

From: <bramlett@frontiernet.net>
To: <commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov>
Date: 3/22/2010 1:05 PM
Subject: Fwd: Comment Letter-AB2121 Policy. Feb.2010Draft North CoastInstream Flow Policy/Strong Objection and Request for extension of Time

Additional comments; The policy should consider the Burden as well as the Benefit and should employ science that addresses conditions like those found in the South Fork of the Gualala river'

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: bramlett@frontiernet.net
To: commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov
Cc: "Steve Bramlett" <SRBRAM@aol.com>, "\Efren Carrillo\" <"Efren Carrillo"@sonoma-county.org>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2010 12:47:16 PM
Subject: Comment Letter-AB2121 Policy. Feb.2010Draft North Coast Instream Flow Policy/Strong Objection and Request for extension of Time

I request that these comments be made part of the administrative record for the Proposed Policy of Maintaining Instream Flows in Northern California Coastal Streams.

In response to AB2121, the State Water Resources Control Board staff has recommended a proposed policy, applicable regionally, that would have drastic negative impacts on the Sea Ranch water supply. It would leave Sea Ranch with out water supply for days and or even months each year.

My family has just started building our heritage dream home in Sea Ranch on Leaward road. It is a huge investment for us and we do not want our water supply jeopardized by this proposed policy.

The time interval for response is unreasonable given the complexity of the issue.
Extend the comment period for 90 days or longer.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Bramlett, P O Box 146, Rumsey, CA 95679
Steve Bramlett, 3024 Lester Rd, Martinez, CA 94553

