



Margaret Tauzer - NOAA Federal <margaret.tauzer@noaa.gov>

Fwd: MMR May 15 date

2 messages

Will Harling <will@mkwc.org>

Wed, Mar 30, 2016 at 4:02 PM

To: Margaret Tauzer <Margaret.Tauzer@noaa.gov>, Bob Pagliuco <Bob.Pagliuco@noaa.gov>

i told Doug I thought the chances of re-re-negotiating the NMFS minimum bypass flows was slim, but forwarding on his request to you two in case I am wrong....

W

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Marble Mountain Ranch** <gustranch@marblemountainranch.com>

Date: Wed, Mar 30, 2016 at 2:04 PM

Subject: MMR May 15 date

To: Barbara Brenner <Barbara@churchwellwhite.com>, Will Harling <will@mkwc.org>

Hi Barbara and Will, I think the long term survival here at MMR is also tied in part to a Stanshaw flow management that based on seasonal flow rates and fish needs, not arbitrary dates. Can we start the steps, even if they take longer times, to look at a change to a streamflow based diversion plan? The implication for us is that if we have a good water year and might still meet fish needs AND MMR hydro needs through mid June, why burn petro-fuels?

Just some thoughts, and I understand that they have worked with the minimum bypass already. But, it still does not seem logical to me to build a management plan that is not based on real-time flows and actual conditions in the anadromous stretch.

Regards,
Doug

Doug and Heidi Cole
Marble Mountain Ranch
gustranch@marblemountainranch.com

--

Will Harling, Director
Mid Klamath Watershed Council
Orleans/Somes Bar Fire Safe Council
PO Box 409
Orleans, CA 95556
Phone: [530.627.3202](tel:530.627.3202)
Email: will@mkwc.org

Margaret Tauzer - NOAA Federal <margaret.tauzer@noaa.gov>

Mon, Apr 4, 2016 at 12:40 PM

To: caitlin.bean@wildlife.ca.gov, "Bull, Jennifer@Wildlife" <Jennifer.Bull@wildlife.ca.gov>
Cc: Bob Pagliuco <bob.pagliuco@noaa.gov>

Hi Caitlin,

I am circling back around and reconsidering this question from Doug Cole below. I am trying to determine if he may have a point about an unnecessary date restriction for the same level of protection. Also, the Water Board is asking for some clarification on the stream flow estimates. So I changed the unimpaired stream estimation method slightly to use the USGS estimate method developed by Rantz. I think that the Water Board is getting pressure from the other diverters of the watershed so I am trying to make sure we are considering all diverters in our recommendation. Bob just let me know you are having a call with him today. Let me know if you would like me to get on the Marble Mountain part of that call. Otherwise, I will be continuing to try to get this complete.

Margaret
[Quoted text hidden]