State of California

State Water Resources Control Board

DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS

P.O. Box 2000, Sacramento, CA 95812-2000 Info: (916) 341-5300, FAX: (916) 341-5400, Web: http://www.waterrightscom. 22 [1] 2: 44

PETITION FOR CHANGE

(WATER CODE 1707)

		an COBE 1101)		
X Point of D	Diversion, Point of Rediv	version, X Place o	f Use, X Purpose of Use Statement or Other S015	481
(we) hereby petition for change(s	s) noted above and shown on th	e accompanying map	and described as follows:	
oint of Diversion or Rediversio	on (Give coordinate distances fr	om section corner or o	other ties as allowed by Cal C	R 715, and the
O-acre subdivision in which the p Present Upper stream r	reach extends from: A 0005	680.8 Y 2117041.4	to X 6307997.6 Y 212222	28.5
<u>(California C</u>	Coordinate System) reach extends from: X 6307			
(California Coc lace of Use (If irrigation then sta	ardinata Svetom)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Present See attached				
Proposed See attach	ed			
Purpose of Use Present See attached	d			
Proposed See attach				
Does the proposed use so water (See WC 170	erve to preserve or enhance wet 07)? Yes			ion in or on the
	POSED CHANGE: Enhanc			
WILL THE OLD POINT O	F DIVERSION OR PLACE OF	F USE BE ABANDO	NED? Yes (yes/no)	-
- WATER WILL BE USED I	FOR Irrigation, domestic,	, livestock, habita	at restoration nursery_	PURPOSES.
I(we) have access to the propose	ed point of diversion or control	the proposed place of	use by virtue of? Ownership, lease verbal	Or written agreement)
Are there any persons taking wa	ater from the stream between the	e old point of return fl	ow and the new point of return	(ves/no)
If by lease or agreement, state th	ne name and address of party(s)	from whom access ha	s been obtained. Attach addi	tional pages if need
Give name and address of any p proposed point of diversion or r	person(s) taking water from the rediversion, as well as any other	r nerson(s) known io y	Off Alto life's on attended of we	- brakara D
There are no landowne	ers taking water from	ditch and t	ne downstream redive	ISION
Indian Creek between the		(pump site)	9	ON OF USE
THIS CHANGE DOES NOT IN I (we) declare under penalty of	VOLVE AN INCREASE IN THI perjury that the above is true as	E AMOUNT OF THE And correct to the best of	of my (our) knowledge and be	hief.
Dated May 3	20,20,04	Douglas	aty	, California
/	Signature(s)	22	530 623 Telephone No.	27 X2
PET_CHG (1-00)	OTE: A \$100 filing fee made payable to the Department	payable to the State W of Fish and Game mus	ater Resources Control Board at accompany a petition for ch	l and a \$850 fee ange.

Addendum, Page 1 of 3

Petition For Change (continued) (Water Code 1707)

	`		,	
Application	Permit	License	Statement or Other	S015481

Purpose of Use

Present: The petitioner and landowner, Mr. John Letton, maintains a Pre-1914

Appropriative Water Right that permits him to divert water from Indian Creek for agricultural and domestic purposes. Mr. Letton also retains Riparian Right by virtue of the geographical location of his property adjacent to the creek.

Currently, water is diverted from Indian Creek at a temporary, seasonal earthen/log dam and conveyed through a 1.5 mile long ditch. A section of the ditch is piped and the remaining portion is either open unlined earth or half piped. The ditch travels adjacent to agricultural pasture, passes through a one-acre pond, continues into a lower pasture and terminates. Several turnouts above and below the pond provide flood irrigation to the adjoining 14 acres of pasture.

Approximately 14 head of livestock are watered from the diversion as well.

Proposed: <u>Petitoner desires to limit his Pre-1914 Appropriative right and dedicate</u> a portion of the conserved water to benefit the environment and fisheries habitat in Indian Creek.

By substituting a high efficiency pump and irrigation system for the diversion, ditch and turnouts, nearly all of the water currently diverted through the ditch will be conserved. Lawrence and Associates (L & A), a certified Civil Engineering Firm, completed a Water Budget Analysis for the subject Indian Creek diversion in May of 2003. That analysis established the ditch capacity at 8 cfs and average flow rates of between 4 to 6 cfs during the 183 day irrigation season.

This petition addresses two reaches of stream, and if approved, will result in increased water flows in both reaches. The upper reach lies between the existing diversion and the proposed pump site. The lower reach is between the pump and the terminus at the mouth of Indian Creek where it enters the Trinity River. The amount of increased stream flow at the upper reach is equal to the total current diversion. Increased stream flow in the lower reach is that amount produced due to water conservation measures implemented on the property including installation of drip irrigation, elimination of the ditch and conveyance losses (see the L & A analysis) and changes in agricultural crops species.

