TK/GFW

State of California

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

The Resources Agency

FAX COVER SHEET

To Greg Wilson	From Vancy Quan
Organization SWRCIS	Organization SWPAO
Location (Building/ Room Number)	Location (Building/ Room Number)
FAX Number 916 341 - 5400	FAX Number
Telephone Number	Telephone Number 916-653-6955
Total Number of Pages Sent (including this sheet)	Date

COMMENTS:

	Discard copy	
Original	letter to follow	

If you do not receive all pages, or have any problems with receiving this fax, please call:

DWR 4210 (Rev. 01/00)

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836 SACRAMENTO, CA 942360001 (916) 653-6791

February 17, 2009



Ms. Victoria Whitney, Chief Division of Water Rights State Water Resources Control Board Post Office Box 2000 Sacramento, California 95812-2000

Dear Ms. Whitney:

The Department of Water Resources (DWR) has received the Notice of Petition for Temporary Change involving the transfer of up to 12,494 acre-feet from Property Reserve California, Inc. (PRC) to the Contra Costa Water District (CCWD). PRC proposes to transfer water made available through the fallowing of crops on land within the Delta lowlands referred to as Byron Ranch. DWR protests the proposed transfer because of the potential injury to its permitted water rights, but withdraws its protest subject to the inclusion of conditions equivalent to those attached in any order approving the transfer.

DWR strongly supports water transfers, particularly in critically dry years. However, DWR has concerns regarding the proposed PRC transfer. Water transfers can provide critical supplemental supplies for water short areas. However, it is essential that any transfer be limited to the amount of real water made available to assure that the transfer can be implemented without adversely affecting other legal users of water, including DWR, and without unreasonably impacting fish, wildlife, or other instream beneficial uses. To protect other legal users of water, including DWR, the transfer quantity must be limited to the reduction in consumptive use on the specific areas to be fallowed during the transfer period. The impacts of overstatement of water made available due to water transfers in the Delta watershed leads to the direct loss of water to the water users of the State Water Project (SWP) and the federal Central Valley Project (CVP) further reducing a critically short water supply.

PRC, as described in the documents provided to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), is proposing to fallow approximately 2,000 acres on Byron Tract in the Delta lowlands and transfer 12,494 acre-feet of water to CCWD, or approximately 6.2 acre-feet per acre. The transfer quantity is based on an estimated reduction in diversions rather than a reduction in consumptive use. Approval of the transfer as proposed would result in the transfer of significantly more water than would have been consumed by the normal operations on the Byron Ranch Property. The calculation of transferable water should be based on the reduction in Evapotranspiration of Applied Water (ETAW) rather than a reduction in diversions. Under normal operations any water diverted from Old River, Italian Slough, or Dredger Cut and applied to Byron Ranch property in excess of the crop ETAW discharges back to the Delta channels and

Ms. Victoria Whitney February 17, 2009 Page 2

is available to other legal users of water downstream of the discharge location. Approving the transfer based on an estimated reduction in diversions rather than the reduction in consumptive use will result in a decrease in the quantity of water that would have been available to other legal users of water in the absence of the transfer.

The information provided in the PRC petition does not contain sufficient information to calculate the reduction in ETAW resulting from the fallowing proposal. The petition states that approximately 2,000 acres will be fallowed and 1,000 acres will remain in production, but does not specify which fields or particular crops will be fallowed and which will remain in production. The general crops proposed for fallowing include irrigated, partially irrigated and non-irrigated crops. Fallowing of non-irrigated crops does not create transferable water. In addition, the petition contains no information with which to estimate how much water is typically applied to the partially irrigated crops. Diversion and discharge quantities onto and out of the Byron Ranch property as a whole or by field, which could aid in the analysis of the partially irrigated fields, are not metered or recorded.

DWR staff conducted a land use survey in 2007 which included the Bryon Ranch property. There are a number of discrepancies between the PRC land use reported for 2007 and DWR land use survey information including whether the crop was irrigated in 2007. Attachment 1 contains a comparison of the DWR and PRC field classifications for 2007. A number of the fields in the western portion of the Byron Ranch property designated as pasture in the PRC petition are on alkali soils and are listed as native vegetation (non-irrigated) in the DWR land use survey.

