1		
2	S. DEAN RUIZ, ESQ. – SBN 213515 MOHAN, HARRIS, RUIZ,	
3	WORTMANN, PERISHO & RUBINO, LLP 3439 Brookside Rd. Ste. 208	
4	Stockton, California 95219	
5	Telephone: (209) 957-0660 Facsimile: (209) 957-0595	
6	dean@mohanlaw.net	
7	JOHN HERRICK, ESQ. – SBN 139125	
8	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN HERRICK 1806 W. Kettleman, Ln. Suite L	
9	Lodi, California 95242	
10	Telephone: (209) 224-5854 Facsimile: (209) 224-5887	
11	jherrlaw@aol.com	
12		
13	On behalf of South Delta Water Agency, Central Delta Water Agency, Lafayette Rancl	1.
14	Heritage Lands, Mark Bachetti Farms	- 7
15	and Rudy Mussi Investments L.P.	
16	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
17	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD	
18	STATE WATER RESU	
19	Hearing in the Matter of California	SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY PROTESTANTS' REPLY TO DWR'S
20	Department of Water Resources and United States Department of the Interior,	OBJECTION TO ADMISSION OF PART 2 CROSS EXAMINATION EXHIBITS INTO
21	Bureau of Reclamation Request for a	EVIDENCE
22	Change in Point of Diversion for California Water Fix	
23		
24		
25	The South Delta Water Agency Protestants, ("SDWA Protestants") herein submit the	
26	Reply/Response to DWR's objection to the admission of SDWA-315. SDWA-315 is	
27	PowerPoint presentation made by the staff of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern	
28		

California ("MWD") during an MWD Board of Directors Finance Committee meeting on March 27, 2018. The exhibit was introduced during the cross examination of Restore the Delta witness Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla who witnessed the MWD presentation two days earlier via webcast. As such, it is admissible to help illicit witness testimony and to explain and support same. Additionally, it is well established that hearsay evidence is admissible in an administrative proceeding so long as it supports or explains other evidence. The information contained in SDWA 315 help explains the other testimony provided by Barrigan-Parrilla, and other witnesses, including Dr. Michael, regarding how the uncertainty of the project's financing affects the public interest.

Moreover, SDWA-315 includes CWF yield figures which squarely contradict the information contained in the Petition and provided by DWR's witnesses throughout the proceeding. As such, SDWA - 315 is also admissible for impeachment.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: May 4, 2018

MOHAN, HARRIS, RUIZ, WORTMANN, PERISHO & RUBINO, LLP

y: __///

S. DEAN RUIZ, ESQ.