

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

---

PUBLICATIONS OF THE  
DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES  
EDWARD HYATT, State Engineer

---

Reports on State Water Plan Prepared Pursuant to  
Chapter 832, Statutes of 1929

---

BULLETIN No. 27

VARIATION AND CONTROL  
OF  
SALINITY  
IN  
SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA  
AND  
UPPER SAN FRANCISCO BAY

1931



LIBRARY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
DAVIS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENT -----	8
ORGANIZATION -----	9
ENGINEERING ADVISORY COMMITTEE-----	10
FEDERAL AGENCIES COOPERATING IN INVESTIGATION-----	11
STATE AGENCIES COOPERATING IN INVESTIGATION-----	12
CHAPTER 832, STATUTES OF 1929-----	13
FOREWORD -----	14
 CHAPTER I 	
INTRODUCTION, SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS-----	15
Area of salinity investigations-----	16
Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta-----	17
Suisun Bay area-----	18
Carquinez Strait area-----	19
San Pablo Bay area-----	19
Developments and interests affected by saline invasion-----	20
Previous investigations -----	22
The Antioch suit-----	23
Investigations during period 1921 to 1929-----	23
Scope of 1929 investigation-----	24
Salinity conditions -----	28
Basic factors governing salinity conditions-----	30
Stream flow -----	30
Consumptive use of water in delta-----	32
Tidal action -----	32
Relation of stream flow into delta to salinity-----	35
Relation of tidal action to salinity-----	38
Tidal diffusion -----	38
Control of salinity-----	40
Control flow -----	40
Required supplemental water supply for control-----	41
Conclusions -----	42
 CHAPTER II 	
SALINITY CONDITIONS IN SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA AND UPPER SAN FRANCISCO BAY-----	46
Historical records of salinity conditions-----	46
Records of salinity observations-----	50
Extent of saline invasion-----	54
Salinity of drainage water from delta islands-----	56
Effect of salinity conditions on developments and interests-----	59
Basic factors governing salinity conditions-----	63
Stream flow -----	64
Variation of stream flow-----	65
Consumptive use of water in delta-----	68
Tides -----	75
 CHAPTER III 	
RELATION OF STREAM FLOW INTO DELTA TO SALINITY-----	87
Relation of total seasonal stream flow into delta to salinity-----	87
Maximum salinity during season-----	87
Minimum salinity during season-----	91
Advance of salinity-----	95
Relation of summer stream flow into delta to salinity-----	97
Relation of rate of stream flow into delta to salinity-----	102
Relation of source and distribution of stream flow into delta to salinity-----	103
Distribution of flow of Sacramento River in delta channels-----	109
Effect of distribution of Sacramento River flow on salinity-----	120



TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

	Page
Effect of irrigation, storage and reclamation developments on stream flow into delta .....	124
Growth of irrigation .....	124
Growth of reservoir storage developments.....	136
Effect of upstream reclamation development on stream flow into delta...	140
Estimated reduction in stream flow into delta.....	147
CHAPTER IV	
RELATION OF TIDAL ACTION TO SALINITY.....	151
San Francisco Bay tidal basin.....	151
Historical limits .....	152
Effect of hydraulic mining and silting.....	153
Growth and effect of reclamation in delta .....	157
Effect of recent changes in delta tidal basin.....	161
Tidal action .....	163
The tidal prism .....	164
Advance of tides .....	165
Tidal volumes in delta and Suisun Bay.....	170
Tidal prism volumes in delta and Suisun Bay.....	172
Tidal flow .....	174
Effect of tidal action on salinity.....	179
Tidal variations of salinity .....	182
Variation of salinity with tidal stage.....	195
Lateral and depth variations of salinity.....	198
Variation of salinity with tidal velocity.....	202
Variation of salinity with tidal flow.....	206
Tidal diffusion .....	208
Magnitude of tidal diffusion.....	210
Variation of tidal diffusion with salinity.....	212
Geographical variation of tidal diffusion.....	212
Relation of tidal diffusion to tidal flow.....	212
Effect of recent changes in delta tidal basin on saline invasion.....	214
Effect of Sacramento River channel enlargement.....	216
Effect of flooding of previously reclaimed lands.....	217
Effect on tidal diffusion .....	218
Effect of Stockton ship canal.....	218
CHAPTER V	
CONTROL OF SALINITY.....	219
Stream flow required for control of salinity.....	220
Net control flows.....	221
Desired point and degree of control of salinity.....	221
Proposed net control flow.....	224
Gross stream flow into delta for control of salinity.....	226
Supplemental water supply for control of salinity.....	232
Works required for proposed control of salinity by stream flow.....	235
Results of proposed control of salinity.....	237
APPENDIX A	
FIELD METHODS AND PROCEDURE IN SALINITY INVESTIGATION.....	245
APPENDIX B	
LABORATORY METHODS FOR DETERMINATION OF SALINITY.....	261
APPENDIX C	
RECORDS OF SALINITY OBSERVATIONS.....	267
APPENDIX D	
STREAM FLOW INTO SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA.....	293
GLOSSARY	
DEFINITION OF TECHNICAL TERMS.....	433
PUBLICATIONS OF THE DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES.....	438

