Dear Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist,

State Water Resources Control Board

Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program

P.O. Box 2000

Sacramento, CA 95812-2000 HTS

SACRAMENTO

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD

CONTROL BOARD

CONTROL BOARD

OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

I am opposed to the Water Board staff recommendation in the draft EIR.

Exporting most or all of Lake Almanor's cold water pool to achieve a marginal benefit far downstream would be an ecological travesty.

100 years ago, before Lake Almanor existed, there was a river flowing through Big Meadow and the water was logically warmer. There was no cold water being held or released.

Where is the environmental justice in damaging one area, Lake Almanor, for a questionable improvement elsewhere?

How can there be "no significant impact" on Lake Almanor when its cold water fishery is dramatically reduced? This are has been economically devastated by drought and fire. Businesses struggle to make it.

How can the State Water Board justify such a sweeping proposal without providing a cost estimate and a cost-benefit analysis? Complete a cost benefit analysis now!

Reducing the cold water pool in Lake Almanor will result in a warmer lake and increased algae blooms, impacting both fish and humans. I grew up spending summers camping at Lake Almanor and Butt Lake. When we retired last year we moved to the Lake full time. Don't ruin our Lake.

Dear Peter Barnes, Engineering Geologist,
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights, Water Quality Certification Program
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

In an era of climate change concerns, intentionally increasing the temperature of Lake Almanor is completely contrary to sensible practice. Stop the madness!

Best regards,

Nevaeh D Jones

103 Lake Almanor West Drive

Chester, CA 96020