EXHIBIT TMWA 4-0

Written Testimony of Don Mahin

1	GORDON H. DePAOLI Nevada Bar No. 195 DALE E. FERGUSON		
2			
3	Nevada Bar No. 4986		
4	Woodburn and Wedge 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500		
5	Reno, Nevada 89511 Tel: 775/688-3000		
6	Email: gdepaoli@woodburnandwedge.com		
	Attorneys for Truckee Meadows Water Authority		
7			
8	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD		
9	DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS		
10			
11			
12	In the Matter of:)	Hearing Officers: Charles Hoppin and Tam Doduc
13	Water Right Applications 31487 and 31488	ĺ	
14	filed by the United States Bureau of Reclamation, and Petitions to Change License)	WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF DON MAHIN
15	3723 (Application 5169) of Washoe County Water Conservation District, License 4196)	ON BEHALF OF TRUCKEE MEADOWS WATER
16	(Application 9247) of Truckee Meadows Water Authority, Permit 11605 (Application 15673))	AUTHORITY
17	and License 10180 (Application 18006) of the)	Date: July 21-23; 28-29, 2010
18	United States Bureau of Reclamation)	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: 1001 I Street, Second Floor
19)	Coastal Hearing Room Sacramento, California
20		- /	Sacramon, Samonna
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20	I #		

6

10

11

12

8

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

28

27

Background Information

- My name is Donald A. Mahin. I graduated from Shasta College, Redding 1. California and received Associate in Arts degrees in Geology and Physical Science in 1974. I received my Bachelor of Arts degree in Geology from California State University, Fresno in 1976. I continued by studies at the University of Nevada, Reno where I received by Master of Science degree in Hydrology in 1978. I am a registered Civil Engineer in California (License Number C35052) and in Nevada (License Number 6348). I am also a licensed Professional Geologist in the State of Indiana (License Number 215). In addition, I am a State Water Right Surveyor in Nevada (Certificate Number 682).
- 2. I began my professional work experience while in graduate school by working at the Desert Research Institute in Reno, Nevada as a Graduate Research Assistant performing water quality monitoring on the Truckee River, groundwater exploration and groundwater modeling. After obtaining my M.S. degree, I went to work for Hydrosearch, Inc. in Reno, Nevada and Denver, Colorado as a Hydrologist conducting water resources investigations, a Colorado River water quality investigation, groundwater exploration, well design and construction management. My next employment was with the U.S. Geological Survey as a Hydrologist in Garden City, Kansas, performing surface and groundwater monitoring. After this I went to work for CH₂M-Hill Inc in Redding, California as a Hydrologist performing water resource and water quality investigations, groundwater exploration, well design, construction management, flood planning, landfill design, hazardous waste studies, agricultural drainage investigation and design, design of constructed wetlands for effluent disposal, and groundwater contaminant transport studies.
- 3. Following these jobs, I spent the next 25 years of my professional career as an employee of Washoe County, Nevada from 1982 through 2007 in various roles. I began my employment with Washoe County as a Hydrologist with its land use planning agencies as they evolved over time up through 1990. In 1984 I was promoted to Registered Engineer. My duties included water resource investigations, water quality studies relating to the Truckee River, groundwater quality and contaminant transport studies, land use plan development,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Washoe County were transferred into the newly formed Department of Water Resources, where I served until my retirement in 2007. During my employment with the Washoe County Department of Water Resources, I was promoted to Senior Licensed Engineer. While employed by Washoe County, I was appointed to serve on numerous boards and commissions by the County Manager and Board of County Commissioners. In addition to serving on the LGOC, I served as an alternate member of the Board of Directors of the Truckee Meadows Water Authority (TMWA). 4. Following my retirement from Washoe County in 2007, I have been employed part-time by ECO:LOGIC Engineering in Reno, Nevada as a Senior Engineer. While employed by ECO:LOGIC, I have continued to be involved assisting TMWA in tasks necessary for the implementation of TROA, and assisting other clients with water rights, development of facility plans, water supply projects, effluent reuse, and water quality studies.