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1 Consumptive use of water in Sacramento-San Joaquin delta-----	69
2 Total consumptive use of water in Sacramento-San Joaquin delta, 1929 season -----	70
3 Area and consumptive use of irrigated crops in Sacramento-San Joaquin delta, 1924 to 1929-----	73
4 Location and period of record of automatic tide gages-----	76
5 Tidal data for San Francisco Bay and Sacramento-San Joaquin delta channels -----	78
6 Relation of seasonal stream flow into delta to maximum salinity during season, 1920-1929 -----	88
7 Relation of seasonal stream flow into delta to minimum salinity during season, 1923-1929 -----	92
8 Relation of seasonal stream flow into delta to date of beginning of advance of salinity, 1920-1929-----	96
9 Relation of summer stream flow into delta to maximum salinity during season, 1920-1929 -----	100
10 Relation of rate of stream flow into delta at time of maximum salinity to minimum salinity during season, 1920-1929-----	105
11 Summary of tidal cycle stream flow measurements-----	116
12 Area irrigated by direct diversion from Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems, exclusive of Sacramento-San Joaquin delta, 1879-1929-----	126
13 Gross annual irrigation diversions from Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems, exclusive of Sacramento-San Joaquin delta, 1879-1929--	128
14 Gross monthly irrigation diversions from Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems, exclusive of Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, 1912 to 1929	131
15 Reservoir storage capacity on Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems, 1850-1929 -----	137
16 Principal storage reservoirs on Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems_	138
17 Monthly gross diversions to storage on Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems, 1912-1929 -----	141
18 Delayed outflow from Sacramento Valley flood basins in their natural state before reclamation, 1907-1920-----	147
19 Reduction in stream flow into delta resulting from upstream irrigation and storage developments, 1911-1929-----	149
20 Area and volume of tidal prism in San Francisco Bay tidal basin-----	151
21 Annual minimum and maximum river stages of Sacramento River at Sacramento, 1849-1929 -----	157
22 Growth of reclamation in Sacramento-San Joaquin delta, 1860-1930-----	158
23 Time interval between occurrence of tidal phases at Presidio and at points in San Francisco Bay and Sacramento-San Joaquin delta-----	166
24 Tidal prism volumes in tidal basin of Suisun Bay and of Sacramento-San Joaquin delta -----	177
25 Summary of tidal cycle salinity surveys, 1929-----	180
26 Summary of river cross section salinity surveys, 1929-----	200