Other Persons who may be affected by the proposed change:

Landowner at point of current diversion: David P Frase 1805 Hilltop Dr., Ste. 207 Redding, CA 96002

Supplemental Information

Lawrence and Associates, a certified Civil Engineering Firm, completed a <u>Water Budget Analysis</u> for the subject Indian Creek diversion in May of 2003. Below is an extract from that Analysis. The entire report is included herein, for informational purposes.

Water Budget Analysis Indian Creek Water Ditch

May 20, 2003

Table 2. Letton Water Budget

item	AF/6 mo.	cfs	AF/6 mo.	cfs
Total Diversions (see Table 1)			1454	4.0
Uses & Losses (see below)	ŀ			
Applied Water (ET/irrigation efficiency)	210	0.58		
Livestock	0.13	<0.01		
Ditch Infiltration/Evaporation	317	0.87		
Pond Infiltration/Evaporation	185	0.51	ļ	
Other Losses (Gaps in ditch/pipes)	742	2.04		
Total Uses & Losses	1		1454	4.0

Uses & Losses

	Acres	ËT	Irr. Eff.	Current /	\pplied
		(AF/6 mo)	%	(AF/6 mo)	cfs
Totals	14	42	20%	210	0.58
Livestock					
	Head	gpđ	# days	(AF/6 mo)	cfs
Total	20	12	183	0.13	<0.01
Ditch inflite					
Infiltra		Evapo	ration	Tot	a!
AF/6 mo.	cfs	AF/6 mo.	cfs	AF/8 mo.	cfs
297.52	0.82	19.10	0.05	317	0.87
Pond Infiltr		poration			
Infiltri	ation	Evapo	ration	Tot	al
AF/6 mo.	cfs	AF/6 mo.	cfs	AF/6 mo.	cfs
183	0.50	2,4	0.01	185	0.51
Other Loss	es (Gaps	in ditch/pipe:	s)		
				AF/6 mo.	cfs
Total Divers				1454	- 4.0
		Uses & Losses	3	712	2.0
Net other los	sses			742	2.0

W01.05.13

Lawrence & Associates

State of California
State Water Resources Control Board

DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS

P.O. Box 2000, Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

Info: (916) 341-5300, FAX: (916) 341-5400, Web: http://www.waterrights.ca.gov

ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION FOR PETITIONS

(THIS IS NOT A CEQA DOCUMENT)

APPLICATION NO.

PERMIT NO.

LICENSE NO. SOU: S015481

The following information will aid in the environmental review of your change petition as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). IN ORDER FOR YOUR CHANGE PETITION TO BE ACCEPTED AS COMPLETED, ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS LISTED BELOW MUST BE COMPLETED TO THE BEST OF YOUR ABILITY. Failure to answer all questions may result in your change petition being returned to you, causing delays in processing. If you need more space, attach additional sheets. Additional information may be required from you to amplify further or clarify the information requested in this form.

DISCRIPTION OF CHANGES TO PROJECT

Provide a description of the proposed changes to your project, including but not limited to, type
of construction activity, structures existing or to be built, area to be graded or excavated, changes
in land use, and project operational changes, including changes in how the water will be used.
 Petitioner proposes to permanently decommission a seasonal log dam, diversion ditch and
several irrigation turnouts. Diversion will be replaced by an existing high efficiency pump
located in a lower reach of the stream. Net conserved water, estimated at 3.5 CFS will be
dedicated to the environment.

An excavator will lift a single-log dam from the streambed and place it in the diversion ditch, hydrologically disconnected from the creek. Native soil will be used to cover the log and grade approximately 25 feet of ditch. Thirty feet of bank on the west side of the creek will be feathered and graded to match the existing slope.

A high efficiency drip irrigation and pump system has been installed to provide water in place of the diversion, ditch and flood irrigation. Regional DFG Fisheries staff will supervise the decommissioning project. All work will take place in compliance with an existing Fish and Game Code 1600 permit, approved by Regional DFG staff. A copy is included with this petition

GOVERNMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Before a final decision can be made on your change petition, we must consider the information contained in an environmental document prepared in compliance with the requirements of CEQA. If an environmental document has been prepared for your proposed changes by another agency, we must consider it. If one has not been prepared, a determination must be made as to who is responsible for the preparation of the environmental document for your change petition. The following questions are designed to aid us in that determination.

