State Water Resources Control Board Hearing Name IID Transfer - Phase 2 Exhibit: 8 For Ident: \_\_\_\_\_ In Evidence: \_\_\_\_

1	
2	
3	IN RE THE PUBLIC HEARING OF THE )
4	CALFED BAY-DELTA )
5	$oldsymbol{i}$
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
.0	Ruben H. Fleet Science Center
1	Balboa Park
.2	1875 El Prado
.3	San Diego, California 92101
4	
5	Wednesday, September 1, 1999 at 6:58 p.m.
.6	
.7	
8	
9 :	
0	REPORTED BY: BECKY L. OWENS, CSR NO. 11944, RPF
1	
2	
3	PORTALE & ASSOCIATES DEPOSITION REPORTERS 211 East Weber Avenue
4	Stockton, California 95202 (209) 462-3377
5	(202) 402-33//

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

JOE BODOVITZ, Hearing Officer

- 3 projected volume. This goal is being made more
- 4 difficult to reach by present CALFED plans for
- 5 Bay-Delta water release.
- 6 Development and managing local resources depends
- 7 on good quality source water. Water recycling and
- 8 groundwater storage programs cannot work efficiently
- 9 with the high salinity water that flows from the
- 10 Delta. CALFED must come up with a plan to reduce
- 11 salinity of water delivered to Southern California so
- 12 that our economy can continue to grow.
- 13 A second issue which is not dealt with
- 14 sufficiently is water-storage capacity. Additional
- 15 storage south of the Delta is essential during periods
- 16 of drought, and it would be a dandy place to put water
- 17 in times of flood. Recent memory provides examples of
- 18 both conditions.
- 19 Water of high quality stored south of the Delta
- 20 is an essential component of continued economic health
- 21 for California.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER BODOVITZ: Thank you,
- 24 Mr. Dawson.
- 25 Don Parent, George Plescia, Judi Rogers.

- 1 MR. PARENT: Good evening. My name is
- 2 Don Parent. I'm the chairman of the board of the East
- 3 County Development Council.
- 4 I -- unlike Mr. DuBois, I was not here earlier
- 5 to hear some of the presentation, so I apologize if I
- 6 am covering things that have already been addressed,
- 7 but I have a statement that I'd like to read from our

- 8 organization. But I think it covers all of the
- 9 economic development issues in the county. East County
- 10 ecomonic development, over the years that I've been
- 11 involved, has never refused to hear a presentation on a
- 12 water issue. It's been very clear to us it's very
- 13 important to the health of our economy.
- 14 Let me begin by emphasizing the importance of
- 15 water quality and reliability of supply on production
- 16 by high-tech and biotech firms in our area. They
- 17 contribute billions to our regional economy and will
- 18 suffer financially unless CALFED makes significant
- 19 improvements in its program. The 1996 analysis
- 20 reported almost 52,000 people employed by 1,860
- 21 high-tech firms in San Diego County. The total payroll
- 22 was \$2.5 billion, with an average wage of \$48,000 a
- 23 year. Biotech firms plus biomed companies employed
- 24 over 28,000 people with an average combined payroll of
- 25 \$1.2 billion, and an average wage in biotech of 49,000

- 1 and biomed of 39,000.
- 2 Our county water supply reaches us through
- 3 Metropolitan Water District pipelines. Therefore,
- 4 MWD's concerns are our concerns when it comes to
- 5 controlling salinity, the most important objective for
- 6 us in San Diego County.
- 7 The reduction of salinity from its present high
- 8 levels is a costly process for companies in the biotech
- 9 and high-tech fields. The present CALFED program does
- 10 not ensure the ability to meet Metropolitan's 500
- 11 milligram per liter's salinity blending target. That

- 12 lowered level would need to be subjected to further
- 13 reduction by biotech and high-tech industries.
- 14 CALFED also must move forward with South Delta
- 15 improvements to permit an increase in reliability of
- 16 water supply. With the tremendous increase in
- 17 California's population predicted over the next
- 18 20 years, approximately another 15 million people,
- 19 there definitely will be a crisis should the inevitable
- 20 drought occur during the next decade. We in San Diego
- 21 are taking -- in the San Diego area are taking steps to
- 22 increase our water supply through a transfer from the
- 23 Imperial Irrigation District. But even that addition
- 24 to our supply will not be enough.
- 25 Last spring, federal regulators threatened to