The majority of the acreage to be fallowed is located in the Delta lowlands, an area that typically experiences high groundwater levels, and depending on the specific soil types, significant lateral movement of water through the soils. Fallowing areas with high groundwater creates a number of potential problems in determining the amount of real water made available for transfer, including determining what portion of the crop water demand is met with applied water and estimating the continued evaporation losses from the fallowed fields.

Once the transferable water has been calculated and the land fallowed, the idled fields must be maintained free of weed growth to assure that excessive weed growth does not consume the water intended for the transfer. Fallowing acreage in high groundwater areas creates a substantial problem maintaining the fallowed fields free of weeds. In 1991, DWR operated the Drought Water Bank in which a substantial amount of acreage in the Delta was fallowed, including land within the Delta lowlands. The high groundwater and significant lateral movement of water in the Delta lowlands provided vegetation in the fallowed fields with continual access to a water supply supporting

Ms. Victoria Whitney February 17, 2009 Page 3

substantial weed growth. In some areas, weed growth may have equaled production crop evapotranspiration. In many cases, the methods originally proposed for managing excessive weed growth proved inadequate and much more aggressive weed abatement methods were required. The PRC proposal does not contain a weed abatement, monitoring or verification program.

The information contained in the petitions, as posted by SWRCB, is insufficient to calculate the quantity of real water that can be made available for transfer. Unless the transfer quantity is limited to the reduction in ETAW for the specific fallowed acreage on the same pattern the water would have been consumed in the absence of the transfer, the transfer has the potential to impact the SWP. DWR requests that any order approving a transfer as described in the PRC petition include the conditions equivalent to those in Attachment 2.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at (916) 653-0190, or Maureen Sergent of my staff at (916) 653-9467.

Sincerely,

Nancy Quan, Chief

Manyon

Program Development and Water Supply and Transfers Branch State Water Project Analysis Office

Attachments

CC:

Terry Erlewine, General Manager State Water Contractors 1121 L Street, Suite 1050 Sacramento, California 95814-3944

Property Reserve California, Inc. c/o Monique de Barruel, P.E. West Yost Associates 2020 Research Park Drive, Suite 100 Davis, California 95618

Mr. Greg Gartrell, Assistant General Manager Water Resources Contra Costa Water District Post Office Box H2O Concord, California 94524-2099

Attachment 1

COMPARISON OF 2007 DWR SURVEYED LAND USE vs PRC (BYRON RANCH) REPORTED 2007 and 2008 LAND USE

Field ID 855 65 64 64 65 65 65 66 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67	2007 PRC LAND USE	PRC	2007 DWR LAND USE	DWR.		PRC
	2007 PRC LAND USE	FEC	いのこのことできた。	֓֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֡֡֡֜֜֜֜֜		
 		ACRES		ACRES	2008 PRC LAND USE	ACRES
	ON MAP NOT ON CROP LIST		Sudan	15.3		
 	THE GRASS	73.0	FIELD NOT IDENTIFIED ON MAP			
	alialia wan	44.8	Alfalfa	43.0	aifalfa	44.8
	old with fig.	62.2	Alfalfa	62.7	afalfa	62.2
	socius arose wiv	52.1	Misc prasses partially impated	50.4	grass hay variety	52.1
	positive proce mix	30.5	Alfalfa	26.6	grass hay variety	30.5
	plant hormada	63.5	Misc grain partially irrigated	59.3	grass hay variety	63.5
	School Services	18.0	Misc grasses partially irrigated	18.8	grass hay variety	18.0
	an Andrews	-	Farmstead no residence	3.6		
1	nashire drass mix	37.5	Sudan	36.2	grass hay variefy	37.5
	rve drass	17.0	Misc grasses partially irrigated	14.0	grass hay variety	0 7 6
	pasture drass mix	18.5	Misc grasses	18.0	grass hay variety	6.0
	orchard drass	11.6	Misc grasses	8.6	grass hay variety	7, 7
	NA CIESSO	9.6	Idle - not crop'd current/prev	10.7	grass hay variety	200
	new alfalfa	45.7	Alfalfa	42.4	alfalfa	45.
		72.0	Misc grain partially irrigated	39.8	alfalfa	72.0
	pasture grass mix	\ \ \ \	Idle - not crop'd current/prev	24.8	alfalfa	7.00
	new alfalfa	93.7	Alfalfa	96.4	alialia	2.00
	new alfaita		Native veg	6.1	alfalfa	
			Farmstead	<u>.</u>		
NCU	old alfalfa	43.0	Alfalfa	44.6	alfalfa	43.0
1-	old alfalfa		Alfalfa	6.4	alfalfa	000
	sudan/wheat	10.0	FIELD NOT IDENTIFIED ON MAP	-	sudan/wheat	0.00
	new alfalta	59.2	Alfalfa	6.09	alfalfa	7.80
	sucantwheat	36.9	Sudan	36.9	alfalfa	5000
	nasture grass mix	43.5	Misc grasses	44.0	grass hay variety	45.0
		}	Farmstead no residence	-10	3	
	pasture grass mix	25.1	Misc grasses	24.7	grass hay variety	200
	orchard orass	23.9	Misc grasses	23.0	alfalfa	F.5.2.
	Signature of the state of the s	23.6	Misc grasses	21.0	grass hay variety	23.0
	order of the state	212	Misc orasses	17.8	grass hay variety	27.2
	solution suggestion and accompany	214	Misc grasses	17.9	grass hay variety	21.4
	solgium areas miv	8 9	Misc drasses	7.08	grass hay variety	16.8
-	Dastore grass link	,	Farmstead no residence	2.8		
	And the second s	18.6	Miscorasses	14.8	grass hay variety	16.6
	pasidie glass mix	25.5	Mary or pacent	29,6	grass hay variety	33.2

