

1 EXHIBIT C ADDITIONAL MATERIALS CONSIDERED IN PREPARING THIS
2 STATEMENT. MATERIALS NOT CITED HERE ARE CITED IN THE
3 BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR THE STATEMENT
4

- 5 Banks, Michael A., Vanessa K. Rashbrook, Marco J. Calavetta, Cheryl A. Dean, and Dennis
6 Hedgcock. 2000. Analysis of microsatellite DNA resolves genetic structure and
7 diversity of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in California's Central Valley.
8 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 57: 915-927.
9
- 10 Beechie, T., E. Beamer, and L. Wasserman. 1994. Estimating Coho Salmon Rearing Habitat
11 and Smolt Production Losses in a Large River Basin, and Implications for Habitat
12 Restoration. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 14: 797-811.
13
- 14 Berman, C.H. and T.P. Quinn. 1991. Behavioral thermoregulation and homing by spring
15 Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) (Walbaum) in the Yakima River. Journal
16 of Fish Biology 39: 301-312.
17
- 18 Boles, Gerald R. 1988. Water Temperature Effects on Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus*
19 *tshawytscha*); with Emphasis on the Sacramento River. California Dept. of Water
20 Resources, Literature Review.
21
- 22 Botsford, Louis W., Cathryn A. Lawrence, and M. Forrest Hill. 2004. Differences in dynamic
23 response of California Current salmon species to changes in ocean conditions. Deep-Sea
24 Research II 52(2005): 331-345.
25
- 26 Boyle Engineering. 1986. Central Valley Fish and Wildlife Management Study; Evaluation of
27 the potential of a comprehensive restoration program for the San Joaquin River Salmon
28 Fishery, California. Draft report to the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.
29
- 30 Brown, Larry R. 2000. Fish Communities and their associations with environmental variables,
31 lower San Joaquin River drainage, California. Environmental Biology of Fishes 57: 251-
32 269.
33
- 34 Brown, Larry R. and Peter B. Moyle. 1993. Distribution, Ecology, and Status of the Fishes of
35 the San Joaquin River Drainage, California. California Fish and Game 79(3): 96-114.
36
- 37 Brown, Larry R. and Tim Ford. 2002. Effects of Flow on the Fish Communities of a Regulated
38 California River: Implications for Managing Native Fishes. Available online
39 (www.interscience.wiley.com).
40
- 41 Bureau of Marine Fisheries, Division of Fish and Game. Monthly salmon reports, 1941-1950.
42
- 43 Butte Creek Watershed Project. 1998. Butte Creek Watershed Project: Existing Conditions
44 Report. Prepared for Butte Creek Watershed Conservancy.
45

1 California Dept. of Fish and Game. Date? Salmon Salvage, Hills Ferry – San Joaquin River.
2

3 California Dept. of Fish and Game. 1955. Fish and Game Water Problems on the Upper San
4 Joaquin River; potential values and needs. 9-16.
5

6 California Dept. of Fish and Game. 1950. Salmon Counts in the Upper San Joaquin River
7 Passed Mendota Dam, 1939-1950. D- 935 hearing, California Dept. of Fish and Game
8 Exhibit 32
9

10 California Dept. of Fish and Game. Flow of the San Joaquin River below Friant Dam (various
11 years). D- 935 hearing, California Dept. of Fish and Game Exhibits 3A – 19.
12

13 California Dept. of Fish and Game. 2004. San Joaquin River Fishery and Aquatic Resources
14 Inventory; Cooperative Agreement 03FC203052; Habitat Sampling Progress Report
15 January – April 2004.
16

17 California Dept. of Fish and Game. 2004. Closing Statement of California Department of Fish
18 and Game. In the Matter of Cachuma Project Hearing, Phase 2 United States Bureau of
19 Reclamation Applications 11331 and 11332, before the State Water Resources Control
20 Board.
21

22 California Dept. of Water Resources. 2002. Robinson Reach: Bringing Back the Salmon.
23 Presented by the Office of Water Education.
24

25 Cass, A. and B. Riddell. 1999. A life history model for assessing alternative management
26 policies for depressed Chinook salmon. ICES Journal of Marine Science 56: 414-421.
27

28 Central Valley Project authorization act. 1937. 50 Stat. 844. Chapter 832.
29

30 Clark, G.H. 1942. Salmon at Friant Dam. California Dept. of Fish and Game 29 (3): 89-90.
31

32 Congressional Record. July 1, 1937: 6704.
33

34 EA Engineering, Science, and Technology. 1991. Possible Effects of High Water Temperature
35 on Migrating Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) Smolts in the San Joaquin
36 River. Don Pedro Project, Fisheries Study Report, FERC Article 39, Project No. 2299.
37

38 Einum, Sigurd and Ian A. Fleming. 2000. Selection Against Late Emergence and Small
39 Offspring in Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*). Evolution 54(2): 628-639.
40

41 Ford, Tim and Larry R. Brown. Distribution and Abundance of Chinook Salmon and Resident
42 Fishes of the Lower Tuolumne River. In Brown, R. ed. *Contributions to the Biology of*
43 *Central Valley Salmonids*. California Dept. of Fish and Game. Fish Bulletin 179 (2)..
44

45 Friant Water Users Authority, et. al. June 15, 2005. Exhibit B, Corrected Document List (Friant
46 Defendants' Initial Disclosures). *NRDC v. Rodgers*, Case No. 88-1658, LKK.

