




January 13, 2010

SWRCB Board Members  
Francis Spivy-Weber  
Tam Doduc  
Arthur Baggett  
Walter Pettit  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA. 95812-0100



**Re: Russian River Frost Protection Draft Regulation for the January 19<sup>th</sup> SWRCB Workshop**

Dear Board Member,

I am writing in response to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) draft regulation relating to the effects of water diversion practices for frost protection of crops on salmonids in the Russian River watershed in Mendocino and Sonoma Counties and the related workshop scheduled for January 19, 2010.

This draft regulation does not give any consideration to the cooperative efforts put forth by local growers over the last year or to any of the comments that were brought before the SWRCB by members of the agricultural community at the November 18, 2009 Russian River frost protection workshop. In addition, this draft regulation does not in any way resemble the "hybrid" document that SWRCB staff were directed to construct following the November workshop. Although this is only a draft regulation, it is an impractical starting point from which to continue the collaborative efforts to resolve the water use needs for both the agricultural community and the fishery resources.

The use of water from the Russian River watershed for frost protection purposes is not an unreasonable use of water. Our vineyard has been in the family for over 60 years. I have personally been farming for 13 years. Every year we need frost water to protect our crop, some years more than others. If our frost water is taken away then you may as well take our irrigation water away too. We won't have anything left to irrigate. This is no exaggeration. We will lose our business as will many others. Nobody I have talked to has ever seen anything like the events that happened during the 2008 frost season. It was the "perfect storm" of frost seasons. To consider data from that year at all to write regulations is wrong and mean-spirited. It will not only be detrimental for our business but for the wine grape industry as a whole. It will put thousands of people out of work and threaten the livelihood of thousands of others indirectly related to the wine business.

**BRUTOCAO CELLARS**

1400 Hwy 175 • P.O. Box 780  
HOPLAND, CA 95449  
707-744-1066 • FAX: 707-744-1046

**BRUTOCAO SCHOOLHOUSE PLAZA**

13500 S. Hwy 101 • P.O. Box 780  
HOPLAND, CA 95449  
707-744-1665 • FAX: 707-744-1046

**BRUTOCAO VINEYARDS**

2300 Hwy 175 • P.O. Box 780  
HOPLAND, CA 95449  
707-744-1320 • FAX: 707-744-2028



The draft regulation is also suggesting a far more overreaching problem that surface water diversions by including, *"the pumping of closely connected groundwater."* The SWRCB has defined closely connected groundwater to be any groundwater that *"is pumped from areas described as subterranean flow or mapped active stream channels and associated alluvial deposits on maps prepared by Stetson Engineers, Inc."* However, the SWRCB has not proved that it has jurisdiction over subterranean streams like those mentioned in the Stetson Engineers, Inc. maps. To force diverters to prove that their groundwater sources are, *"not hydrologically connected to any surface stream within the Russian River stream system,"* will be virtually impossible since nearly all groundwater is hydrologically connected to some surface body of water at some point in time.

This draft regulation is an attempt by the SWRCB to secure new jurisdiction over groundwater sources that will affect a wide array of diverters including municipalities, residential supply as well as those for vineyards and other crops. Suggesting a regulation that includes groundwater goes above and beyond the initial scope of working toward a policy for frost water diversions in the Russian River and in fact expands the regulatory authority of the SWRCB into all aspects of ground water within the state of California.

Presenting a draft such as this is contradictory to what was understood by diverters to be a collaborative approach to resolving the water needs for both agriculture and the fishery. It appears that the extensive effort made by diverters over the past year, resulting in a viable solution, has been ignored and the protocol that was presented in November was not significantly considered. Refusing to include input from those who will be the most affected by such a regulation will only lead to further dispute and will significantly extend the period of time for a resolution to be reached.

Sincerely,

Len Brutocao, Jr.  
Brutocao Vineyards  
vineyards@pacific.net

BRUTOC AO CELLARS

1400 Hwy 175 • P.O. Box 780  
Hopl and, CA 95449  
707-744-1066 • FAX: 707-744-1046

BRUTOC AO SCHOOLHOUSE PLAZA

13500 S. Hwy 101 • P.O. Box 780  
Hopl and, CA 95449  
707-744-1665 • FAX: 707-744-1046

BRUTOC AO VINEYARDS

2300 Hwy 175 • P.O. Box 780  
Hopl and, CA 95449  
707-744-1320 • FAX: 707-744-2028