LIST OF PLATES—Continued

Plate	Page
XXVI Distribution of flow of Sacramento River through branch channels below Sacramento -----	following 118
XXVII Relation of tidal flow through Three Mile Slough to range and duration of tides at Three Mile Slough-----	121
XXVIII Relation of tidal flow through Three Mile Slough to range and duration of tides at Presidio-----	122
XXIX Growth in area irrigated by direct diversion from Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems, exclusive of delta of Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers-----	127
XXX Growth of irrigation diversions from Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems -----	129
XXXI Monthly diversions for irrigation and storage from Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems, exclusive of deltas of Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers-----	following 136
XXXII Growth of reservoir storage capacity in Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems -----	139
XXXIII Changes in flood channels and basins of Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers effected by flood control and reclamation development, and location of auriferous gravel areas-----	following 140
XXXIV Tidal basin of Sacramento-San Joaquin delta and upper San Francisco Bay region, showing progressive changes in reclamation developments, time of occurrence of tidal phases and tidal flow stations -----	following 152
XXXV Changes in channel bed of Sacramento River, 1841 to 1929--	following 156
XXXVI Growth in reclamation development in the Sacramento-San Joaquin delta -----	159
XXXVII Rate of advance of tides in Sacramento-San Joaquin delta channels--	169
XXXVIII Accumulated tidal volumes in Sacramento and San Joaquin delta channels -----	following 170
XXXIX Accumulated tidal volumes in Suisun Bay-----	171
XL Tidal prism volumes in Sacramento River channels (Aug. 27-28, 1929) -----	following 172
XLI Tidal prism volumes in Sacramento River channels (Dec. 18-19, 1929) -----	following 172
XLII Tidal prism volumes in San Joaquin River channels (Aug. 27-28, 1929) -----	following 172
XLIII Tidal prism volumes in San Joaquin River channels (Dec. 18-19, 1929) -----	following 172
XLIV Tidal prism volumes in Suisun Bay and delta channels (May 13-14, 1929) -----	following 172
XLV Tidal prism volumes in Suisun Bay and delta channels (May 13-14, 1929) -----	following 172
XLVI Relation of tidal prism volumes to tidal range (Antioch and Collinsville home sections)-----	175
XLVII Relation of tidal prism volumes to tidal range (Suisun Bay home sections)-----	176
XLVIII Tidal variation of salinity at Point Orient -----	183
XLIX Tidal variation of salinity at Crockett (Surveys No. 1 and 6)-----	184
L Tidal variation of salinity at Crockett (Survey No. 7)-----	185
LI Tidal variation of salinity at Bulls Head Point-----	186
LII Tidal variation of salinity at Avon and Nichols-----	187
LIII Tidal variation of salinity at Bay Point -----	188

Plate	Page
LIV Tidal variation of salinity at Collinsville -----	189
LV Tidal variation of salinity at Antioch -----	190
LVI Tidal variation of salinity at Antioch Bridge -----	191
LVII Tidal variation of salinity at Rio Vista -----	192
LVIII Tidal variation of salinity at Central Landing and Curtis Landing--	193
LIX Tidal variation of salinity at Sacramento I Street Bridge and Moss- dale -----	194
LX Variation of salinity with tidal stage----- <i>following</i>	194
LXI Rate of variation of salinity with tidal range, in relation to mean salinity -----	196
LXII Relation of salinity to tidal stage----- <i>following</i>	196
LXIII Variation of salinity with depth----- <i>following</i>	198
LXIV Lateral variation of salinity ----- <i>following</i>	202
LXV Variation of tidal velocity in San Joaquin River near Antioch----- ----- <i>following</i>	202
LXVI Variation of tidal velocity in Sacramento River near Collinsville---- ----- <i>following</i>	202
LXVII Variation of salinity and tidal velocity in Sacramento River near Collinsville -----	204
LXVIII Variation of salinity and tidal velocity in San Joaquin River near Antioch -----	205
LXIX Variation of salinity and tidal velocity with depth----- <i>following</i>	206
LXX Variation of salinity with tidal action and stream flow at Antioch, 1929 ----- <i>following</i>	206
LXXI Channel volumes in Suisun Bay, Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers ----- <i>following</i>	210
LXXII Estimated mean surface zone salinity (Bulls Head Point, Bay Point, O and A Ferry and Collinsville)----- <i>following</i>	210
LXXIII Estimated mean surface zone salinity (Mayberry, Emmaton, Three Mile Slough, Rio Vista, Antioch, Curtis Landing, and Jersey)-- ----- <i>following</i>	210
LXXIV Tidal diffusion in the combined channels of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers ----- <i>following</i>	212
LXXV Tidal diffusion in Suisun Bay----- <i>following</i>	212
LXXVI Geographical variation of tidal diffusion-----	213
LXXVII Relation of tidal diffusion to tidal flow-----	215
LXXVIII Net stream flow for control of salinity at points in Suisun Bay and lower delta -----	222
LXXIX Gross stream flow into delta for control of salinity 0.6 miles below Antioch, with comparative stream flow and salinity records for years 1920-24-26-27-29 -----	227
LXXX Gross stream flow into delta for control of salinity at Collinsville, with comparative stream flow and salinity records for years 1920-24-26-27-29 -----	230
LXXXI Gross stream flow into delta for control of salinity at O and A Ferry, with comparative stream flow and salinity records for years 1920-24-26-27-29 -----	231
LXXXII Delta of Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, showing limits of salinity encroachment of 100 parts of chlorine per 100,000 parts of water, 1920 to 1931, inclusive----- <i>following</i>	260



