26

SWRCB EXECUTIVE

2010

From:

Harper <flatcat9@aol.com>

To:

<commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov>

Date:

3/26/2010 7:26 AM

Subject:

Comment Letter - AB2121

StateWater Resources Control Board JeanineTownsend, Clerk to the Board 10011 Street, 24th Floor

Subject: Comment Letter - AB2121 PolicyFebruary 2010 Draft North Coast Instream Flow Policy Sacramento,CA 95814 Strong Objection to this Draft Policyand Request for Extension of

Irequest that these comments be made part of the administrative record for the Proposed Policy for Maintaining Instream Flows in Northern California CoastalStreams.

Below aresome of the points that concern me and my extended family, who are residents and/or visitors to the coast.

Theaffected area, which is extensive, would have insufficient water for much ofthe year.

Firefightingwould be hampered; inadequately controlled fires would be ecologicallydevastating and financially disastrous.

Tourismwould be greatly affected, resulting in loss of taxes.

Real estatesales and rentals would plummet, resulting in loss of taxes.

Regional workshops -- No workshopscloser than Ukiah and Santa Rosa workshops have taken place. These locations We request:

wereinaccessible to many people on the coast; most of us never heard about them.

90-day Extension -- This matter came asa great surprise to most residents of the coast.

Yourssincerely,

HarperSmith

PO Box 1168

Gualala, CA95445

CC:

SupervisorEfren Carrillo, Fifth District Supervisor, County of Sonoma

AssemblypersonWesley Chesbro

SenatorPat Wiggins

GovernorArnold Schwarzenegger

DanPellissier, Deputy Cabinet Secretary, Office of the Governor