Agreement (TROA) and later the Water Quality Settlement Agreement (WQSA). In 1994 I

was reassigned back to the planning department and continued my prior planning duties listed

committee appointed to carry out the terms and obligations contained in the WQSA on behalf

of Reno, Sparks and Washoe County. In 1997, all of the water related functions and staff of

and land use planning.

5.

the field of hydrology, the Nevada Public Utility Commission in the fields of hydrology and

water planning, and the Second Judicial District Court of Nevada in the fields of water rights

TMWA Ex. 4-1 is a true and correct copy of my professional resume.

I have been qualified as an expert witness before the Nevada State Engineer in

7.

system. III. Truckee River Water Quality Settlement Agreement

8. In the course of my employment with Washoe County, I was personally involved in its activities relating to the Truckee River, specifically including water quality monitoring, working with the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection on joint projects and grants related to Truckee River water quality, water supply planning, water rights, negotiations relating to TROA and the WQSA, and implementation of the WQSA. Through this employment with Washoe County and my prior work with the Desert Research Institute and CH₂M-Hill I also became familiar with some of the litigation and the water quality issues associated with the Truckee River. This knowledge was necessary as part of my role in representing Washoe County in the negotiations of the WQSA and TROA.

the approval of the Change Petitions will also provide recreation and wildlife benefits in the

California portion of the Truckee River and the California reservoirs on the Truckee River

The purpose of my testimony is to provide information regarding Water Quality

9. A series of lawsuits arose in the 1980's relating to the Truckee River water quality standards for temperature (TRWQS), the Endangered Species Act (ESA), the Clean Water Act (CWA), and the construction and expansion of the wastewater treatment plant that eventually became known as the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF). Parties to these actions included the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, the US Department of the Interior (DOI), the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the State Of Nevada, and the Cities of Reno and Sparks.

River downstream of Derby Dam were among the main causes of the above referenced litigation. The importance of the dissolved oxygen contained in the Truckee River waters is that it relates to the ability of fish to live in the water. The flow of the Truckee River as it leaves the Truckee Meadows is generally sufficient to maintain temperatures and dissolved oxygen concentrations which are consistent with fish life. The diversion of water at Derby Dam to send water to the Newlands Reclamation Project significantly reduces the flow in the lower Truckee River, when large diversions are taking place. At times, the flow downstream of Derby Dam has been as low a 1 cubic foot per second (CFS) and it has historically been around 30 CFS in many summer months. At these low flows, the temperature of the lower Truckee River climbs and consequently results in insufficient oxygen to meet the needs of the fish. Low flow conditions below Derby Dam have been associated with violations of both temperature and dissolved oxygen water quality standards.

11. In 1994 and 1995, I served as a technical representative of Reno, Sparks and Washoe County in a series of facilitated confidential negotiation sessions which were held between numerous stakeholders along the Truckee River in Nevada in an attempt to settle a wide variety of outstanding issues relating to the Truckee River, including the above referenced litigation. At the conclusion of these facilitated negotiations, a water quality settlement of the TMWRF/ESA/CWA/TRWQS litigation appeared to be possible and separate negotiations on these water quality issues continued into 1996 between the litigation parties. In addition to the named litigants, Washoe County participated in the water quality settlement negotiations, as it had certain contractual relationships with Reno and Sparks for the provision of wastewater treatment services on behalf of the county and as a potential successor in interest to Reno and Sparks pursuant to an agreement to transfer the ownership of TMWRF. I was the Washoe County policy and technical representative in the WQSA negotiations. The US Department of Justice also participated in the negotiations as it was involved in defending the various federal interests.