2.	Con a.	ntact your county planning or public works department for the following information: Person contacted Tina Toucher Date of contact September, 2003
		Department Trinity Co. Planning Dept. Telephone (530) 623-1351
	b.	Assessor's Parcel No. 15-440-58
	c.	County Zoning Designation Agriculture/Forest 10 Acre Minimum
	d.	Are any county permits required for your proposed changes? No If yes, check appropriate space below: Grading Permit, Use Permit, Watercourse Obstruction Permit, Change of Zoning, General Plan
		Obstruction Permit, Change of Zoning, General Plan Change, Other (explain):
	e.	Have you obtained any of the required permits described above? No If yes, provide a complete copy of each permit obtained.
3.	fro Sor Re wh	e any additional state or federal permits required for your proposed changes? Yes (i.e., m Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, U.S. Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, il Conservation Service, Department of Water Resources (Division of Safety of Dams), clamation Board, Coastal Commission, State Lands Commission, etc.) For each agency from sich a permit is required provide the following information: The proposed changes? Yes (i.e., and i.e., a
		rson (s) contacted Carl Harral Agency Calif. Dept. Fish & Game
		te of contact 02/26/2004 Telephone (530) 225-2309
4.		as any public agency prepared an environmental document for any aspect of your proposed anges? Yes
	the exp an	so, please submit a copy of the latest environmental document (s) prepared, including a copy of a notice of determination adopted by the public agency. If not, explain below whether you pect that a public agency other than the State Water Resources Control Board will be preparing environmental document for your change petition or whether the applicant, if it is a California blic agency, will be preparing the environmental document for your change petition:

	Note: When completed, please submit a copy of the final environmental document (including notice of determination) or notice of exemption to the State Water Resources Control Board. Processing of your change petition cannot proceed until such documents are submitted.
5.	Will your proposed changes, during construction or operation, generate waste or wastewater containing such things as sewage, industrial chemicals, metals, or agricultural chemicals, or
	cause erosion, turbidity or sedimentation? Yes If so, explain:
No	work will take place in the active streambed. When the single log is extracted from the
stre	eam, there is potential that a small amount of sediment will be released.
	Will a waste discharge permit be required for your petition? Person contacted Miguel Villcana Date of contact 01/14/2004 What method of treatment and disposal will be used?
6.	Person contacted Miguel Villcana Date of contact 01/14/2004 What method of treatment and disposal will be used? Have any archeological reports been prepared on this project, or will you be preparing an
6.	Person contacted Miguel Villcana Date of contact 01/14/2004 What method of treatment and disposal will be used? Have any archeological reports been prepared on this project, or will you be preparing an archeological report to satisfy another public agency? No
6.	Person contacted Miguel Villcana Date of contact 01/14/2004 What method of treatment and disposal will be used? Have any archeological reports been prepared on this project, or will you be preparing an archeological report to satisfy another public agency? No Do you know of any archeological or historic sites located within the general project area?
6.	Person contacted Miguel Villcana Date of contact 01/14/2004 What method of treatment and disposal will be used? Have any archeological reports been prepared on this project, or will you be preparing an archeological report to satisfy another public agency? No
6.	Person contacted Miguel Villcana Date of contact 01/14/2004 What method of treatment and disposal will be used? Have any archeological reports been prepared on this project, or will you be preparing an archeological report to satisfy another public agency? No Do you know of any archeological or historic sites located within the general project area?

7. Attach <u>THREE COMPLETE SETS</u> of color photographs, clearly dated and labeled, showing the vegetation currently existing at the following locations:

- a. Along the stream channel immediately downstream from the proposed point(s) of diversion
- b. Along the stream channel immediately upstream from the proposed point(s) of diversion
- c. At the place(s) where the water is to be used

<u>Note</u>: It is very important that you submit no less than <u>three complete sets of photographs</u> as required above. If less than three sets are submitted, processing of your change petition will be delayed until you furnish the remaining sets!

8. From the list given below, mark or circle the general plant community types which best describe those which occur within you project area (Note: See footnote denoted by * under Question 11 below):

