Suzanne Womack Clifton Court, L.P. 3619 Land Park Drive Sacramento, CA 95818

February 19, 2018

Hearing Officer Tam Doduc Hearing Officer Felicia Marcus State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Co-Hearing Officers,

Clifton Court, L.P. (CCLP) hereby joins in Deirdre Des Jardins' February 13, 2018 Motion to Formally Consider Additional Information Submitted in Support of Petition. The WaterFix change petition does not provide the information required on changes to flows and water levels near Clifton Court Forebay. We've already had problems with our levies and they could get worse if Clifton Court Forebay is enlarged and deepened. We are just individual water right holders and the download link I received for CWF H3+ modeling data does not link to anything I can read, nor have I received a user friendly hard copy by U.S. Postal service I request that the Hearing Officers require DWR to send me a readable table of expected changes to flows and water levels near Clifton Court Forebay, before Part 2 of the hearing commences.

Also, Section 794, subdivision (a)(7) of the Board's regulations requires that the WaterFix water right change petition include information on "any changes in property ownership(s) involved." I had to find out in private meetings with DWR during Part 1 that they were proposing to condemn our property. It's not clear that DWR will even end up owning our property, since Metropolitan Water District is now proposing to take over the project construction. The Hearing Officers should require DWR and MWD to provide full information on the property acquisition plans for the WaterFix, including what properties in the Delta are proposed to be acquired, and by what entity.

Clifton Court L.P also strongly supports the request in Deirdre Des Jardin's motion that the Petitioners be required to provide a response to the protests we filed. We were sandbagged in Part 1 when the Department of Water Resources submitted 50 year old court documents during sur-rebuttal for Official Notice, which we then had no opportunity to rebut. If DWR had provided a written response to Clifton Court L.P.'s protest in advance of the hearing, as the Board's regulations require, then we would have known to hire an attorney to testify on the interpretation of the court documents. It is fundamentally unfair to require detailed written protests but not require detailed written responses.

These may seem like small details for such a huge project but having the information in time to use it in Part 2 is essential to our family receiving due process in this hearing.

February 19, 2018

Thank you for your consideration,

Suzanne Womack General Partner, CCLP

STATEMENT OF SERVICE

CALIFORNIA WATERFIX PETITION HEARING Department of Water Resources and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Petitioners)

I hereby certify that I have this day submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board and caused a true and correct copy of the following document(s):

- Motion for joinder & request for readable CWF H3+ model data re: impacts to flow & property at Clifton Court, L.P.
 - Full information on property acquisition plans by DWR & MWD in CWF H3+

to be served **by Electronic Mail** (email) upon the parties listed in Table 1 of the **Current Service List** for the California WaterFix Petition Hearing, dated January 24, 2018, posted by the State Water Resources Control Board at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/service_list.shtml:

Note: In the event that any emails to any parties on the Current Service List are undeliverable, you must attempt to effectuate service using another method of service, if necessary, and submit another statement of service that describes any changes to the date and method of service for those parties.

For Petitioners Only:

Method of Service:_	N/A

Signature:

Name: Suzanne Womack

Title: General Partner

Party/Affiliation: Clifton Court, L.P.

Address: 3619 Land Park Drive Sacramento, CA 95818