- 1 shut off the water to the nation's leading high-tech
- 2 industries in the Silicon Valley, and to prime
- 3 agricultural land in order to protect the Delta Smelt.
- 4 Against that background, I ask what assurances do our
- 5 high-tech and biotech industries have as to reliability
- 6 of supply. The CALFED report in one place states --
- 7 HEARING OFFICER BODOVITZ: Mr. Parent, I
- 8 hate to have you start another subject here. The
- 9 time's up.
- MR. PARENT: Thank you very much.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER BODOVITZ: Thank you, sir.
- 12 George Plescia, Judi Rogers, Steve Bilson.
- 13 MR. PLESCIA: Good evening. George Plescia
- 14 with State Senator Bill Morrow's office, who represents
- 15 north San Diego and South Orange Counties.
- 16 Senator Morrow appreciates the hard work that

- 10 and make it less saline and less alkaline. I would
- 11 suggest that you just put those comments and
- 12 suggestions into your program, and maybe some
- 13 legislature will carry the ball and we'll have cleaner
- 14 water.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER BODOVITZ: Thank you,
- 16 Mr. Bilson.
- 17 R. Alan Smith, Harvey Porter and Rita McIntyre.
- 18 MR. SMITH: Good evening. My name is
- 19 Alan Smith. I'm director of public policy for Biocomp
- 20 San Diego, which is the regional trade association for
- 21 the life science industry.
- 22 Most other regional, state and national life
- 23 science organizations deal with only one aspect of the
- 24 life sciences, but because of a rather unique
- 25 clustering of biotechnology, biopharmaceutical, medical

- 1 device and diagnostics, and bioagriculture companies
- 2 here, Biocomp represents all four of these very diverse
- 3 groups within the life science industry.
- 4 Water is near and dear to our heart. Biocom
- 5 came into existence as a public policy voice for the
- 6 industry in response to the drought of 1990-'91.
- 7 Since that time we've added a lot of other issues to
- 8 our agenda, but the one that has always remained high,
- 9 if not at the top, is the quality of water.
- 10 We don't possess the staffing or the expertise
- 11 to provide a thorough technical evaluation of the draft
- 12 programmatic environmental documents. However, we've
- 13 consulted within our industry and with other trade
- 14 organizations and with the San Diego County Water

- 15 Authority, and we've reviewed the comments of CWA with
- 16 respect to the environmental documents, and we agree
- 17 with most, if not all, of the concerns which they have
- 18 raised, and we have a high degree of confidence in the
- 19 regional leadership that the San Diego County Water
- 20 Authority has provided on this issue and support the
- 21 positions that they have espoused with respect to the
- 22 draft environmental documents.
- We do wish to take this opportunity, however, to
- 24 raise your awareness about two specific issues related
- 25 to consistency of the water supply. First is with

- 1 respect to the quantity. If life science companies are
- 2 going to prosper, grow, and survive in California, we
- 3 need assurance that there will be a consistent quantity
- 4 of water, Monday through Friday, winter, spring, summer
- 5 and fall. IDAK Pharmaceutical, for example, has been
- 6 contemplating for some time a manufacturing plant that
- 7 would jump them from 65,000 gallons of water a day as
- 8 an R and D to 750,000 gallons a day as a manufacturing
- 9 facility. And finally, with respect to consistency of
- 10 quality, the variability is so great that if we built
- 11 our purification systems for the worst case scenarios,
- 12 they're overly expensive and we don't need them all the
- 13 time. If we build them to lower standards and then we
- 14 have too much sub-standard water, then we run great
- 15 risks that our equipment will be damaged and our
- 16 product production will be disrupted.
- 17 So we hope that you will find ways to narrow the
- 18 range of variability in the water quality that is

- 19 delivered to us.
- 20 Thank you.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER BODOVITZ: Thank you,
- 22 Mr. Smith.
- 23 Harvey Porter, Rita McIntyre.
- 24 MR. PORTER: Good evening. My name is
- 25 Harvey Porter. I'm here representing the Industrial

- 1 Environmental Association of San Diego. We're an
- 2 industry organization. Our members are anywhere from
- 3 heavy industry to biotech, anything in between.
- 4 First of all, we want to comment on the work of
- 5 the CALFED project. It's only through this kind of
- 6 focused and dedicated effort with everyone at the table
- 7 working together that we will be able to make
- 8 progress. I'm here tonight because we do feel some
- 9 unresolved issues should be addressed in the EIR/EIS
- 10 before it is finalized.
- 11 Three areas of particular interest to IEA.
- 12 Specifically, first we believe that defined water
- 13 quality milestones should be identified. A method
- 14 devised to measure progress and a schedule for
- 15 improvements should be adopted as part of the EIR/EIS
- 16 process to achieve long-term water quality goals.
- 17 Secondly, regulatory certainty and
- 18 predictability are of great importance. Once CALFED
- 19 adopts a plan and begins implementation, it is critical
- 20 that water purveyors understand exactly how to comply
- 21 with the regulatory demands.
- Third, a financing plan needs to be assembled
- 23 which will assure businesses have access to affordable