

Tauriainen, Andrew@Waterboards

From: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards </O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=O'HAGAN, JOHN@WATER7B72A79A-DD76-4B3C-B470-A3BF3B5BCDD7939>
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 12:02 PM
To: Grober, Les@Waterboards; Riddle, Diane@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards
Cc: Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards
Subject: FW: Demand Comparison Summary with changes.
Attachments: Demand Comparison Summary 2015-01-16_3.pdf

FYI-

Comparison of Delta demand data used for 2014 Curtailment to 1977 estimates, and to 2014 NDCU posted by USBR. We also compared MBK's numbers for Sacramento Basin and a couple of contractors. Our total statement demand for Delta is reasonably with 77 and NDCU for summer months, April and September are suspect. Upper Sacramento comparison is widely off. Shows we need better information from upstream claimants.

From: Yeazell, Jeffrey@Waterboards
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 11:36 AM
To: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Cc: Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards; Coats, Brian@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Demand Comparison Summary with changes.

Done, and attached.

-Jeff

From: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 11:25 AM
To: Yeazell, Jeffrey@Waterboards
Cc: Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards; Coats, Brian@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Demand Comparison Summary with changes.

Just, for hand-outs at the meeting, just show totals for delta from 2010 data (don't show amounts of Sac and San Joaquin Delta, just total) Save this one for internal. I don't want to discuss how the Delta is subdivided at the meeting. Thanks

From: Yeazell, Jeffrey@Waterboards
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 11:18 AM
To: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Cc: Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards; Coats, Brian@Waterboards
Subject: Demand Comparison Summary with changes.

John,

If this looks good, how many copies should I print out for the meeting?

-Jeff