

Barnes, Peter@Waterboards

From: jack sedlak <jack_sedlak@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 4:57 PM
To: Barnes, Peter@Waterboards
Subject: Lake Almanor thermal curtain

Peter,

My family has had a home on Lake Almanor for 12 years. The clarity of the water and the lack of algae is one of the things we value about the lake. I am also a fly fisherman and know the benefit of a cold water tail water. I understand the benefit of the proposed thermal curtain would only lower the Feather river temperature marginally. With all of the still waters of northern Ca at almost record lows due to the drought, I feel strongly against the thermal curtain. The Feather river on a typical years runs low and warm. I cannot believe that the benefit of taking the cold water out of Almanor outweighs the damage to the lake. Now lets talk about the cost. How can the state justify spending the money to cover the cost with our fragile economy and the uncertainty of the future recovery? PG&E draws down the lake to levels at present that makes the survival of this great fishery questionable. If the cold refuge for the fish in the lake is diminished there may be fish kills that devastate the fish population. This would have negative effects on businesses that depend on fishing in the lake. Property values of residents in the area would be negatively impacted as well if the water quality due to algae blooms diminishes. Businesses which depend on rentals of water sports equipment might go out of business. Please recommend a negative vote for the thermal curtain to the board.

Sincerely the Sedlak family

[Sent from Yahoo! Mail for Windows 8](#)