COMPARISON OF 2007 DWR SURVEYED LAND USE vs PRC (BYRON RANCH) REPORTED 2007 and 2008 LAND USE

				2000		αCCC
704		2007		2007		DBC DBC
Field ID	2007 PRC LAND USE	PRC	2007 DWR LAND USE	ACRES	2008 PRC LAND USE	ACRES
		אראבט		2 - - -	2(62(62	318
M9	old alfalfa	31.6	Alfalfa	3	allalla	1 20
M12	2-yr alfalfa	86.1	Alfalfa	82.0	alialia	000
M13-M15	orchard grass	78.1	Misc grasses	73.8	grass hay variety	00.0
8418	sudan/wheat	48.9	Sudan	46.6	wheat hay to corn double crop	5) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
1410	sudan/wheat	24.2	Sudan	24.3	wheat hay to corn double crop	24.2
à	new affalfa	58.0	Alfalfa	22.4	alfalfa	0.80
à	new alfalfa		Alfalfa	14.6	alfalfa	
	now offsite		Affalfa	14.7	alfalfa	
	Our affair	22.0	Alfalfa	20.5	alfalfa	22.0
7.7	2-y aliala	23.3	Δficilia	21.3	ellelle	23.3
2	new alialia	2 2	Section 1	34.5	alfalfa	41,4
93	sudaniwheat	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Succession		offolfs	21.7
P7	2-yr alfaifa	21.7	Alfalfa	200	alana 	7.74
P8-99	old (P8) new (P9) affalfa	57.4	Alfalfa	53.5	alialia	4, 50
P10.P11	orchard orass (P10) new alfalta (P11)	46.2	Alfalfa	44.5	grass hay variety (P10) alfalfa (P11)	70.7
č	lombard grass	43.0	Misc grasses	43.5	grass hay variety	44,0
60	orchard grass	58.9	Misc grasses	24.6	grass hay variety	57.4
200	orchard grass	}	Misc grasses	ଫୁ	grass hay variety	
200	orchard grass) 	Misc grasses	9.2	grass hay variety	
2 6	orchard grass		Misc grasses	14.6	grass hay variety	
50	Orchard grass	50.0	Misc grasses	20.4	grass hay variety	48.6
2 6	Occupand grade	}	Misc grasses	30.5	grass hay variety	
2	Occupand grass	31.0	Misc grasses	17.3	grass hay variety	31.0
70	orchard grasse		Misc drasses	15.3	grass hay variety	
0.00	October Space	24.3	Misc drasses	24.3	grass hay variety	24.3
DEIN	combine classiwheat hav	24.0	Misc drasses	23.0	grass hay variety	24.0
LI SE	Octhard Orace	24.8	Misc grasses	23.6	grass hay variety	24.8
Rein	sombur slade/wheat hav	24,5	Misc grasses	22.2	grass hay variety	24.5
7.0	orchard drass	25.0	Misc grasses	19.7	grass hay variety	22.1
C D	new affaita	30.6	Alfalfa	29.3	alfalfa	33.6
000	machine drass mix	48.0	Misc grasses	30.0	grass hay variety	48.0
100	pacture drass mix	<u> </u>	Idle - not cropped current/previous yr	20.3	grass hay variety	
1900	Oct affails	24.0	Alfalfa	17.4	alfalfe	24.0
D104		24.0	Alfalfa	18.8	alfalfa	24.0
0 to	combine silane/wheat hav	50.0	Misc grasses	15.1	wheat hay to corn double crop	50.0
200	sorrabium silage/wheat hav		Misc grasses	18.1	wheat hay to corn double crop	
NAME OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS	David Challes	17.0	Alfalfa	18,0	alfalfa	17.0
VY / IV	לבינו מונמוזם					

