



## State Water Resources Control Board

October 15, 2014

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

WOODS IRRIGATION COMPANY
S. Dean Ruiz, General Counsel
HARRIS, PERISHO & RUIZ
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
Brookside Corporate Center
3439 Brookside Road, Suite 210
Stockton, CA 95219
dean@hprlaw.net

SPALETTA LAW PC
Jennifer J. Spaletta
Attorney for R.D.C. Farms, Inc., Ronald &
Janet Del Carlo, Eddie Vierra Farms, LLC,
Dianne E. Young, and Schmidt Highway 4
Ranch LLC
PO Box 2660
Lodi, CA 95241
Jennifer@spalettalaw.com

Dear Ms. Spaletta and Mr. Ruiz:

RULING ON COMMENTS REGARDING ADVANCE COURTESY NOTICE OF TENTATIVE DATES FOR A SUPPLEMENTAL HEARING AND PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE RELATED TO ORDER WR 2012-0012 (ORDER GRANTING RECONSIDERATION) - IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER WR 2011-0005

The State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) hearing team received comments from Woods Irrigation Company (Woods) and certain landowners within Woods' service area (Landowners), dated September 13, 2014 and September 14, 2014, respectively, in response to the State Water Board's September 4, 2014 advance courtesy notice of tentative dates for the above referenced supplemental hearing. The letters raise objections to the tentatively scheduled dates of January 15, 2015 (pre-hearing conference) and March 9-12, 2015 (supplemental hearing), request delay, and raise additional objections.

## **Objections to Tentatively Scheduled Dates**

Both Woods and Landowners object to the tentatively scheduled dates of the pre-hearing conference and supplemental hearing. Both commenters have advised the Division of Water Rights (Division) that the attorneys representing Woods (S. Dean Ruiz and John Herrick) are also attorneys of record in the matter of *Modesto Irrigation District ("MID")* et al. v. Tanaka et al., Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 34-2011-00112886 (Tanaka). In addition, Ms. Spaletta notes that the historian who will represent Woods and Landowners in any rehearing proceeding related to the Woods CDO is also a likely expert witness in Tanaka, which is scheduled for trial on March 24, 2015. Woods also advised that Mr. Herrick will be on vacation during the period April 22 through May 5, 2015. Both commenters contend that it would be unduly onerous for Woods' attorneys to move forward with the proposed March dates for the supplemental Woods hearing.

Additionally, both Woods and Landowners request delay because of *Modesto Irrigation District v. Woods Irrigation Company et. al*, Case No. 34-2011-80000803 ("*MID v. Woods*"), a case concerning the water rights of Woods, Modesto Irrigation District, and other parties to the hearing. *MID v. Woods* is currently pending in Sacramento Superior Court with a trial date set for August 2015. The commenters have advised us that there is a motion pending in that case to dismiss for failure to join the Woods' landowners, and if that motion is not granted, the Landowners will likely intervene to protect their water right interests. Both commenters similarly contend that while the *MID v Woods* case is pending, the State Water Board should not consider the water rights issues of Woods or its landowners.

Finally, Ms. Spaletta requested more than 60 days between the pre-hearing conference and the hearing to prepare their cases.

After consideration, we have determined that:

- ➤ It is proper for the State Water Board to move forward with the supplemental hearing, despite the similarity of issues in *MID v. Woods*. Both the State Water Board and the Sacramento Superior Court have proper jurisdiction over water right matters, and the State Water Board was the first venue in which the matters were brought to hearing.
- > There shall be no change to the tentatively scheduled date of January 15, 2015 for the Pre-Hearing Conference.
- The tentatively scheduled dates of March 9, 10, 11, and 12, 2015 for the Supplemental Hearing shall be rescheduled to dates in June 2015. We agree that accommodation of concerns expressed by the commenters regarding the *Tanaka* hearing's workload and availability of attorneys of record and expert witness for that case is appropriate. The rescheduled supplemental hearing dates in June 2015 avoid conflict with the March 2015 hearing date in that case, and allow Mr. Herrick to be present for the hearing.
- > This change in hearing dates provides more than 60 days between the pre-hearing conference and the hearing, as per Ms. Spaletta's request, so it is not necessary to make a separate determination as to this request.
- > We shall allow an additional opportunity for submittal of requests to change the rescheduled supplemental hearing dates.

## Additional Objections

Both Woods and Landowners request a new hearing stating that a supplemental hearing will not provide due process for Landowners. The commenters assert that supplementing the hearing rather than starting from scratch will place a burden on "Landowners" to overcome the State Water Board's previous adverse decision.

Despite the commenters' assertions, Landowners' burden of proof has not shifted. The State Water Board has already stated that a hearing shall be scheduled to allow Woods' customers to participate as parties, call witnesses, and cross-examine witnesses that have already testified on behalf of other parties in order to supplement the evidentiary record with evidence of water rights held by the Woods' customers. (Order WR 2012-0012 [granting reconsideration].) The information presented by Woods' customers will be balanced with the original evidence in determining whether to change or re-adopt the original terms of Order WR 2011-0005. The State Water Board has not placed a burden on Landowners to disprove the original findings.