1
2 Fry, Donald H. and William A. Dill. 1946-1948. Division of Fish and Game, field
3 correspondence re king salmon in Kings River.
4
5 Hatoon, Ross S. and G. H. Clark. 1942. A Second Progress Report on the Central Valley
6 Fisheries Investigations. California Dept. of Fish and Game Bulletin 28 (No 2):116-123.
7
8 Hayhoe, Katherine, et al. 2004. Emissions pathways, climate change, and impacts on
9 California. PNAS (www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0404500101).
10
11 Hedrick, Philip W., Vanessa K. Rashbrook, and Dennis Hedgecock. 2000. Effective population
12 size of winter-run Chinook salmon based on microsatellite analysis of returning
13 spawners. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 57: 2368-2373.
14
15 Hedrick, Phillip W., Dennis Hedgecock, and Scott Hamelberg. June 1995. Effective Population
16 Size in Winter-Run Chinook Salmon. Conservation Biology 9 (3): 615-624.
17
18 Jager, Henriette I. and Kenneth A. Rose. 2003. Designing Optimal Flow Patterns for Fall
19 Chinook Salmon in a Central Valley, California, River. North American Journal of
20 Fisheries Management 23: 1-21.
21
22 Jone and Stokes. December 1976. Assessment of Effects of Altered Stream Flow
23 Characteristics on Fish and Wildlife; Part B: California. Performed for the Western
24 Energy and Land Use Team, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
25 Jones and Stokes. 1995. Fish Objectives. Prepared for Friant Water Users Authority, Lindsay,
26 California, and Natural Resources Defense Council, San Francisco.
27
28 Jones and Stokes. 2000. Maps of San Joaquin River reaches, water temperature, and routing.
29 San Joaquin River restoration plan technical workshop.
30
31 Kinnison, Michael, Martin Unwin, Nelson Boustead, and Thomas Quinn. 1998. Population-
32 specific variation in body dimensions of adult Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus*
33 *tshawytscha*) from New Zealand and their source population, 90 years after introduction.
34 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 55: 554-563.
35
36 Kinnison, Michael, Martin Unwin, William K. Hershberger, and Thomas Quinn. 1998. Egg
37 size, fecundity, and development rate of two introduced New Zealand Chinook salmon
38 (*Oncorhynchus Tshawytscha*) populations. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic
39 Sciences 55: 1946-1953.
40
41 Marchetti, Michael P. and Peter B. Moyle. 2001. Effects of Flow Regime on Fish Assemblages
42 in a Regulated California Stream. Ecological Applications 11(2): 530-539.
43
44
45
46

1 Marine, Keith R. October 1992. A background investigation and review of the effects of
2 elevated water temperature on reproductive performance of adult Chinook Salmon
3 (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*); with suggestions for approaches to the assessment of
4 temperature induced reproductive impairment of Chinook salmon stocks in the American
5 River, California. Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Biology, UC Davis.
6

7 Marine, Keith R. and Joseph J. Cech Jr. 2004. Effects of high water temperature on growth,
8 smoltification, and predator avoidance in juvenile Sacramento River Chinook Salmon.
9 North American Journal of Fisheries Management 24: 198-210.
10

11 McBain and Trush (eds). 2002. San Joaquin River restoration study background report.
12 Prepared for Friant Water Users Authority, Lindsay, California, and Natural Resources
13 Defense Council, San Francisco.
14

15 McBain and Trush. March 2000. Habitat Restoration Plan for the Lower Tuolumne River
16 Corridor (Final Report). Prepared for the Tuolumne River Technical Advisory
17 Committee.
18

19 McCullough, Dale A., Shelley Spalding, Debra Sturdevant, and Mark Hicks. 2001. Issue Paper
20 5: Summary of Technical Literature Examining the Physiological Effects of Temperature
21 on Salmonids. Prepared as part of EPA Region 10 temperature and water quality criteria
22 guidance development project. EPA-910-D-01-005.
23

24 McFarland, Melanie and Doug Weinrich. 1987. Juvenile Chinook Salmon Use of Nearshore
25 Habitats on the San Joaquin River, California. Prepared by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
26 Service, Sacramento, California, for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento
27 District.
28

29 Mesick, Carl. 2002. Factors that potentially limit the populations of fall-run Chinook Salmon in
30 the San Joaquin River tributaries. In Brown, R. ed. Contributions to the Biology of
31 Central Valley Salmonids. California Dept. of Fish and Game. Fish Bulletin 179.
32

