State of California State Water Resources Control Board

DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS P.O. Box 2000, Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

Info: (916) 341-5300, FAX: (916) 341-5400, Web: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights

PROTEST-PETITION

This form may also be used for objections

Objection to: PETITION FOR TIME EXTENSION, CHANGE, TEMPORARY URGENT CHANGE

PETITION FOR TIME EXTENSION, CHANGE, TEMPORARY URGENT CHANGE
DWR permits 16478, 16479, 16481, 16482 for the State Water Project (SWP) and Reclamation permits 11315, 11316, 12721, 12722, 12723, 11967, 11968, 11969, 11971, 11973, and 12364 for the Central Valley Project (CVP)
OF <u>Dept. Of Water Resources and Bureau of Reclamation</u> for Point of Diversion and Point of Rediversion project name "WaterFix"
I (We) have carefully read the notice (state name): Nicole S. Suard, Esq. Managing Member, Snug Harbor Resorts, LLC
Address, email address and phone number of protestant or authorized agent:
Snug Harbor Resorts, LLC, 3356 Snug Harbor Drive, Walnut Grove, CA 95690
Office: 916-775-1455 message & FAX: 707-253-8232 email: sunshine@snugharbor.net
Attach supplemental sheets as needed. To simplify this form, all references herein are to protests and protestants although the form may be used to file comments on temporary urgent changes and transfers.
Protest based on ENVIRONMENTAL OR PUBLIC INTEREST CONSIDERATIONS (Prior right
protests should be completed in the section below):
 the proposed action will not be within the State Water Resources Control Board's jurisdiction
not best serve the public interest \(\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tii
be contrary to law
have an adverse environmental impact
State facts which support the foregoing allegations
Current and proposed additional diversion of Sacramento River watershed flows to
Locations south of the Sacramento River have already caused substantial econimic
Decline for boating and fishing industries of the Bay and Delta, and will continue
To cause severe negative impacts to drinking water aquifer quality in the Delta and Bay
As well as potentially cause the extinction of native salmon species. Use of the Yolo
Bypass area as a salmon migration and hatchery is not an adequate substitute for
Native species nor natural salmon migration pathways. Under what conditions may this protest be disregarded and dismissed? (Conditions should be

Guarantee sufficient freshwater outflows on Steamboat and Sutter Sloughs year round
Guarantee sufficient water depth for unhindered Delta navigation and recreation uses

of a nature that the petitioner can address and may include mitigation measures.)

Guarantee sufficient flows from the Sacramento River into all natural North Delta waterways, in amounts sufficient to return the drinking water aquifer to acceptable drinking water quality For all Delta commercial and residential drinking water wells **Protest based on INJURY TO PRIOR RIGHTS:**

To the best of my (our) information and belief the proposed change or transfer will result in injury as follows: Insuffient freshwater outflow on Steamboat Slough, resulting in degredation of Drinking water quality, use of waterway for navigation, degradation of aquatic environment

For native fish species, degration of terrestrial environment for native animal species and Plants; degredation of commercial drinking water well; hinderance of traffic access and more.

Protestant claims a right to the use of water from the source from which petitioner is diverting, or proposes to divert, which right is based on (identify type of right protestant claims, such as permit, license, pre-1914 appropriative or riparian right):: Riparian: land grant 1877, use permits

For homes, drinking water wells, marina, RV park, commercial wells all could be impacted

List permit or license or statement of diversion and use numbers, which cover your use of water (if adjudicated right, list decree). Riparian and Diversion statements

Where is your diversion point located? Multiple locations along Snug Harbor peninsula

If new point of diversion is being requested, is your point of diversion downstream from petitioner's proposed point of diversion? Yes

The extent of present and past use of water by protestant or his predecessors in interest is as follows:

- a. Source Wells (3) and river intake pumps for irrigation and fire safety (4)
- b. Approximate date first use made River water: 1870s. Well(s) pre-1930s minimum
- c. Amount used (list units) 35AF per year estimated for all of business and residences
- d. Diversion season Year round
- e. Purpose(s) of use Commercial: Marina and RV park with rental cottages; seasonal store; residential homes or lots. Recreation and navigation as well.

Under what conditions may this protest be disregarded and dismissed?

Guarantee of sufficient freshwater flows on Steamboat Slough year round to allow continued use of drinking water wells at quality level established prior to DWR experiments on Steamboat Slough 2000-2015 in preparation for BDCP/WaterFix application; Guarantee of sufficient freshwater flows on Steamboat and Sutter Sloughs year round to allow continued unhindered navigation on these natural waterways, to be kept at least 15 feet deep, at 2000 surface widths with guarantee of management of flows to avoid excess incidents of highwater onto the Snug Harbor peninsula; repayment of cost of damages caused by DWR/USBR/USFWS pulse flow and Yolo Bypass flow experiments; Guarantee of continual two way road & ferry access to Ryer Island and Snug Harbor; DWR post notice of corrected DRMS data, and all computer modeling for WaterFix that used incorrect baseline data must be revised utilizing correct flow data with independent review of the baseline data and approval of the baseleine data by Waterboards.

All protests must be signed by the protestant or authorized representative:

Signed: 1/4/2016 Date: 1/4/2016

All protests must be served on the petitioner. Provide the date served and method of service used:

1/5/2016 by email and by US regular mail service