ŀ				
1	Thomas M. Berliner (SBN 83256) Jolie-Anne S. Ansley (SBN 221526)			
2	DUANE MORRIS LLP Spear Tower			
3	One Market Plaza, Suite 2200 San Francisco, CA 94105-1127			
4	Telephone: +1 415 957 3000 Fax: +1 415 957 3001			
5	E-mail: tmberliner@duanemorris.com jsansley@duanemorris.com			
6	, , , ,			
7	Stefanie D. Morris (SBN 239787) State Water Contractors			
8	1121 L. St., Suite 1050 Sacramento, CA 95814-3974			
9	Telephone: +1 916 447 7357 Fax: +1 916 447 2734			
10	E-mail: smorris@swc.org			
11	Attorneys for State Water Contractors			
12	BEFORE THE			
13	CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD			
14	ENFORCEMENT ACTION ENF01949 -		ION TO BYRON-BETHANY	
15	DRAFT CEASE AND DESIST ORDER		ION DISTRICT'S NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF PAUL	
16	REGARDING UNAUTHORIZED OR THREATENED UNAUTHORIZED	HUTTON	AND REQUEST FOR STION OF DOCUMENTS	
	DIVERSIONS OF WATER FROM OLD RIVER IN SAN JOAQUIN			
17		Deter	March 7, 2016	
18	In the Matter of ENFORCEMENT ACTION ENF01951 - ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL	Date:	March 7, 2016	
19	LIABILITY COMPLAINT REGARDING UNAUTHORIZED DIVERSION OF WATER			
20	FROM THE INTAKE CHANNEL TO THE			
21	BANKS PUMPING PLANT (FORMERLY ITALIAN SLOUGH) IN CONTRA COSTA			
22	COUNTY			
23				
24				
25	Pursuant to Civil Code of Procedure section §2025.010 et seq., State Water			
26	Contractors ("SWC"), on behalf of its rebuttal expert, Paul Hutton ("deponent"), hereby			
27	objects to document requests contained in the Notice of Taking Deposition of Paul Hutton			
28				

and request for production of documents. The requests to which objections are made, and the objections, are as follows:

REQUEST NO. 1:

All WRITINGS, as that term is defined in California Evidence Code section 250, in the possession or control of the State Water Contractors (SWC) and/or Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) concerning or relating to the State Water Resources Control Board's determination of water availability in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Watersheds and the Delta for 2015.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 1:

SWC object to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous in its use of the undefined phrase "concerning or relating to," and is thus overbroad and burdensome as to scope. SWC further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks production of documents not in the possession, custody or control of deponent. SWC objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.

REQUEST NO. 2:

All WRITINGS, as that term is defined in California Evidence Code section 250, in the possession or control of the SWC and/or MWD, concerning or relating to the Deponent's testimony filed in the subject proceedings.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 2:

SWC object to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous in its use of the undefined phrase "concerning or relating to," and is thus overbroad and burdensome as to scope. SWC further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks production of documents not in the possession, custody or control of deponent. SWC objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.

REQUEST NO. 3:

All WRITINGS, as that term is defined in California Evidence Code section 250, in

the possession or control of the California Department of Water Resources, concerning or relating to the diversion(s) (current and/or historical) of water by Byron Bethany Irrigation District (BBID).

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 3:

SWC object to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous in its use of the undefined phrase "concerning or relating to," and is thus overbroad and burdensome as to scope. SWC further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks production of documents not in the possession, custody or control of deponent. SWC further objects to this request to the extent the request seeks production of documents equally available to BBID. SWC further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents neither relevant to the subject matter of the above-referenced enforcement proceedings nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO. 4:

All WRITINGS, as that term is defined in California Evidence Code section 250, in the possession or control of the SWC and/or MWD, relied upon by the Deponent in preparing any and all testimony filed in the subject proceedings.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 4:

SWC object to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, and is overbroad, oppressive, and burdensome as to scope. SWC further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks production of documents not in the possession, custody or control of deponent. SWC further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.

REQUEST NO. 5:

All WRITINGS, as that term is defined in California Evidence Code section 250, in the possession or control of the SWC and/or MWD, concerning or relating to the June 5, 2015 Draft Technical Memorandum from CH2M Hill to Terry Erlewine, attached to your testimony.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 5:

SWC object to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous in its use of the undefined phrase "concerning or relating to," and is thus overbroad and burdensome as to scope. SWC further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks production of documents not in the possession, custody or control of deponent. SWC further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.

REQUEST NO. 6:

All WRITINGS, as that term is defined in California Evidence Code section 250, in the possession or control of the SWC and/or MWD, concerning or relating to CH2M Hill's work on the June 5, 2015 Draft Technical Memorandum.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 6:

SWC object to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous in its use of the undefined phrase "concerning or relating to," and is thus overbroad and burdensome as to scope. SWC further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks production of documents not in the possession, custody or control of deponent. SWC further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.

REQUEST NO. 7:

All WRITINGS, as that term is defined in California Evidence Code section 250, in the possession or control of the SWC and/or MWD, between May 1, 2015 and the date of your deposition, concerning or relating to CH2M Hill's work for BBID in any capacity.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 7:

SWC object to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous in its use of the undefined phrase "concerning or relating to," and is thus overbroad and burdensome as to scope. SWC further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks production of documents not in the possession, custody or control of deponent. SWC further objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-

1	client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.		
2	Dated: March 2, 2016	DUANE MORRIS LLP	
3	Dated. Wareir 2, 2010		
4		By: Thomas M. Berliner	
5		Jolie-Anne S. Ansley	
6	DM2\6576024.1	Attorneys for State Water Contractors	
7			
8			
9			
10			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
2526			
27		,	
28			
_			