State Water Resources Control Board



Executive Office

Arnold Schwarzenegger

Charles R. Hoppin, Chairman
1001 I Street • Sacramento, California 95814 • (916) 341-5603
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100 • Sacramento, California • 95812-0100
Fax (916) 341-5621 • http://www.waterboards.ca.gov

NOV 22 2010

Mr. John Herrick 4255 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2 Stockton, CA 95207

Dear Mr. Herrick:

SUBJECT: PARTY STATUS FOR THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD HEARING STARTING JANUARY 18, 2011 REGARDING WATER RIGHT APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED BY CITY OF DAVIS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, AND CITY OF WOODLAND

On November 17, 2010, the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) received the Notice of Intent (NOI) to Appear at the subject hearing that you submitted on behalf of your client, the South Delta Water Agency. The NOI indicates your client will not present evidence at the hearing and only intends to participate by cross-examination or rebuttal. South Delta Water Agency did not protest Application 30358 and was not previously a party in this matter. Prior to granting your client party status at the hearing, I need to understand how their participation as a party will aid the State Water Board in reaching a decision in this matter, and your client's interests that may be affected if they cannot participate as a party. Please provide an explanation of your client's interests that may be substantially affected as a result of this proceeding and whether those interests can be adequately presented by the submission of a policy statement.

Please submit your response to me by noon on Monday, November 29, 2010.

Sincerely,

Frances Spiny-Weber

Vice Chair

cc: See next page

California Environmental Protection Agency



cc: Mr. Michael B. Jackson P.O. Box 207 Quincy, CA 95971

> Mr. Alan B. Lilly Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan, P.C. 1011 22nd Street Sacramento, CA 95816-4907

FROM : JOHN HERRICK

PHONE NO. : 229 956 0154

Dac. 01 2010 10:29AM P1

SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY

4255 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2 Stockton, CA 95207 (209) 956-0150 FAX (209) 956-0154 Email Jherrlaw@aol.com



FAX COVER SHEET

:CYT

Ms. Frances Spivy-Weber, Vice Chair

FAX:

(916) 341-5621

FROM:

JOHN HERRICK, ESQ.

MESSAGE

Letter to follow.

Number of pages (includir	ig a cover page):	Date Sont: 11-30-16	Time Sent: 10:20 9.m	
Original W	Original WILL NOT follow		Original WILL follow by:	
		U.S. Mai!		
		O	ernight Service	

If you encounter any difficulties with this transmission, please contact us by telephone at (209) 956-0150.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this facsimile message is information protected by attorney-client and/or the attorney/work product privilege. It is intended only for the use of the individual named above and the privileges are not waived by virtue of this having been sent by facsimile. If the person actually receiving this facsimile or any other reader of the facsimile is not the named recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the named recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication is error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via U.S. Postal Service.

FROM: JOHN HERRICK

PHONE NO. : 209 956 0154

Dec. 01 2010 10:29AM P2

SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY

4255 PACIFIC AVENUE SUITE 2 STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA 95207 TELEPHONE (209) 956-0150 FAX (209) 956-0154 E-MAIL Jherriaw@aol.com

Directors:

Jerry Robinson, Chairman Robert K. Ferguson, Vice-Chairman Natalino Bacchetti Jack Alvarez Mary Hildebrand

Counsel & Manager: John Herrick

November 30, 2010

Via fax: (916) 341-5621

Ms. Frances Spivy-Weber, Vice Chair State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street P. O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Re: Application 30358 City of Davis, et. al.

Dear Madam Vice Chair:

I asked to participate in the hearing for the above-described matter on behalf of the South Delta Water Agency. Our interest in this matter would not be adequately protected by any of the other parties currently on the list of participants. This is because we believe that there should be no new permits issued on the Sacramento River system unless and until there has been a comprehensive evaluation of the available water supply on that system, including the supply maintained in the Delta Pool.

As you know, in 2009, the USBR and DWR were unable to meet their permit conditions for such things as outflow (X2) in the earlier part of the year. They actually petitioned the SWRCB for relief from those conditions, using as their excuse/justification that they needed the limited water in storage to meet other permit conditions later in the year (cold water habitat). At the same time, USBR notified its various contractors that it might not be able to supply the Exchange Contractors with their full contract amount via the Delta Mendota Canal, and might have to supply some of the Exchange Contractor amounts via the San Joaquin River. Under

Generally, the Exchange Contractors are guaranteed their full amount through Delta diversions, and if that cannot be done, the Bureau must then provide water from its Friant Division via the San Joaquin River.

FROM : JOHN HERRICK

PHICNE NO. : 209 956 0154

Dec. 01 2010 10:30AM P3

Ms, Frances Spivy-Weber, Vice Chair November 30, 2010 Page Two

those circumstances, the Sacramento River system is bankrupt; being incapable of supplying current demands/needs.

This situation occurred during what was thought to be the third consecutive drought year. Until there is an accounting of available supply over the various water year types, there may be no water for any new applications. However, new applications, such as this one for the City of Davis et. al., could be granted as a compture of project water under the area of origin statutes of Water Code Sections 11460 et. seq. Under those statutes, users such as the City of Davis could be given project water to fulfill their needs, with a corresponding decrease in project exports, as is anticipated in the area of origin and other statutes.

Even under such a recapture of project water, there remains the issue of when and how much water is available for superior needs. Currently the amounts of water required for fisheries in the subject of debate, and thus one cannot determine how much water must come from the projects (or other parties). More importantly to SDWA (and the other clients I represent in the southern Delta) it is anknown how any change in upstream deliveries, storage, and exports will affect the Delta Pool, which is an historic and current supply for in-Delta superior right holders. That Pool is a large reservoir of fresh water which slowly becomes more salty under drier conditions and/or when the projects fail to meet their permit obligations (which occurs often). It is unlikely that any current party to the City of Davis hearing will adequately explore this issue or give any thought to the effects on Delta diverters. Hence, I believe my participation is both proper and necessary.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions. I apologize for my dely in responding to your letter.

Very truly yours,

JOHN HERRICK

cc (via e-mail):

Michael B. Jackson, Esq. (mjatty@sbcglobal.net)
Alan B. Lilly, Esq. (abl@bkslawfirm.com)