- 12. In 1996, an agreement was reached between the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, the US Department of the Interior (DOI), the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the US Department of Justice, the State Of Nevada, Washoe County, and the Cities of Reno and Sparks to settle the water quality issues on the lower Truckee River. On October 10, 1996 the Truckee River Water Quality Settlement Agreement (WQSA) was formally signed by the parties in Reno, Nevada. A true and correct copy of the WQSA is TMWA Ex. 4-2. The key provisions of the WQSA provide for the acquisition of \$24,000,000 of Truckee River water rights and their management to maintain and enhance Truckee River water quality. The water right purchases were agreed to be funded by the Department of the Interior, providing \$12,000,000 and the Cities of Reno and Sparks and Washoe County providing the other \$12,000,000. The agreement specified dollar amounts rather than quantities of water due to the ever changing water rights market, so the parties would have some certainty regarding their obligations.
- 13. In addition, the DOI agreed in Section 5 of the WQSA that it would make storage available for these water rights in its reservoirs so that the water can be released in a manner to augment the river flow when it is needed. The storage was agreed by the DOI to be part of TROA if implement. In the absence of TROA, the DOI agreed to store water under any other applicable mechanism available to it. Section 5 of the WQSA also provides for the storage of any other water rights which are used by Reno, Sparks and Washoe County for the purposes of the WQSA as described in Section 3 of the WQSA.

IV. WQSA Implementation and Water Rights Acquisitions

14. In 1997, Reno, Sparks and Washoe County entered an interlocal agreement for the implementation of the WQSA and delegated the implementation to a newly formed committee, the Local Government Oversight Committee (LGOC), with authority to acquire water rights, manage and dispose of property, and manage the acquired water rights. I served as a member of the LGOC from its inception until my retirement from Washoe County in 2007. I have remained in contact with the LGOC since that time to assist them with their work and to remain up to date with the status of the acquisitions. Reno, Sparks and Washoe agreed to fund their WQSA obligations through a combination of sewer user and hookup charges. Shortly

thereafter, the Department of the Interior contracted with the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe (PLPT) to assume its duties under the WQSA, with funding provided by the DOI. The funding for the DOI obligations was delayed during the completion of an Environmental Impact Statement.

- 15. Water right purchases by the LGOC and PLPT began in 1998, with the PLPT initially utilizing some funds it obtained from other sources. The LGOC and PLPT regularly communicated with each other regarding their respective progress, acquisitions and funding status. The purchases have continued up through the present time with nearly all of the \$24,000,000 having been expended on the purchase of water rights. Presently, the LGOC has acquired approximately 3283 acre feet of water rights and the PLPT has acquired approximately 2107 acre feet of water rights.
- 16. Approximately one half of the LGOC acquisitions have been of water rights under Claim 3 of the Orr Ditch Decree from lands in the Truckee Division of the Newlands Project, an area outside of the Truckee River basin. I personally filed the Nevada water rights change application for Permit 70934, which was protested by the City of Fallon and Churchill County. I participated in the State Engineer hearings associated with the consideration of the application. Application No. 70934 of the LGOC to change the place and manner of use of some of its water rights from the Truckee Division of the Newlands Project under Claim 3 of the Orr Ditch Decree was approved by the Nevada State Engineer and subsequently challenged in the Orr Ditch Court. A true and correct copy of Nevada State Engineer Ruling 5760 is TMWA Ex. 4-3. A true and correct copy of Permit No. 70934 is TMWA Ex. 4-4. In a ruling dated April 27, 2009, the court denied the City of Fallon's petition for judicial review of Nevada State Engineer Ruling 5760. A true and correct copy of that court order is TMWA Ex. 4-5. The State Engineer did not impose a consumptive use adjustment on this or the other WQSA permits originating from Claim 3.
- 17. The LGOC has filed applications to utilize its purchased water rights for wildlife purposes and obtained change permits on approximately 2314 acre feet with a diversion rate of 15.85 CFS. Applications to change the place and manner of use of 182.97 acre feet of the

 LGOC water rights remain pending. The Nevada State Engineer has applied a consumptive use adjustment to the LGOC acquisitions which originated from lands within the Truckee River basin in order to account for the return flows which would have accrued back to the Truckee River under the original decreed uses. At the present time, the PLPT has obtained approval of change applications in the amount of approximately 1378 acre feet. As all of the water rights held by the PLPT are from the Truckee Division of the Newlands Project under Claim 3 of the Orr Ditch Decree, and based upon the past actions of the Nevada State Engineer, it is reasonable to expect that they will not be subject to a consumptive use deduction.