33 Miller, George P., Executive Secretary, Dept. of Fish and Game. 1942. Letter to U.S. Bureau of
34 Reclamation regarding fall run fish passing Mendota Dam.
35

36 Moyle Peter B. and Robert D. Nichols. 1973. Ecology of Some Native and Introduced Fishes of
37 the Sierra Nevada Foothills in Central California. Copeia 1973(3): 478-490.
38

39 Moyle, Peter B. and Paul J. Randall. 1998. Evaluating the Biotic Integrity of Watersheds in the
40 Sierra Nevada, California. Conservation Biology 12 (6): 1318-1326.
41

42 Myrick, Christopher A. and Joseph J. Cech Jr. 2004. Temperature effects on juvenile
43 anadromous salmonids in California's central valley: what don't we know? Reviews in
44 Fish Biology and Fisheries 14: 113-123.
45

46 *Natural Resources Defense Council v. Patterson*, 333 F. Supp. 2d 906, 915-17 (E.D. Cal. 2004)

1
2 Quinn, Thomas P. and Dean J. Adams. July 2005. Environmental Changes Affecting the
3 Migratory Timing of American Shad and Sockeye Salmon. Ecology Vol. 77, No. 4:
4 1151-1162.
5
6 Quinn Thomas P. and Martin Unwin. 1993. Variation in life history patterns among New
7 Zealand Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) populations. Canadian Journal of
8 Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 50 (7): 1414-1421.
9
10 Quinn, Thomas P., Jennifer Nielsen, Christina Gan, Martin Unwin, Richard Wilmot, Charles
11 Guthrie, and Fred M. Utter. 1996. Origin and genetic structure of Chinook salmon
12 (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) transplanted from California to New Zealand: allozyme and
13 mtDNA evidence. Fishery Bulletin 94: 506-521.
14
15 Quinn, Thomas P., Jeramie A. Peterson, Vincent F. Gallucci, William K. Hershberger, and
16 Ernest L. Brannon. 2002. Artificial Selection and Environmental Change:
17 Countervailing Factors Affecting the Timing of Spawning by Coho and Chinook Salmon.
18 Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 131: 591-598.
19
20 Regional Director, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 1952 – 1978. Water Temperatures, Millerton
21 Lake.
22
23 Robards, Martin D. and Thomas P. Quinn. 2002. The Migratory Timing of Adult Summer-Run
24 Steelhead in the Columbia River over Six Decades of Environmental Change.
25 Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 131: 523-536.
26
27 Rose, Gene. 2000. The San Joaquin: a River Betrayed. 2nd edition. Word Dancer Press, Clovis
28 CA. 150 pp.
29
30 Saiki, Michael K. 1984. Environmental Conditions and Fish Faunas in Low Elevation Rivers on
31 the Irrigated San Joaquin Valley Floor, California. California Fish and Game 70(3): 145-
32 157.
33
34 Smith, Felix E. June 1987. Water Development and Management in the Central Valley of
35 California and the Public Trust. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
36
37 Stillwater Sciences. February 2002. Merced River Corridor Restoration Plan.
38
39 Tibstra, Robb and Jim Houk. 2005. Fish Species Distribution in the Lower San Joaquin River:
40 Progress Report. Powerpoint presentation by the Californian Dept. of Fish and Game.
41
42 Titus, Robert G. October 14, 2003. Testimony of Robert G. Titus, Staff Environmental
43 Scientist. In the Matter of Cachuma Project Hearing, Phase 2 United States Bureau of
44 Reclamation Applications 11331 and 11332, before the State Water Resources Control
45 Board.

1 Trepanier, S., M.A. Rodriguez, and P. Magnan. 1996. Spawning migrations in landlocked
2 Atlantic salmon: time series modeling of river discharge and water temperature effects.
3 Journal of Fish Biology 48: 925-936.
4

5 United States Bureau of Reclamation. June 14, 2005. Federal Defendants Index to Initial
6 Disclosures. *NRDC v. Rodgers*, Case No. 88-1658.
7

8 Ward, Paul D., Tracy R. McReynolds and Clint E. Garman. 2002-2003. Butte and Big Chico
9 Creeks Spring-Run Chinook Salmon, *Oncorhynchus Tshawytscha* Life History
10 Investigation 2000-2001. California Dept. of Fish and Game Administrative Reports.
11

12 Wilson, Paul H. June 2003. Using Population Projection Matrices to Evaluate Recovery
13 Strategies for Snake River Spring and Summer Chinook Salmon. *Conservation Biology*
14 17 (3): 782-794.
15

16 Yoshiyama, R. M., E. R. Gerstung, F. W. Fisher, and P. B. Moyle. 2000. Chinook salmon in
17 California's Central Valley: an assessment. *Fisheries* 25(2):6-20.
18