



commentletters

LATE COMMENT

From: Stephanie Brandmeyer <mikeandstephb@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2015 4:01 PM
To: commentletters; Oppenheimer, Eric@Waterboards; Gomberg, Max@Waterboards; Bean, Jessica@Waterboards; Kostyrko, George@Waterboards; Moran, Timothy@Waterboards
Subject: ROLLING HILLS - 36% REDUCTION NEEDS TO BE CHANGED

I am STRONGLY opposed to the mandated 36% reduction in water usage for the city of Rolling Hills. I understand there are other California cities that also were given this 36% reduction amount, but many of these cities are not at all comparable to Rolling Hills. **Rolling Hills needs to use more water because of the high fire hazard area that we live in** - areas like Beverly Hills, Newport Beach, etc., do not need to be as concerned about the fire hazard issue, and I don't think the Board has taken this into consideration. We have never been excessive in the amount of water that we have used to water our lawn, and a reduction is going to cause our lawn to die and become hazardous. **It is an unsafe decision to reduce water usage by such a significant amount in a severe fire hazard zone and you are putting all of our homes and lives at risk.**

This needs to be reconsidered immediately. We are already living in fear here in Rolling Hills worrying about fires, and this water usage reduction makes the living conditions even more dangerous.

Thank you for your attention to this serious matter,
Stephanie Brandmeyer
67 Eastfield Drive
Rolling Hills, CA