- 18. When all of the WQSA funds have been expended and the change applications have been approved, there should be at least 4535 acre feet of water, or 25 CFS, under permit for wildlife purposes available from the WQSA acquisitions for augmenting the flow of the lower Truckee River.
- 19. In addition to the water rights acquired under the WQSA utilizing the \$24,000,000 of funding for purchases, Reno, Sparks and Washoe County have agreed in TROA Section 1.E.4 to provide 6,700 acre feet of additional Truckee River water rights for water quality purposes as defined in Section 3 of the WQSA. These water rights are largely already owned by Reno, Sparks and Washoe County or related entities and were obtained by other means. By taking into account a consumptive use reduction for these Truckee River basin water rights, this would provide approximately 4,188 Acre feet of water, or 17.6 CFS.
- 20. Together, the WQSA water rights and the TROA Section 1.E.4 water rights will provide approximately 42.6 CFS of water for augmenting the flow of the lower Truckee River under normal conditions. Unfortunately during droughts and other low flow conditions, this water may not be fully available when most needed to augment the flow in the lower Truckee River.

V. Present and Future Water Quality Flow Augmentation

21. In my role as the Chairman of the LGOC, one of my tasks was the management the WQSA water rights held by Reno, Sparks and Washoe County in consultation with the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe. Under the present management of the Truckee River, in the

absence of storage for the water rights acquired under the WQSA, the water is managed in accordance with the Orr Ditch Decree, which permits a water right holder to utilize up to 25 percent of the annual duty in any one month. River flow projections are reviewed and a delivery schedule is provided to the Federal Water Master for the delivery of the water, subject to the availability of water in the system. Under this present management, water cannot be carried over from one year to the next or held in storage for later use in the same year. When river flows drop due to depleted reservoirs in droughts, water is no longer available to be used to meet the scheduled water quality uses.

- 22. In order to permit the retiming of the flow that would otherwise be available under the WQSA water rights so that it is available at times when the flow in the lower Truckee River would be diminished to the point where water quality problems would potentially arise with respect to temperature and dissolved oxygen, the WQSA negotiators anticipated the need for reservoir storage. Section 5 of the WQSA contains the commitment of the DOI to provide this storage and the agreement of the PLPT to cooperate in making the storage available. Such storage would be provided under TROA or other applicable mechanism available to the DOI.
- 23. The preferred method of operation would be to utilize the Credit Storage provisions of TROA, and more specifically Section 7.E which addresses Water Quality Credit Storage. This operation would permit water already appropriated in Nevada under the Orr Ditch Decree to be placed into Credit Storage in reservoirs to be utilized for wildlife purposes at times when needed to augment the flow of the lower Truckee River. The Change Petitions which are under consideration in this hearing will provide flexibility in the reservoir management to allow for exchanging the Water Quality Credit Water in storage between reservoirs to permit its timely release and to protect it from spilling. The specific timing of the use of the water for flow augmentation is not specified in the WQSA, recognizing that water quality concerns and priorities may change over time.
- 24. By utilizing Credit Storage under TROA, the Water Quality water can be managed in such a way as to provide water for lower Truckee River flow augmentation in late summer and fall months when it might not otherwise be available under the present day river

and reservoir management. Having the ability to retain water in storage from one year to the next is especially important in years which are similar to 1992 and 1994 when the Truckee River dried up along its path through Reno. The storage of the Water Quality water in reservoirs located in California will contribute to the recreational use of the reservoirs in most years and its release during dry seasons will contribute to the wildlife habitat and recreational uses along the river system from the California reservoirs to Pyramid Lake in Nevada. Having a live stream in such years through the use of stored water will help preserve aquatic habitat, including the riparian zone along the river. Utilizing TROA Credit Storage is my recommended approach to get the best use of the WQSA water rights for the benefit of the Truckee River habitat, both in Nevada and California. Exchanges facilitated by the Change Petitions will provide the flexibility in the management of the Water Quality water in storage to preserve it from unnecessary spills and allow it to be used to provide recreational and wildlife benefits in both Nevada and California.