alfalfa pasture	variety variety foos PRC ACRES 2008 CROP TABLE, FIE ARE LISTED.	variety variety 1008 PRC ACRES 2008 CROP TABLE, FILAREL DISTED. ARE LISTED.	variety variety 1008 PRC ACRES 2008 CROP TABLE, File ARE LISTED. ARE LISTED. FIELDS ARE NOT FIELDS ON THE PRC MAP	variety variety 2008 PRC ACRES ARE LISTED. IELDS ARE NOT IED ON THE PRC MAF
54.2 alfalfa 22.6 grass hay variety 29.0 alfalfa 66.7 alfalfa 10.2 grass hay variety 21.7 alfalfa 27.1 alfalfa 27.1 alfalfa 27.2 alfalfa 27.3 - 24.3 pasture 29.9 pasture 52.8 pasture 52.9 pasture 28.0 pasture 28.0 pasture 28.1 pasture 28.9 pasture 28.1 pasture 28.9 pasture 29.3 70 Pasture 29.3 70 Pasture 29.9 70 Pasture 29.9 70 Pasture 29.9<			alfalfa grass hay variety alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture	alfalfa pasture
			grass hay variety alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture	grass hay variety alfalfa alfalfa araila alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture
alfalfa alfalfa grass hay variety alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture	alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture	alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture	alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture	alfalfa alfalfa areas hay variety alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture
			alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture	alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture
			alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture	grass reay variation alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa alfalfa pasture p
			alialia alialia alialia alialia pasture	alialia alialia alialia alialia alialia alialia pasture
			alfalfa pasture	alialfa alialfa alialfa pasture
			alialfa pasture	alialfa pasture
			pasture	pasture
			pasture	pasture
	[╩] ┼ ╬╠╠╬╚┼ ┼┼ ╏┩ ┼┼┼┼┼	[╩] ┼ ╚╎╘╎╘╎╘╎╒╎╒╏╒ ┼┼┼┼ ╒	pasture	pasture
			pasture	pasture
	455	┦╏╏╏╏ ┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼	pasture	pasture
			pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES	pasture
			pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture pastur
			pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES THESE FIELDS ARE NOT THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES THESE FIELDS ARE NOT ITHESE FIELDS ARE NOT
			pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FIL DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES THESE FIELDS ARE NOT ITHESE FIELDS ARE NOT
			pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES CON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FII DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 CROP TABLE, F DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT
			pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture Dasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES	pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, F DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAF
			pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture CON PRC 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FIL DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, F D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT
			pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FIL DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES TOTAL 2008 CROP TABLE, F D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT
			pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FIL DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, F DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT
			pasture pasture pasture roTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FII DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, F DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT
		=	pasture pasture rotal 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FII DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, F DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAF
			pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FII DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, F DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAF
-	-		pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FIL D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	pasture TOTAL 2008 PRC ACRES ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, F D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAF
	1-1-1-		ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FILE D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, F D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAF
ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FIED D2S, X8 ARE LISTED.	ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FIE D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FII D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, FII DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAP	ON PRC 2008 CROP TABLE, F DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAF
D2S. X8 ARE LISTED.	D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	D2S, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAP	DZS, X8 ARE LISTED. THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAF
	THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	THESE FIELDS ARE NOT	THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAP	THESE FIELDS ARE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE PRC MAP