municipal and agricultural use in the upper bay region will necessitate the importation of supplies from some suitable source to supplement the local water resources which are capable of economic development. The nearest source of supply would be the lower Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. The studies of water supply, yield and demand in the operation of the initial and ultimate developments of the State Water Plan show that most of the water supply required to be imported to the upper San Francisco Bay region could be furnished from this source. Therefore, the industrial, municipal and agricultural developments adjacent to Suisun and San Pablo bays are directly interested in the investigation of salinity, and particularly in the determination of a means of controlling saline invasion in such a way that water supplies now available or hereafter made available in the lower Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers would be maintained fresh at all times for diversion to supply the future needs of the upper bay region.

#### Previous Investigations.

The first investigations of salinity by the State were made in the fall of 1916 when a preliminary study and a few samples and analyses of the water were made by the State Water Commission. At this time, the potential seriousness of the salinity problem began to be recognized. Again in 1918 and 1919 some samples and analyses of the water at Antioch were made by the State Board of Health and the State Water Commission. However, the investigation of salinity in the upper bay and delta channels was not started on any extensive scale until 1920. The dry years of 1917 to 1919, combined with increased upstream irrigation diversions, especially for rice culture in the Sacramento Valley, had already given rise to invasions of salinity into the upper bay and lower delta channels of greater extent and magnitude than had ever been known before. At the beginning of 1920, it was evident that another dry year was impending which might result in serious water shortage and a possibly greater saline invasion. Accordingly, in February 1920, the State Water Commission and the State Engineer in cooperation with an organization of the delta land owners, designated the River Lands Association, arranged a cooperative program for a detailed investigation of the salinity conditions. Funds were provided partly by the State and partly by the River Lands Association. The State Water Commission furnished most of the personnel and equipment. Actual field work was started on May 25, 1920. Salinity observation stations, 28 in number, were established at various points in the delta channels and a regular schedule initiated for sampling of water. The samples were tested for salinity in terms of chlorine content by standard titration methods. The water samples were generally taken about every two days at about the time of high tide. In addition to these regular observation stations, a few special surveys were made to determine the variation of salinity through a tidal cycle and also the variation with depth, but these were not extensive enough to come to any definite conclusions. However, it was discovered that the highest degree of salinity usually occurred about one and one-half to two hours following high-high tide and the minimum salinity about the same time after low-low tide. In addition to the investigations made by the State in 1920, a large amount of additional investigational work was done by



not greatly increase the expense of cooling water to the industries and the actual cost per 1000 gallons is small. Over 80 per cent of the total amount of water used by industries in the upper bay region is for cooling and condensing purposes. The use of saline water from the bay channels for cooling and condensing is satisfactory and little, if any, advantage would be gained if fresh water were available for this purpose.

From 1880 to 1920, Pittsburg (formerly Black Diamond) obtained all or most of its domestic and municipal water supply from New York Slough offshore. Although the records show that the water became too brackish to be suitable for domestic use during certain periods in the summer and fall months even before 1917 (See Table 34 for record of salinity, 1910 to 1916), the degree and duration of salinity greatly increased from 1917 on and necessitated the provision of a new source of supply. After providing temporary expedients, including the hauling of water in barges filled at points upstream where fresh water was available, the use of the river as a source of domestic and municipal water supply was discontinued in 1920 and since that time the supply has been obtained from local wells. From early days, Antioch has obtained all or most of its domestic and municipal supply from the San Joaquin River immediately offshore from the city. This supply also has always been affected to some extent by saline invasion with the water becoming brackish during certain periods in the late summer and early fall months. However, conditions were fairly satisfactory in this respect until 1917, when the increased degree and duration of saline invasion began to result in the water becoming too brackish for domestic use during considerable periods in the summer and fall. To meet this change in conditions, Antioch finally constructed a reservoir which is filled with fresh water from the river in the winter and spring and which is designed to supply the city during the period of the year when the water in the river is too brackish for municipal use.

