

PB
FERC
2105

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:13

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Peter P Candler
PO BOX 361
Westwood, Ca. 96137

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:13

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Jugema Melancon
Po Box 577

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:13

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Sanders
116 Hemlock St
Westwood CA
94137

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:13

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,



3-21

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:13

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,


I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

JEFFREY E. LESEUR


Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:13

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Peter Barnes', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

293 Farrar Chester CA

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Gail Anderson
366 Meadow Brook Loop #E
Chester Calif. 96020

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,



Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,



Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11: 14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,



Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

W. Stapp

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

JOHN & ALISA TURNER
3714 WOODLAKE LAKE ALMANOR 96131

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Dennis W Evans
214 Creekhaven Dr.
Lake Almanor, CA 96137

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Laura M Dougherty
PO Box 140
Chester, CA 96020

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Michael Dale
1426 N 34th St
Mesa AZ 85213

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Faith De" followed by a long horizontal flourish.

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,


I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,


Faith Dean
PO Box 232
Chester CA 96020

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Stacey Cancellia
PO BOX 1310
Chester CA 96020

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Donna Camilla
P.O. Box 1310
Shasta, Ca

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Julios McAm

125 small st. Sossaville

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,


I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,


624 DEERWOOD
WESTWOOD

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Manda Crummer

134 Lake Almanor W. Dr.

Chester CA 96020

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Frederic Huff
PO Box 361
Chester Ca
96020

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Heather Walter

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,


I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,


15225 Sylvester Rd
Reno, NV

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

D.W. Mayfield
1802 Palm Ave
Chico Ca 95926

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,


I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,



Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:14

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,


I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,


P.O. Box 1821
Chester Co 96020

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,



P.O. Box 1250

Chester, CA 96020-1250

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,


I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,



Samantha Arbogast
702 Clifford Drive
701 Conifer trail

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Elizabeth Kitchell". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "E" and a long, sweeping underline.

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Alisa Kutchew

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,


I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,


287 Mill Creek Dr.
Chico, CA 95973

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,



Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

U M. Sa

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Andrew D. Henry". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping tail on the letter "y".

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,



462-555 clear creek dr
Clear creek ca 98135

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Mark J. Leon
318-4th St
P.O. Box 2040

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,


I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,


112 Hemlock St
Westwood Ca 96137

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

W M Gustafson
PO BOX 1307
CHESTER

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11:15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Canella

Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

2015 MAR 26 AM 11: 15

DIV OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Mr. Barnes,

I am writing you to express my opinion that I am opposed to the Water Boards staff recommendations in the Draft EIR.

The Draft EIR clearly didn't understand the potential devastation to Lake Almanor by removing cold water. Where is environment justice in damaging one area for the very questionable potential benefit of another?

There is no doubt the recommendations would clearly effect our fisheries and to suggest planting fish as a solution is unacceptable. Why not just plant the fish downstream? Isn't that the fishery in question now?

None of the recommendations pass any type of "reasonable" that the State Water Resources Control Board was asked to determine. Therefore, none should be utilized. Lake Almanor does not have enough cold water to share. Not a drop should be removed.

Sincerely,