COMPARISON OF 2007 DWR SURVEYED LAND USE vs PRC (BYRON RANCH) REPORTED 2007 and 2008 LAND USE

Page 4 of 4

					_	
		2007		2002		2002
PRC Field ID	2007 PRC LAND USE	PRC	· 2007 DWR LAND USE	ACRES	2008 PRC LAND USE	ACRES
			Misc semi-ag	0.2		
			Misc semi-ag	2.1		1
		}	Misc semi-ag	1.6		1
			Misc semi-ag) (0) (1)		
			Misc semi-ag	8.0		1
			Misc semi-ag	0.5		1
			Misc semi-ag	1.2		1
			Native Riparian	2.2		
			Native Ripartan	<u>~ </u>		
			Native ved	1.9		-
			Native veg	3.1		
		1	Native ved	8'0		
			Native ved	15.8		1
2010			Native ved	8.6		
20.02			Native ved	6'9		
			Native ved	,		
(1) Total ac	(1) Total acres listed on PRC documentation		Native veg	1.7		}
Patrothin O (C)	(1) Cultitated of OWA sores as fields correlate to		Native veg	80		1
(Z) Subtotal of Datas DBC identified fields			Native veg	1.6		+
2020			Native veg	5.1		}
(3) Subtotal	(3) Subtotal of DWR acres of lands associated with		Native veg	4.6		+
urban and a	urban and agricultural practices (dirt roads, ditches,		Urban commercial - office	5.8		\ -\{-
farmsteads,	farmsteads, equipment/hay storage areas) but not		Water	2.4		1
cropped		 	Water	2.3		-
(4) Total DV	(4) Total DWR acreage for lands in PRC identified		SUBTOTAL DWR MISC ACRES (3)	102.3		
area			WOOD ACTION	2075 A		
 			TOTAL DIVIN ACKES (4)	133		

Attachment 2

Terms Under Which DWR's Protest of PRC Petition for Temporary Change Can Be Dismissed

- Transfer shall be limited to the reduction in the evapotranspiration of applied water on the specific fields to be fallowed.
- 2. The petitioner and Contra Costa Water District shall jointly submit to the SWRCB Deputy Director for Water Rights a plan describing the fallowing program on Byron Ranch. Concurrent with the submission of the plan to SWRCB Deputy Director for Water Rights, the petitioner and Contra Costa Water District shall also submit the plan to DWR to allow DWR the opportunity to review it and comment on the plan to the Board. The plan shall include the following:
 - a. Specific fields to be fallowed, net acreage of the field, and the crops grown in 2008. Fallowing shall be limited to those fields fully irrigated during the months of the transfer under normal operations;
 - b. Estimation of the water made available through fallowing, taking in to consideration potential evapotranspiration and evaporation on fallowed fields:
 - c. Specific measures to control weed growth on the fallowed fields;
 - d. Specific measures acceptable to DWR to monitor fallowed fields to determine the actual amount of water saved through fallowing accounting for any losses due to soil or weed growth evapotranspiration on the fallowed fields. The monitoring plan shall require submittal of a final accounting to the Deputy Director for Water Rights verifying the quantities of water saved;
 - e. Proposed operation of the irrigation canals on Byron Ranch during the transfer period.
 - 3. Until the Deputy Director for Water Rights approves the fallowing plan, including monitoring and verification, petitioner may not divert water at the CCWD intakes. In addition, the Petitioner may not divert water pursuant to this Order unless petitioner agrees to implement fully the approved fallowing, monitoring and verification plan.
 - 4. Petitioner may not transfer water to CCWD pursuant to this Order unless CCWD submits to the Deputy Director for Water Rights written confirmation that CCWD has agreed to forego diversion from Old River in 2009 at a time mutually acceptable to DWR and the Bureau of Reclamation to the extent that the Deputy Director determines that the amount of water actually saved through fallowing was less than the amount of water transferred pursuant to this Order.