

**Response to Comments Received from Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board for  
Claim 11331  
Received on December 11, 2013 via email**

Comment 1: The off-site plume was not fully defined (area of restaurant).

RESPONSE: The off-site plumes (TPH-g, benzene, TBA and MTBE) are fully defined by monitoring wells MW-10, MW-9, MW-12 and MW-5.

Comment 2: No Sensitive Receptor Survey Report was prepared and submitted.

RESPONSE: The Conceptual Site Model in the UST Case Closure Review Summary Report includes all the pertinent elements to meet Policy criteria for closure.

Comment 3: Further remediation (HVDPE) in the areas of well MW-14, and VE-5 through VE-7 was incomplete. Therefore, no rebound testing was completed for the Site.

RESPONSE: The past remedial efforts have reduced the concentrations for contaminants of concern to near water quality objectives per the December 2011 Quarterly Report thus meeting the Policy criteria for closure.

Comment 4: The required additional soil confirmation sampling was not completed.

RESPONSE: The existing data in the file meet Policy criteria for closure.

Comment 5: No Site Conceptual Model report was prepared and submitted for the Site.

RESPONSE: As defined in the Policy, a Site Conceptual Model does not have to be compiled into a single document. The file contains ample documentation to develop a Site Conceptual Model.