Public Hearing (3/20/13) Bay-Delta Plan SED Deadline: 3/29/13 by 12 noon

State Water Resources Control Board Jeanine Townsend Clerk to the Board P. O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95814-0100



RE: Comment Letter Bay-Delta Plan SED

My name is John Gurr and I am writing this letter to voice my strong opposition to your draft SED for the Bay-Delta Plan, and to request you revise the SED so that non-flow alternatives are prioritized. These other alternatives, like predation programs, will provide greater benefits and protection for fish, and should be put in place before demanding more flow from rural areas like mine that are already in economic distress.

If approved, the proposal in your Bay-Delta SED to require the Merced, Tuolumne, and Stanislaus Rivers to dedicate 35 percent of unimpaired flow to fish and wildlife will devastate San Joaquin, Stanislaus and Merced Counties in a number of ways. Our region is struggling economically, and faces significant challenges with poverty, unemployment and foreclosures. We cannot afford to fallow our land, lose hundreds of jobs, and lose tens and sometimes hundreds of millions of dollars annually from agricultural income which supports this region.

Your proposal will result in the over-drafting of the groundwater basins in this area, which are already strained and dropping every year. The people that live in the cities of Merced, Atwater and Livingston rely exclusively on groundwater as our source of drinking water and the farmers rely on groundwater to irrigate their family farms.

Your proposal will also devastate the hydropower production in our region by taking water from reservoirs during the spring, leaving less water available in summertime when it is critically needed to relieve strain on the state's power grid.

Most importantly, however, this ill-conceived plan is based on an assumption that it will help restore the Delta's native fisheries. This assumption is not supported by science or evidence. Rather the proposal presents unilateral demands without quantifying the benefit or goal to be achieved. Before imposing a plan that carries such serious consequences, the Board must first implement non-flow measures. Given the scarcity of water in California, non-flow measures such as predator suppression must be put in place before the State Water Board puts local family farms out of business.

Control to the control of the control of

多数医皮肤 经股票的 医电影 医电影 医克雷斯氏管

I strongly urge the Board to reject this proposal.

Sincerely,

John Gurr

gurrim@clearwire.net