The remaining cities and towns in the upper bay region have obtained fresh-water supplies from various local sources such as surface streams and wells and hence have not been affected by recent changes in salinity conditions. One public utility, serving the cities and towns of Contra Costa County from Pittsburg to Oleum as well as several industrial plants, has recently completed a new water supply development, pumping water from the lower river near Mallard Slough about two miles west of Pittsburg and piping the same to a storage reservoir at Clyde just south of Bay Point. Water is pumped when fresh and free from saline invasion and the storage capacity is designed to supply the demands during the remainder of the year when the water at the intake is too salty for fresh-water purposes.

The marshlands adjacent to Suisun Bay, especially the portion thereof in the upper half of the bay, have been affected to some extent by the more prolonged invasions of salinity of high degree since 1917. Although the area farmed is relatively small in extent, comprising only 5000 acres in 1929, water suitable in quality for irrigation has been available for much shorter periods during the last ten to fifteen years than in former years. This not only has curtailed irrigation diversions to crops, but also has limited the development of these marshlands because of the lack of availability for a sufficient period of time of fresh water for leaching the salts from the soils to make them fit for crop



was equal to or greater than normal. However, in the 10-year period, 1919-1929, only two seasons have had normal stream flow and of the remainder, four have had but 50 per cent or less of normal stream flow. In the 13-year period, 1917-1929, there have been but two normal seasons of stream flow and of the balance, five seasons have had a total stream flow of 50 per cent or less than normal. It is particularly important to note that the period 1917-1929 has been one of unusual dryness and subnormal stream flow and that this condition has been a most important contributing factor to the abnormal extent of saline invasion which has occurred during this same time. Other factors which will be discussed hereafter have contributed to the salinity conditions, but the conditions of subnormal stream flow are believed to have been a major factor in bringing about the abnormal salinity conditions.

Even more marked variations occur in monthly stream flow into the delta. As shown in Table 38 and on Plate VIII, the monthly stream flow has varied from a minimum of 70,000 acre-feet in 1920 to a maximum of over 12,000,000 acre-feet in 1914, with an average of 1,845,000 acre-feet per month for the period 1911-1929. The average of the maximum monthly stream inflows for all seasons from 1911 to 1929 is 4,916,000 acre-feet. The smallest maximum monthly stream flow in any season during the period was in 1923-1924 and amounted to 1,254,000 acre-feet. For the thirteen-year period 1917 to 1929, the average monthly stream flow was 1,604,000 acre-feet. The minimum monthly stream flow from 1911 to 1929 during the summer period June to September, inclusive, in each season, ranged from 70,000 acre-feet in 1920 to 557,000 acre-feet in 1912.

The months of large stream flow generally occur in the period December to May corresponding with the winter and spring flood period. During the earlier months of December to March, inclusive, the larger stream flows are caused usually by rainfall in the valleys and foothill areas, occasionally augmented by melting snow in the lower mountains. It is in this period that most of the large floods have occurred. In the later months, April, May and June, the larger stream flows usually come directly from melting snows in the Sierra Nevada. Based on this period of record, 1911-1929, stream inflow during the six months' period, January to June, inclusive, on the average comprises 82 per cent of the total seasonal stream flow and during the seven months' period, December to June, inclusive, 88 per cent of the total seasonal stream flow. This leaves but twelve to eighteen per cent of the total seasonal stream flow occurring during the five or six summer and fall months up to the time that rains and winter freshets start normally each year. It is during this latter period that the maximum demands for irrigation and water consumption occur and this situation typifies the usual discrepancy which exists in California as between the occurrence of supply and demand for water. The period of low stream flow is also coincident with the annual invasion of salinity into the upper bay and delta channels.

The variations in rate of flow of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers into the delta are even more marked and of greater significance than the variations in monthly and seasonal inflow. During the period 1919 to 1929, inclusive, the combined flow of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers into the delta has varied from a minimum of about 700