Thus, no additional burden is imposed on Woods' customers by supplementing the existing hearing record rather than starting a new hearing, and the request to undertake an entirely new hearing is denied.

Ms. Spaletta requests, in the alternative, that extensive communication occur prior to the hearing regarding the scope and issues for the hearing. We agree that such communication will be welcome in the context of the Pre-Hearing Conference.

Thank you for your continued cooperation. If you have any non-controversial, procedural questions, please contact Staff Counsel Marianna Aue at (916) 327-4440 (Marianna Aue@waterboards.ca.gov), or Staff Engineer Ernest Mona at (916) 341-5359 (Ernie.Mona@waterboards.ca.gov). (Gov. Code, § 11430.20, subd. (b).)

Sincerely,

Frances Spivy-Weber, Vice-Chair

Hearing Officer

Steven Moore, Member

Hearing Officer

CC:

Order WR 2012-0012 Hearing Service List

Order WR 2012-0012 Supplemental Hearing

## MAILING SERVICE LIST

-4-

(July 2012, Updated: 03/13/14, 03/14/14, 08/27/14, 09/04/14, 09/18/14)
Order WR 2012-0012 (Order Granting Reconsideration)
In the Matter of the Petitions for Reconsideration of WRO 2011-0005
(VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL)

WOODS IRRIGATION COMPANY
S. Dean Ruiz, General Counsel
HARRIS, PERISHO & RUIZ
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
Brookside Corporate Center
3439 Brookside Road, Suite 210
Stockton CA 95219
dean@hprlaw.net

(Updated 03/13/14)

WOODS IRRIGATION COMPANY John Herrick, Co-Counsel 4255 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2 Stockton, CA 95207 jherrlaw@aol.com (Updated 03/13/14) DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS
PROSECUTION TEAM
David Rose
John O'Hagan
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I. Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
David.Rose@waterboards.ca.gov
John.O'Hagan@waterboards.ca.gov
(Updated 08/27/14)

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT

Tim O'Laughlin
O'Laughlin & Paris LLP
P.O. Box 9259
Chico, CA 92927
towater@olaughlinparis.com
vkincaid@olaughlinparis.com

STATE WATER CONTRACTORS
Stanley C. Powell
Kronick, Moscovitz, Tiedemann & Girard
400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
spowell@kmtg.com
(updated 03/14/14)

Stefanie Morris, General Counsel State Water Contractors 1121 L Street, Suite 1050 Sacramento, CA 95814 smorris@swc.org (updated 0918/14)

THE SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY

Jon Rubin, Senior Staff Counsel San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority 400 Capitol Mall, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Jon.Rubin@sldmwa.org (updated 03/14/14)

Daniel J. O'Hanlon Rebecca R. Akroyd CENTRAL DELTA WATER AGENCY S. Dean Ruiz, Esq.

Harris, Perisho & Ruiz 3439 Brookside Road, Suite 210 Stockton, CA 95219 dean@hprlaw.net

Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard 400 Capitol Mall, 27 <sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 dohanlon@kmtg.com rakroyd@kmtg.com (updated 03/14/14)  Eileen Diepenbrock Jonathan Marz Diepenbrock Eikin, LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2200 Sacramento, CA 95814 ediepenbrock@diepenbrock.com jmarz@diepenbrock.com (updated 03/14/14) (updated 09/04/14)	
SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY John Herrick, Esq. 4255 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2 Stockton, CA 95207 jherrlaw@aol.com  SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY S. Dean Ruiz, Esq. 3439 Brookside Road, Suite 210 Stockton, CA 95219 dean@hprlaw.net	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY AND THE SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT DeeAnne M. Gillick Kurtis C. Keller Neumiller & Beardslee P.O. Box 20 Stockton, CA 95201-3020 dgillick@neumiller.com kkeller@neumiller.com (updated 09/04/14)
SAN JOAQUIN FARM BUREAU Bruce Blodgett 3290 North Ad Art Road Stockton, CA 95215-2296 director@sifb.org	SPALETTA LAW PC Jennifer J. Spaletta Attorney for Dianne E. Young, Ronald and Janet Del Carlo, RDC Farms, Inc., Eddie Vierra Farms, LLC, Howard Schmidt, Trustee of the Schmidt Family Revocable Trust P.O. Box 2660 Lodi, CA 95241 Jennifer@spalettalaw.com (Updated 03/13/14)
Mark A. Pruner Attorney-at-Law 1206 "Q" Street, Suite 1 Sacramento, CA 95811 mpruner@prunerlaw.com	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER ASSOCIATION David J. Guy, President 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 335 Sacramento, CA 95814 dguy@norcalwater.org
Shane E. Conway McCoin	Department of Water Resources

Ellison, Schneider & Harris 2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95816-5931 sec@eslawfirm.com

James Mizell
P.O. Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236-0001
James.Mizell@water.ca.gov