Tree Dominated Communities

Subalpine Conifer

Red Fir

Lodgepole Pine

Mixed Conifer

Sierran Mixed Conifer

White Fir

Klamath Mixed Conifer

Douglas-Fir

Jeffrey Pine

Ponderosa Pine

Eastside Pine

Redwood

Pinyon-Juniper

Juniper

Aspen

Closed-Cone Pine-Cypress

Montane Hardwood-Conifer

Montane Hardwood

Valley Foothill Hardwood

Blue Oak Woodland

Valley Oak Woodland

Coastal Oak Woodland

Valley Foothill Hardwood-Conifer

Blue Oak-Digger Pine

Eucalyptus

Montane Riparian

Valley Foothill Riparian

Desert Riparian

Palm Oasis

Joshua Tree

Shrub Dominated Communities

Alpine Dwarf-Shrub

Low Sage

Bitterbrush

Sagebrush

Montane Chaparral

Mixed Chaparral

Chamise-Redshank Chaparral

Coastal Scrub

Desert Succulent Shrub

Desert Wash

Desert Scrub

Alkali Desert Scrub

Herbaceous Dominated Communities

Annual Grassland

Perennial Grassland

Wet Meadow

Fresh Emergent Wetland

Saline Emergent Wetland

Pasture

Aquatic Communities

Riverine

Lacustrine

Estuarine

Marine

Developed Communities

Cropland

Orchard-Vineyard

Urban

Literature source: Mayer, K.E., and W.F. Laudenslayer, Jr., (eds). 1988. A Guide to Wildlife Habitats of California. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento. 166 pp. (Note: You may view a copy of this document qt our public counter at the address given

at the top of this form or you may purchase a copy by calling the California Department of Fish and Game, Wildlife Habitat Relationships (WHR) Program at (916) 653-7203).

9. Provide below an estimate of the type, number, and size (trunk/stem diameter at chest height) of trees and large shrubs that are planned to be removed or destroyed due to implementation of the proposed changes. Consider all aspects of your change petition, including changes in diversion structures, water distribution and use facilities, and changes in the place of use due to additional water development.

The scope of work detailed in question #1 will have negligible impact on existing trees and shrubs. DFG staff intend to accomplish the decommissioning without removing, destroying or injuring any existing alders up/downslope of the ditch or along the bank slope. An existing road will provide access to the site.

Once the ditch's carrying capacity is gone, some mortality may occur in plants adjacent to it due to water loss when the leakage is eliminated.

FISH AND WILDLIFE CONCERNS

10. Identify the typical species of fish which occur in the source(s) from which you propose to divert water and discuss whether or not any of these fish species or their habitat has been or would be affected by your proposed changes. (Note: See footnote denoted by * under Question 11 below):

Indian Creek contains steelhead, coho, and chinook salmon.

Both the quality and quantity of fisheries habitat will benefit from the project.

The increased seasonal flow created by the water conservation will provide added migration, spawning and rearing opportunities in Indian Creek. Additional flows also contribute to cooler water temperatures during critical flow periods. Removal of the diversion will encourage native riparian vegetation and create additional cover, habitat and food supply.

11. Identify the typical species of riparian and terrestrial wildlife in the area and discuss whether or not any of these species and/or their habitat has been or would be affected by your proposed changes through construction of additional water diversion and distribution works and/or changes in land use in the place of water use. (Note: See footnote denoted by * below):

DFG biological staff provided assistance. A comprehensive list, extrapolated from the

California Wildlife Habitat Relationships database, is attached. It contains all species

(mammals, birds, amphibians and reptiles) which MAY occur within the selected plant

communities (from #8) of Trinity County. The list is limited to species with medium threshold suitability level and essential dependence on these habitat types. Research employing current environmental query databases revealed no listed wildlife species within the project boundary or habitat thresholds. In the opinion of DFG staff, the level of long-term micro-climate change and minimized impact during the ditch decommissioning will have an insignificant effect on reproduction, feeding patterns or cover within the treatment area or habitat stages.

- *Note: The purposes of Question 10 and 11 are to provide a preliminary assessment of the presence of typical plant and animal species in the area and whether these species might be affected by your proposed changes. Detailed site surveys to quantify populations of specific species or determine the presence of rare or endangered species may be required at a later date. It is very important that you answer these questions accurately. If you are unable to obtain appropriate answers from your local California Department of Fish and Game biologists (See attachment for address and telephone number) or you do not have adequate information or expertise to complete your answers, you should hire a fishery consultant and/or a wildlife consultant to review your project and prepare suitable answers for you. For information on available qualified fishery or wildlife consultants near you, consult your local telephone directory yellow pages under Environmental and Ecological Services, or call the California Environmental Protection Agency, Registered Environmental Assessor (REA) Program, at (916) 324-6881 or the University of California, Cooperative Extension Service (See your local telephone directory white pages).
- 12. Do your proposed changes involve any construction or grading-related activity which has significantly altered or would significantly alter the bed or bank of any stream or lake? No

 If so, explain:

 (see attached addendum)

 CERTIFICATION

 I hereby certify that the statements I have furnished above and in the attached exhibits are complete to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

 Date _______ Signature

Addendum; Page 1 of 1

1707 Petition for Change; Mr. John Letton

12. A single log structure will be removed from the streambed and approximately thirty feet of stream bank will be pulled back, feathered and terraced to match the contours of the existing terrain. This one-time elimination process will result in significantly less impact on water quality, flow and temperature than the biannual process of replacing and removing the dam and diversion point, and will eliminate the potential for contact with the riparian corridor, plant and wildlife resources.