	Alternative																			
Chapter 8 – Water Quality	Existing Condition	No Action	1A	1B	10	2A	2B	20	3	4	5	6A	6B	60	7	8	9	4A	2D	5A
<b>WQ-5: Bromide</b> (CM1) - Percent increase in long-term average concentration at Barker Slough	-	-2%	38/43%	38/43%	38/43%	22/26%	22/26%	22/ 26%	34/38%	40/44%	23/27%	19/22%	19/22%	19/22%	-2/1%	4/8%	19/23%	-2/2%	-2/2%	-4/0%
		LTS	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A <sup>a</sup>	S/A a	S/A	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA
<b>WQ-7: Chloride</b> - Percent of years when 150 mg/L water quality objective exceeded at CCPP#1 b	7%	0	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	7%	7%	13%	13%	13%	13%	20%	13%	13%	0%	0%	0%
		S	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA
WQ-11: EC- Percent of days Emmaton objective would be exceeded	6% -	14	31%	31%	31%	26%	26%	26%	30%	27-29% <sup>c</sup>	25%	32%	32%	32%	19%	22%	18%	16% <sup>c</sup>	7% <sup>c</sup>	10% <sup>c</sup>
		S	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA
WQ-13: Mercury (CM1) - Maximum percent increase in fish tissue concentrations at Delta locations	6%	6%	8/10%	8/10%	8/10%	13/11%	13/11%	13/11%	6/8%	15/12%	8/7%	64/58%	64/58%	64/58%	45/39%	46/41%	66/59%	8/7%	10/9%	5/3%
		LTS	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	S/A	LTS/NA	LTS/NA	LTS/NA

## Notes

- <sup>a</sup> While the long-term average increases in bromide would be low, the drought period increases would be 34% for Alternative 7 and 50% for Alternative 8, relative to Existing Conditions and the No Action Alternative. These increases in the drought period were considered significant/adverse.
- b Water quality degradation as measured by use of available assimilative capacity also played a significant role in determining effects by alternative, and degradation varied by alternative.
- <sup>c</sup> Alternative 4 does not include a change in compliance location from Emmaton to Threemile Slough, but the modeling used to evaluate the alternative did include the change. Thus, although the percent of days the Emmaton objective was exceeded is high, it is expected that under the alternative it would be similar to the No Action.

## Key

Level of significance or effect **before** mitigation (Quantity of impact: number of sites, structures, acres, etc. affected)

	Inc	Increasing level of significance							
Bromide - Percent increase (%)	<0	1 - 20	21 - 40	>40					
Chloride - % of years objective exceeded (%)	0	1-12	13-19	>20					
EC - percent of days objective exceeded (%)	<10	11 - 20	20 - 30	>30					
Mercury (CM1) - Percent increase (%)	<10	10 - 20	21 - 50	>50					
Mercury (CM2-CM22) - restoration acres	0	1 - 100	25,000	65,000					
Organic Carbon (CM1) - mg/L	< 0.1	0.1 - 0.5	0.6 - 1.0	>1.0					
Organic Carbon (CM2-CM21) - restoration acres	0	1 - 100	25,000	65,000					
Selenium - Exceedance Quotient	0.87	0.88 - 0.93	0.94 - 0.99	>1.0					
Microcystis - relative rank	1	2	3	4					

Level of significance or effect **after** mitigation (CEQA Finding / NEPA Finding)

CEQ	A Finding		NEPA Finding				
NI	No Impact	1	В	Beneficial			
LTS	Less than significant		NE	No Effect			
S	Significant /		NA	Not Adverse			
SU	Significant and unavoidable /		Α	Adverse			

n/a not applicable > greater than

< less than

≈ about equal to

Continued on Figure 8-0b

**Alternative** 

Chapter 8 – Water Quality