From: Ron Weitzman < ronweitzman@redshift.com>

Date: August 24, 2018 at 10:54:04 AM PDT

To: "'Daniel J. Turner'" < drdan1221@comcast.net>

 $\textbf{Cc:} < \underline{\text{waterplus@redshift.com}} >, \text{'Dorene D'Adamo'} < \underline{\text{ddadamo@waterboards.ca.gov}} >, \text{''E. Joaquin Esquivel'''} < \underline{\text{ejesquivel@waterboards.ca.gov}} >, \text{'Eileen Sobeck'} < \underline{\text{esobeck@waterboards.ca.gov}} >, \text{'Eric Policy of the content of$

Oppenheimer' < eric.oppeheimer@waterboards.ca.gov>, 'Felicia Marcus'

<felicia.marcus@waterboards.ca.gov>, 'Jonathan Bishop' <jonathan.bishop@waterboards.ca.gov>,
'Steven Moore' <smoore@waterboards.ca.gov>, 'Tam Doduc' <tdoduc@waterboards.ca.gov>, 'Buill
Monning' <Nicole.Charles@sen.ca.gov>, 'Mark Stone'

Assembly.ca.gov

Subject: RE: Important Water Article in Friday's Herald

Dan, the three Administrative Law Judges assigned to the proceeding made a proposed decision, a recommendation, to the five Commissioners, who were all present at the oral-argument hearing last Wednesday. It was an usual courtesy for all five to attend the hearing. The Commissioners can accept, modify, or deny the recommendation. From my perspective, whether the ALJs or the Commissioners agree with me or not, I believe they are all reasonable people who respond responsibly to argument. -- Ron

----Original Message-----

From: Daniel J. Turner [mailto:drdan1221@comcast.net]

Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2018 10:32 PM

To: Ron Weitzman

Cc: waterplus@redshift.com; Dorene D'Adamo; E. Joaquin Esquivel; Eileen Sobeck; Eric Oppenheimer;

Felicia Marcus; Jonathan Bishop; Steven Moore; Tam Doduc; Buill Monning; Mark Stone

Subject: Re: Important Water Article in Friday's Herald

Great! So they were all there and four of them asked questions. Do you think this meeting is going to result in the PUC Commissioners changing their minds about proceeding with CalAm's desal project? Didn't they just (a week ago Monday) announce that CalAm was to proceed w/its desal plant and that the WMD and Monterey One Water (the old Pollution Control Agency) were to cool their jets with the second phase of the water purification project? I mean, what was the purpose of this meeting? Was there any useful purpose to it, or was it the PUC just giving everyone the opportunity to flap his or her gums to no avail, since the decision to allow CalAm to proceed w/its desalt plant had already been made? Any one know the answer to those questions? — Dan T. =