

Deering

60

June 23,

has agreed, or other persons, to divert water from the said
field.

The following are the names of the applicants of water from
the same general watershed for 1925, in order of their rank held by
the several applicants. Their names and addresses are provided as follows:

Applications 3645 and 4144 by T. H. ... were filed September
30, 1923, and August 8, 1924, respectively, and are both to appropriate
water from Beyond Creek, otherwise known as Beyond Creek, for domestic
purposes on the same tract. Application No. 3645 was protested by
the Archbald Lake Company. Application No. 4144 was not protested. The
protest against Application No. 3645 was being only withdrawn upon
agreement between protestant and applicant that the total diversion not
exceed one hundredth (0.01) cubic feet per second. Applicant now desires
to divert at the point of diversion specified in application No. 4144
instead of that described in application No. 3645, - upon the sugges-
tion of the Division of Water Rights - amended order date of June 1,
1925, to withdrawal of the earlier application (No. 3645) and action upon
the later one (No. 4144) instead if there were no intervening priorities.
There being no intervening priorities this course appears in order.

Applications numbers 3721 and 3722 by R. A. ... and V. H.
... respectively to divert water for domestic purposes from the same
spring were both filed November 21, 1924, and are both protested by Mary
and Arthur H. Nixon. It appeared at the hearing that a reasonable doubt
exists as to whether any water from this source reaches the protestant's
point of diversion from Little Bear Creek during the period of diversion
specified by applicant and also that protestant does not, during the same

period, use all of the water flowing past his diversion point. It is therefore found that there is water subject to the appropriate amount and conservation of the applications would have little if any effect on protestant's claimed rights.

Applications Numbers 4093 and 4094 by the U. S. Forest Service were filed July 17, 1924, for domestic use on adjoining tracts of former cabin sites and are both protested by Wavy and Arthur H. Wynn. It appearing at the hearing that there is no possibility of interference with rights claimed by protestants through consummation of either application, protestants withdrew their protests against each of these applications.


ORDER

Applications Numbers 3449, 3451, 3452, 4093, 4094 and 4143 for permits to appropriate water having been filed with the Division of Water Rights as above stated, protests having been filed, a public hearing having been held, and the Division of Water Rights now being fully informed in the premises:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Applications Numbers 3451, 3452, 4093, 4094 and 4143 be approved and that permits be granted to the applicants subject to each of the usual terms and conditions as may be appropriate.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Application Number 3449 be and the same is hereby denied.

Dated at Sacramento this 23rd day of June, 1925.


EDWARD J. GALT
COMMISSIONER OF WATER RIGHTS
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA