board
June 4, 2009
COMMENT LETTER - SAN FRANCISCO BAY POLYCHLORINATED-BIPHENYLS
TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD
Page 2

Group Municipal Wastewater Dischargers Wasteload Allocation

The District does not believe that the aggregate loading of 2.3 kg/yr for all municipal wastewater is substantiated in the TMDL documentation. This WLA is based on just 23 data points from a limited number of municipal wastewater dischargers that were determined using an unapproved analytical method. Nor do we believe that a reduction from the estimated 2.3 kg/yr to 2 kg/yr is necessary or will result in meaningful water quality benefits for the San Francisco Bay. The PCBs TMDL appears to arbitrarily round the municipal wastewater WLA to a whole number and just one significant figure: "which reflects the current estimated aggregate load of 2.3 kg/year rounded down to one figure." In contrast the industrial discharger WLA was calculated to 3 significant figures (0.035 kg/yr), "which reflects estimated current loads" both as described on page 71 of the SFBRWQCB staff report.

This seemingly harmless and benign reduction will only add to the potential for compliance jeopardy when permit effluent limitations for PCBs are developed because, in fact, this total WLA was not developed from effluent data collected at all municipal discharger facilities and does not represent current performance.

Individual Municipal Wastewater Discharger Wasteload Allocations

As a consequence of the limited effluent data set, the individual WLAs for municipal wastewater dischargers are based solely on an estimated performance by a limited number of secondary and advanced secondary treatment facilities and calculated using individual facility design flows. The result is that secondary treatment facilities have disproportionately lower WLAs, which cannot accurately be called "performance-based."

| Facility Type | Average PCB Concentration 1999-2001 pg/L | Number of Agencies |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Secondary POTWs | 3460 | 5 |
| Advanced 2° POTWs | 208 | 4 |

The proposed individual allocations were developed based on PCB effluent concentration data for select dischargers as presented in the PCB TMDL Project Report (December, 2003). Data were collected from just four (4) dischargers with advanced secondary treatment and five (5) dischargers with secondary treatment. Two to four samples were analyzed for each of the selected dischargers. A total of fourteen (14) samples were collected over a nine (9) month period to characterize PCB effluent levels for advanced secondary treatment in 1999-2000 and a total of nine (9) samples were collected over a three (3) month period in 2000-2001 to characterize PCB effluent levels for secondary treatment. No data are available to characterize the remaining 31 wastewater treatment facilities listed in Table A-3 of the proposed Basin Plan amendment.

Ms. Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board
June 4, 2009
COMMENT LETTER – SAN FRANCISCO BAY POLYCHLORINATED-BIPHENYLS
TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD
Page 3

The PCB allocations are not representative of municipal discharger performance, and should not be used as a basis for compliance determinations.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the proposed PCB Basin Plan amendment and staff report. We look forward to reviewing any additional drafts and the final proposed documents.

Sincerely,

Gary W. Darling General Manager

AWR:di

cc:

District File CORP.15.03-CORRES-Chron File